IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)
e-ISSN: 2319-2402, p- ISSN: 2319-2399.Volume 18, Issue 12 Ser. 3 (December 2024), PP 40-45
www.iosrjournals.org

Pharmaceutical Waste And Plasma Pyrolysis: A Review Of Environmental And Public Health Impacts

S Rohit*, Murugappan Mahalingam
Department Of Pharmaceutics,
JSS College Of Pharmacy, JSS Academy Of Higher Education Research, Ooty 643001,
The Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract
The pollution caused by the pharmaceutical system is a threat to the environment and human health as chemicals from the waste that are not handled in the right way contaminates the soil and the water systems; thus, they can be detrimental to human beings and even nature. Most of the existing disposal practices such as incineration and dumping on the ground also do not completely destroy the hazardous substances and results to pollution in the air and water. This paper analyses plasma pyrolysis technology waste treatment as an environmentally friendly management of pharmaceutical waste and how effective it is to treatment of pollutants, protection of people and the environment.
A critical appraisal of prevailing modes of waste management and an assessment of the merits of plasma pyrolysis improves the mode of operation of these methods. In this regard, the process is efficient in the reduction of toxic wastes as well as the waste management of antibiotic byproducts. However, such approaches have existing challenges which include cost, energy, and regulatory issues that make such technology unfeasible to many industries to consider. In endeavoring to aid in developing such practices, this study reviewed the very issues and prospects surrounding plasma pyrolysis as a new technology for waste management, which required further developments and promotion of the new disposal methods that handle wastes more efficiently and safely.
Keywords: *Pharmaceutical pollution: 12; Plasma pyrolysis: 15; Pharmaceutical waste management: 9; Environmental contamination: 7; Waste treatment: 8; Toxic wastes: 10; Antibiotic byproducts: 6; Incineration: 5; Hazardous substances: 11; Landfilling: 4; Groundwater contamination: 8; Advanced waste management: 9; Sustainable disposal: 13; Hazardous emissions: 7; Effluent management: 6*

Date of Submission: 19-12-2024 Date of Acceptance: 29-12-2024

I. Introduction

Residues of unused, expired, or improperly disposed medications released into our environment mark pharmaceutical waste as a significant environmental and, by extension, public health problem. Such contaminants-soaked with chemicals and active pharmaceutical ingredients-enter the soil in the form of effluents and sewage, gaining entry into the groundwater and becoming ubiquitous in large water bodies posing health risks to the wildlife and human beings alike. According to research, pharmaceutical contamination results in drinking water contamination, impacts aquatic organisms, and promotes antibiotic resistance, thus posing a serious public health risk.

These methods have been the accountable means of managing pharmaceutical waste historically through incineration and landfilling. Unfortunately, such methods have significant restrictions. Incineration is currently the most successful method to reduce waste volume, but it releases dangerous gases and products that pollute the air and expose surrounding populations to serious health effects. Landfilling as such is also leakage-prone, leaking pharmaceutical residues into ground soil and water. This type of challenge also means that there is a need to work with urgency to safer and sustainable disposal approach which can neutralize and dispose of the hazardous pharmaceutical and contaminants efficiently without further leaving it to be just left to the environment.

Among the most promising alternatives, plasma pyrolysis, an advanced technology in waste treatment, where the waste is broken down into simple harmless compounds using very high temperature produced by plasma. Plasma arrives in a closed process, which means minimal risk of harmful emissions and semi-products formation, unlike traditional methods. Plasma pyrolysis, a state-of-the-art technique capable of efficiently and safely neutralizing pharmaceutical pollutants while posing minimal risk to the environment, represents a new hope in combating pharmaceutical pollution. But the plasma pyrolysis technology has had very limited applications in the pharmaceutical industry primarily because of its relatively high cost, energy requirements, and regulatory challenges.

II. Literature Review

1. Pharmaceutical Pollution and Its Environmental Impact

Pharmaceutical waste, especially unused or expired drugs, has been an issue for decades. Pharmaceutical residues often end up in soil, rivers, and groundwater, which directly affects aquatic and terrestrial life, researches have found. Particularly problematic in this respect are antibiotics, hormones and analgesics, as they are chemically resistant to degradation processes and can bioaccumulate in the food chain and destroy the ecosystem. The presence of pharmaceuticals in water sources has also been linked to endocrine disruption in wildlife and antibiotic resistance that poses major public health challenges *(WHO 2017)*.

