

# The Paradox Of Dark Leadership: Short-Term Gains And Long-Term Consequences

Alain Jassen Michel,

*Phd Student, MBA*

*Department Of Leadership Studies, University Of The Cumberlands*

---

## **Abstract**

*Dark leadership, defined by traits such as narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy, has drawn increasing attention for its significant influence on organizational dynamics. This paper investigates the origins and evolution of dark leadership, assessing both its advantages and disadvantages. It explores connections to established leadership and behavioral theories while also delving into broader psychological frameworks that illuminate the development of dark traits and their impact on decision-making and ethical behavior. The paper emphasizes the multifaceted implications of dark leadership, calling for more refined management practices and policy interventions. Additionally, it explores the significant impact of dark leadership on leaders, organizations, and stakeholders, offering insights for those seeking to minimize its negative effects through strategic organizational practices and ethical interventions.*

**Keywords:** *dark leadership, dark triad, organizational behavior, leadership theories, ethical misconduct, narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy*

---

Date of Submission: 07-12-2024

Date of Acceptance: 17-12-2024

---

## **I. The Paradox Of Dark Leadership**

Dark leadership is a concept rooted in the study of malicious personality traits. It has become a critical area of inquiry in organizational psychology and leadership studies. Characterized by behaviors that are manipulative, self-serving, and devoid of empathy, dark leadership arises predominantly from traits encompassed by the Dark Triad: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy (Paulhus, 2014; Boddy, 2011; Muris et al., 2017). These traits are often associated with counterproductive and unethical workplace behaviors and toxic work environments. Ironically, however, they can sometimes drive short-term organizational success due to the charisma and determination such leaders may exhibit (Boddy, 2011). Paulhus and Williams (2002) introduced the Dark Triad, comprising narcissism, which involves grandiosity and a need for admiration; Machiavellianism, which denotes manipulateness and a focus on self-interest; and psychopathy, characterized by emotional detachment and impulsivity. Later research added a fourth trait, everyday sadism, forming the Dark Tetrad, further expanding the scope of this phenomenon (Paulhus, 2014). These traits often coexist in varying degrees, creating leaders who may ascend to positions of power but whose actions frequently result in long-term organizational harm (Boddy, 2011). In the following lines, we will examine the advantages and disadvantages of dark leadership traits, their explanatory frameworks within and beyond leadership theories, and their tangible effects on leaders and organizations. Through this analysis, the paper aims to contribute to ongoing discussions about how organizations can recognize and manage the influence of dark leadership.

### **Purpose and Roadmap**

This paper examines dark leadership and its effects on leadership and organizations. The paper follows a structured approach, beginning with a literature review defining dark leadership, followed by an analysis of dark traits' advantages and disadvantages, a discussion of relevant theories, and examination of leadership and organizational impacts.

### **Significance of the Topic**

Dark leadership demands attention because it affects organizational success and workplace health. While dark leaders often gain power through charisma and strategic thinking, they typically create toxic work environments, ethical problems, and organizational instability. Understanding dark leadership helps organizations identify harmful leadership patterns, develop prevention strategies, create healthier workplaces, and maintain public trust.

## Summary of Results

The analysis reveals a clear pattern: dark leadership offers short-term benefits but causes long-term damage. Key findings show that while short-term advantages include decisiveness and strategic thinking, long-term consequences show significant organizational harm. Each dark trait creates specific problems: narcissistic leaders prioritize personal glory over team success, Machiavellian leaders create environments of mistrust, and psychopathic leaders make reckless decisions and ignore ethics. The impacts include decreased employee well-being, higher staff turnover, declining organizational performance, and damaged company culture.

## II. Literature Review

### Dark Leadership

The central framework for understanding dark leadership is the Dark Triad, comprising narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. These traits, while distinct, often overlap and interact in ways that amplify their negative impact on organizational dynamics and leadership outcomes, hence the concept of dark leadership. Dark leadership does not operate in a vacuum but is often amplified or mitigated by organizational and environmental factors. For example, competitive or high-stakes industries may provide fertile ground for individuals with dark traits to rise to power due to their ability to take risks and make decisive, albeit self-serving, choices (Harrison et al., 2018; Van Scotter & De Déa Roglio, 2020). Dark leadership behaviors emerge most strongly when two conditions align: A person's dark personality traits and an organization's weak control systems. For instance, when a company lacks proper oversight and governance, individuals with dark tendencies have more freedom to exploit their position and exercise harmful leadership practices (Harrison et al., 2018). Conversely, environments that emphasize transparency, accountability, and ethical behavior can help counteract the influence of these traits (Webster & Smith, 2019). On a broader scale, dark leadership contributes to the normalization of unethical behavior within organizations.