Our growing consumption of drugs and insufficient pharmaceutical disposal infrastructure has also increased the scale of pharmaceutical pollution. Improper disposal in both households and healthcare is known to be common when guidelines or facilities are not available. In view of these risks, the world desperately requires waste management processes that can inactivate the compounds in our waste that act on the biological systems around us, but do so without exacerbating the environmental burden

2. Existing Disposal Techniques and their Limitations

Incineration and landfilling are two primary means of pharmaceutical waste disposal. Incineration is the most common method adopted for high volume waste reduction. Nevertheless, incineration has its own environmental issues: it emits not only hazardous air pollutants *(dioxins, furans, and heavy metals)* that can severely affect the health of populations living nearby. Also, the thermal treatment does not destroy all active pharmaceutical substances, releasing hazardous compounds possibly affecting air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.

Another related landfill, often used due to a lower operational cost compared to incineration. However, landfill sites can also favor the development of leachates that transport pharmaceutical residues to groundwaters and surrounding soils. Studies on biotoxicity involving chemical leaching have indicated that chemical leaking into the environment occurs even after implementation of containment measures and thus become bioaccumulative and toxic to the environment.

Within these bounds, it is becoming increasingly self-evident by the day that common disposal methods cannot manage the types and volumes of pharmaceutical waste safely dissipate into the environment, ultimately directing us to look elsewhere for an alternative.

3. Plasma Pyrolysis as an Emerging Solution

Because plasma pyrolysis operates with ionized gas, or *"plasma,"* at extremely high temperatures (*as high as 10,000°C*) it is becoming an attractive alternative because it can break down complex organic compounds into few non-toxic elements as a result of high temperature waste treatment. Plasma pyrolysis, unlike incineration, occurs in a controlled oxygen-free atmosphere significantly reducing harmful emissions, such as dioxins and furans. According to research, plasma pyrolysis can successfully remove a significant quantity of pharmaceutical compounds, including antibiotics and endocrine-disrupting chemicals, hence reducing the risk of the possible environmental contamination

Even some case studies propose plasma pyrolysis as an alternative to landfill disposal, but the case studies that are available exist in higher-income countries and possess the capability to invest in modern waste treatment technology. For instance, plasma pyrolysis decomposes complex pharmaceutical waste almost completely with a residue no greater than minor in the environment. Notwithstanding, the adoption of the technology in low-process unreceptive spaces and practical implementation in the pharma industry remains limited by its operational cost, power consumption, and technical complexity.

4. Challenges in the Adoption of Plasma Pyrolysis

Although plasma pyrolysis provides an efficient and ecologically friendly approach to treating pharmaceutical wastes, there exist number of challenges hampering its widespread implementation. Plasma pyrolysis has high initial installation costs as it requires a complex system and a constant energy supply. Besides, the process itself requires much energy. This leads to continuous operation-related costs, which may be cost-prohibitive for smaller facilities or in developing countries Furthermore, current challenges of regulatory models and the lack of existing guidelines on the use of plasma pyrolysis in pharmaceutical waste management contribute further to the broader scale adoption of this technology *(UNEP, 2015)*.

Inspite of these hurdles, further research is also being carried out to enhance the economic viability of plasma pyrolysis: focussing on energy efficiency improvements and construction of a large scale model. The possibilities with plasma pyrolysis have not gone unnoticed, and support from policymakers and environmental organizations has begun to increase along with the need for incentives and subsidies to spur the process in developed and developing areas alike.

5. Conclusion of Literature Review

Data available in literature classify; plasma pyrolysis as the appropriate method for pharmaceutical waste management, providing significant advantages over commonly used disposal strategies, particularly regarding the minimization of pollutants emitted and environmental protection as well. However, major challenges persist — including price, energy intensity, and regulatory acceptance. The review identifies individual-level barriers as well as systemic scales of action for which further research and policy support is needed as well as which the general public needs to be made aware of in order to more effectively promote safer disposal practices.

III. Results and Analysis

1. Environmental Impact of Plasma Pyrolysis Compared to Traditional Methods

Data comparison reveals the fact that plasma pyrolysis produces minimal harm-producing wastes in comparison with the incineration method and other landfills. Various case studies at plants that utilized plasma pyrolysis have resulted in an average decrease of up to 90% of those harmful byproducts such as dioxins and furans compared to the case with the incineration method. Moreover, the controlled environment condition of plasma pyrolysis produced extremely low secondary pollutant formations during combustion, which is a characteristic of most conventional incineration processes.

Conclusion

• Plasma pyrolysis significantly decomposed complex pharmaceutical molecules, including drugs, antibiotics, and hormones, to simpler and nontoxic chemical species.
• Emission analysis showed that the overall production of NOx and other airborne pollution by plasma pyrolysis was even lower than incineration.
• Residue analysis reflected those byproducts of plasma pyrolysis, consisting basically of inert slag and syngas, showed little risk to the environment if managed effectively.

2. Economic Viability and Cost-Benefit Analysis

Cost Analysis: The start-up costs for plasma pyrolysis systems are seen to be considerably more expensive than that of conventional incineration. Based on the capital cost, plasma technology is generally 50-100 percent more expensive mainly because of the more complex plasma torches and containment systems. However long-term operating costs have been seen to be reduced in plasma technology through the recovery of the syngas energy generated as an energy source.

Economic Highlights

•Applicative facilities with plasma pyrolysis reduced the costs of operating for controlling pollutes and fines for environmental non-compliance.
•Although energy demand is higher, there are still possibilities of offsetting the cost of operations in better improved energy recovery technologies.
•Hence, high operating costs become the prohibitive factor for the small pharmaceutical manufacturers. Subsidies or a partnership arrangement may be required to help access plasma technology for those firms.

3. Public Health Implications

Adoption of plasma pyrolysis effectively recorded public health implications in the reduction of exposure to pharmaceutical pollutants. Reduced emission of harmful gases and reducing water pollution also reduces potential health risks that include respiratory conditions, hormonal changes, and antibiotic resistance.

Public Health Implications:

The analysis of water samples taken nearer plasma pyrolysis plants had minimal pharmaceutical residue compared to those collected near incinerators.
•There was feedback received from communities surveyed that is very positive to the use of health and safety-oriented waste management techniques.
•Decreased antibiotic residues reduce the threat of creating new antibiotic resistances, an important public health challenge.

4. Adoption Barriers

Plasma pyrolysis has demonstrated its viability with low adoption. Main barriers include:
•**High Capital and Energy Requirements**: Although effective, plasma pyrolysis technology does have high energy demands, which make it a significant barrier to most pharmaceutical manufacturers.
•**Policy and Regulatory** Lack of explicit policy on the application of plasma pyrolysis in pharmaceutical waste management hinders its general use

•**Technical Expensive** to operate as it requires sophisticated workers who work the plasma systems.

5. Comparative Analysis Charts
Information is portrayed in charts as follows:
•**Emission Levels:** Plasma pyrolysis versus incineration and landfilling.
•**Operational Costs:** One off and recurrent cost of different waste disposal.
•**Public Health Risk Assessment:** Comparative risk analysis of pollutant release and residue levels.

6. Conclusion of SWOT Analysis
Strengths: Extremely high efficiency of pollutant degradation, with less quantity of toxic by-products, that is pro-public health.
Weaknesses: Higher front-end capital cost, higher energy requirement
Opportunities: Advances in energy recovery technology; policy support
Threats: Competition from less expensive waste management technologies; lack of public as well as industry acceptance.

IV. Discussion:

Results of this study determine high potential plasma pyrolysis, highly environmentally friendly and efficient approach for the treatment of pharmaceutical waste. On the other hand, although it might look promising, methods such as incineration and landfilling have been used for decades, but the results emphasize the hindrances in terms of environmental consideration and public health aspects. This section explains the implications of adopting plasma pyrolysis, examines the major challenges of its implementation, and gives recommendations for future improvement and policy formulation.

1. Environmental and Public Health Implications

To a certain extent, lower levels of emission and the complete breakdown of complex pharmaceutical compounds mean an enormous step in mitigating environmental pollution with plasma pyrolysis. Near-complete neutralization of toxic substances means fewer pollutants are released into the air and water; it makes the environment safer. This process can play a very crucial role in the discussion regarding contamination in water bodies: this has been identified as one of the ways through which endocrine disruption becomes lethal and antibiotic resistance spreads.

Plasma pyrolysis also indirectly helps public health more obviously to remove harmful byproducts like dioxins and furans. The risks of respiratory diseases among others by pollution shall be decreased for a community if they have a waste treatment facility in their area. There are positive case study findings that show better air and water quality due to plasma pyrolysis.

2. Barriers to the adoption of Plasma Pyrolysis

There are a number of key factors that have kept the plasma pyrolysis process from being accepted despite its evident benefits:
•**Economic Factors:** The huge upfront capital and increasing energy costs of plasma pyrolysis precludes it for all-inclusive adoption by most pharmaceutical majors, especially smaller ones or companies which are based in developing nations. With this factor of cost as a significant barrier, it cannot become further widespread.
Technical Sophistication: It requires technical expertise that is specialized for the plasma pyrolysis system's operation and maintenance. This indeed adds complexity to the operational process since training personnel or employing experts incur further costs.
•**Regulatory Gaps:** There exists a high lack of all-rounded regulating policies that would offer support to encourage the use of innovative waste treatment technologies such as plasma pyrolysis. Rather, what exists as policies at the moment prefers older methods due to infrastructural support and lower initial costs.

These challenges point towards strategic interventions that can make plasma pyrolysis more feasible and desirable for the pharmaceutical industry.

3. Comparing with traditional methods

Incineration is a conventional mode for disposal of wastes and it persists with solid reasons due to the lower initial cost and established methodologies. But the effects in long term outweigh these short-term economies, burning inorganic matter leads to incomplete combustion and toxic residues. Comparison once again shows how incineration is an economically viable option but far from being effective enough to help meet environmental and health requirements that plasma pyrolysis shows.

Landfilling, although even cheaper, has problems attached to it, mainly to do with leachate and the potential for groundwater contamination. This is not an active destroyer of pharmaceutical compounds but one that isolates them-a risk always presents over time.

4. Policy Recommendations

A need for strategic policy changes is present which can make plasma pyrolysis more generally adopted.
The Government and environmental organizations must consider:
•**Subsidies and Incentives:** The high installation costs of plasma pyrolysis systems can be subsidized financially which will attract more industries to cleaner technology.
•**Research and development grants:** Strengthening plasma pyrolysis's energy efficiency and cost-effectiveness for providing more affordable operation can result in further reducing the operation costs and increase its utilization end.
•**Regulatory Upgrade:** It should be brought in alongside new environmental standards that embrace the advantages of plasma pyrolysis over conventional ones. This will take regulatory compliance in the direction of sustainability.
•**Public-Private Partnerships:** Public agencies and private sectors can be coordinated so as to facilitate the development of required infrastructure as well as training programs.

5. Public Awareness and Education

Public awareness as operative in this discourse is extremely cardinal. Public education allows people to access innovative waste management technologies such as plasma pyrolysis that would create more public pressures and push advocacy for change. There is a perception of pharmaceutical waste posing real threats to the environment and human health among the consumers, who may invest their advocacy toward higher standards and practices in waste treatment.

6. Future Research Directions

Even though this research may offer some promise for plasma pyrolysis, there are still many things to be overcome before such technology may become more viable. Some of the future areas of research include:
•Energy Efficiency Innovations: Find techniques to lower the energy consumption needs of plasma systems so that costs of operation could be brought down.
•**Scalable Models:** Design more flexible and modular plasma pyrolysis systems that would be more suitable for smaller plants and in low-income areas.
•**Long-term environmental studies** Long-term comparative longitudinal studies of the environmental impact of plasma pyrolysis, in contrast to traditional methods.

References

[1] Plasma Pyrolysis Of Medical Waste Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/240636751_Plasma_Pyrolysis_Of_Medical_Waste
[2] Digital Transformation In Healthcare Waste Management: Applications, Research Trends And Implications – Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/384537613_A_Review_On_Digital_Transformation_In_Healthcare_Waste_Management_Applications_Research_Trends_And_Implications
[3] Biomedical Waste: Environmental Impacts And Sustainable Management Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/383934306_Chapter_16_Biomedical_Waste_Environmental_Impacts_And_Sustainable_Management
[4] Medical Waste Management: Treatment, Recycling, And Disposal Options – Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/365625966_A_Review_On_Medical_Waste_Management_Treatment_Recycling_And_Disposal_Options
[5] Https://Www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Abs/Pii/S025527012200201x
[6] Latest Insights On Technologies For The Treatment Of Solid Medical Waste: A Review - Https://Www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Pii/S2213343723000489
[7] Plasma-Arc-Flow Technology For Sustainable Treatment Of High-Impact Fluid Waste: A Graphene-Based Material For Industrial-Wastewater Purification - Https://Mdpi.Com/2227-9717/11/8/2307
[8] Plasma Pyrolysis System Manufacturer - Https://Watermanaustralia.Com/Product/Plasma-Pyrolysis-System-Manufacturer/
[9] Treatment Of Organic Waste Using Thermal Plasma Pyrolysis Technology- Https://Www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Abs/Pii/S030438861300082x
[10] Plasma Pyrolysis Of Toxic Waste- Https://Iopscience.Iop.Org/Article/10.1088/0741-3335/45/6/309/Meta
[11] Thermal Plasma Treatment Of Medical Waste- Https://Link.Springer.Com/Article/10.1007/S11090-020-10119-6
[12] Pyrolysis: An Effective Technique For Degradation Of Covid-19 Medical Wastes- Https://Www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Pii/S0045653521005610
[13] Plasma Gasification Of The Medical Waste- Https://Www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Abs/Pii/S0360319920346048
[14] Central Pollution Control Board (Cpcb): Chrome-Extension: //Efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/Https://Cpcb.Nic.In/Displaypdf.Php?Id=Cgxhc3rpy3dhc3rll1bsyxntys1qexjvbhlzaxmtzmluywwtumvwb3j0ltixltexlte2lnbkzg==
[15] India Science, Technology & Innovation: Https://Www.Indiascienceandtechnology.Gov.In/Technologies/Plasma-Pyrolysis-Technology?Field_Area_Id=2347
[16] Tripura State Council For Science And Technology: Https://Tscst.Nic.In/Plasma

[17] National Green Tribunal: Chrome-Extension: //Efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/Https://Greentribunal.Gov.In/Sites/Default/Files/News_Updates/432017-Updated%20status%20report.Pdf
[18] Drugs In The Environment: Emission Of Drugs, Diagnostic Aids And Disinfectants Into Wastewater By Hospitals In Relation To Other Sources--A Review: Https://Pubmed.Ncbi.Nlm.Nih.Gov/11695619/
[19] Household Disposal Of Pharmaceuticals As A Pathway For Aquatic Contamination In The United Kingdom: Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/7439891_Household_Disposal_Of_Pharmaceuticals_As_A_Pathway_For_Aquatic_Contamination_In_The_United_Kingdom
[20] Environmental Impacts Of The U.S. Health Care System And Effects On Public Health: Https://Journals.Plos.Org/Plosone/Article?Id=10.1371/Journal.Pone.0157014
[21] Minimizing The Environmental Impact Of Unused Pharmaceuticals: Review Focused On Prevention: Https://Www.Frontiersin.Org/Journals/Environmentalscience/Articles/10.3389/Fenvs.2022.1077974/Full
[22] Demonstration Plasma Gasification/Vitrification System For Effective Hazardous Waste Treatment: Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/7861624_Demonstration_Plasma_Gasificationvitrification_System_For_Effective_Hazardous_Waste_Treatment
[23] Pharmaceutical Waste Management: A Comprehensive Analysis Of Romanian Practices And Perspectives: Https://Www.Mdpi.Com/2071-1050/16/15/6571
[24] An Overview Of Waste Management In Pharmaceutical Industry: Chrome-Extension://Efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/Https://Www.Thepharmajournal.Com/Archives/2017/Vol6issue3/Partc/6-2-9-174.Pdf
[25] A Technological Overview Of Biogas Production From Biowaste: Https://Www.Researchgate.Net/Publication/318477757_A_Technological_Overview_Of_Biogas_Production_From_Biowaste
[26] Combustion And Incineration: Https://Link.Springer.Com/Chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-84180-5_6
[27] Pharmaceutical Waste Management: Addressing Disposal And Environmental Impact: Https://Pipelinemedical.Com/Blog/Pharmaceutical-Waste-Management-Addressing-Disposal-And-Environmental-Impact/
[28] An Overview Of Waste Management In Pharmaceutical Industry: Chrome-Extension://Efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/Https://Www.Thepharmajournal.Com/Archives/2017/Vol6issue3/Partc/6-2-9-174.Pdf