### *The Dark Triad traits*

Dark leadership cannot be explained without the foundational concepts of the Dark Triad traits. In other words, the Dark Triad traits serve as the theoretical cornerstone for understanding the behaviors associated with dark leadership. Dark leadership refers to individuals in leadership positions whose behavior, characterized by malevolent traits, leads to detrimental outcomes for organizations and individuals. Traits associated with dark leadership—often summarized under the Dark Triad (narcissism, Machiavellianism, psychopathy)—pose challenges to organizational ethics, productivity, and culture (Paulhus, 2014). One critical aspect of dark leadership is its duality. While the traits associated with dark leadership may contribute to unethical practices, they can also lead to perceived effectiveness in environments that reward risk-taking and competitive behavior (Harrison et al., 2018). From these findings, we can infer that understanding each trait is essential for thoroughly analyzing dark leadership. To keep the paper as objective as possible regarding the concept of dark leadership, our analysis of the Dark Triad traits will be limited to the scope of their influence on leaders and organizations, and less on their psychological aspects and bearings on personal relationships.

**Narcissism.** Narcissism, as a component of the Dark Triad, is characterized by grandiosity, a strong need for admiration, and an inflated sense of self-importance. Narcissistic leaders often exude confidence and charm, which can make them attractive candidates for leadership roles (Paulhus, 2014). With narcissism, we get a better understanding on why it's associated with disruptive behaviors in leadership settings. Paulhus and Williams (2002) describe narcissism as involving an exaggerated self-view that seeks constant validation from others. This need for validation can lead narcissistic leaders to prioritize their image over the organization's long-term interests (Harrison et al., 2018). Narcissism also involves a sense of entitlement, leading to self-centered leadership practices (Harrison et al., 2018). However, these traits are double-edged swords; while they can contribute to initial success and inspire trust, they often lead to significant challenges in interpersonal relationships and organizational dynamics over time (Paulhus, 2014; Webster, 2019). In organizational settings, narcissistic leaders may initially appear visionary, driven by their self-assured demeanor and grand goals. However, their excessive focus on personal achievements often undermines their ability to build collaborative and cohesive teams. Harrison, Summers, and Mennecke (2018) found that narcissism significantly influences unethical decision-making processes, particularly when leaders perceive opportunities for personal gain. When leaders repeatedly make unethical decisions, it can trigger a chain reaction that damages both the organization's reputation and employee trust.

**Machiavellianism.** Machiavellianism is another component of the Dark Triad traits. It's characterized by a manipulative and strategic interpersonal style, a focus on self-interest, and a disregard for morality. Named after Niccolò Machiavelli, whose treatise *The Prince* advocated for cunning and deceitful tactics to achieve political power, this trait is often associated with individuals who prioritize personal gain over ethical considerations or the

welfare of others (Paulhus, 2014; Muris et al., 2017). In organizational settings, Machiavellianism manifests as a calculated approach to leadership and decision-making, where individuals exploit their social environment to achieve their objectives. High Machiavellians are adept at reading and manipulating interpersonal dynamics, often engaging in deceptive practices to secure their desired outcomes (Boddy, 2011; Spain et al., 2014). One significant concern associated with Machiavellianism is its correlation with unethical decision-making. Leaders with high Machiavellian tendencies are more likely to rationalize unethical behaviors as necessary for achieving their goals, thereby perpetuating a culture of moral disengagement within their organizations (Boddy, 2011; Marshall et al., 2013). Despite its negative connotations, some studies suggest that Machiavellianism's impact is context-dependent. In structured environments with clear ethical guidelines and robust oversight, the manipulative tendencies of Machiavellian leaders may be constrained, allowing their strategic skills to contribute positively to organizational outcomes (Webster & Smith, 2019). Similarly to narcissism, Machiavellianism represents a dual-edged sword in leadership, offering strategic advantages in certain contexts while posing significant ethical and cultural risks.

**Psychopathy.** Psychopathy, the last element of the core components of the Dark Triad, is a particularly destructive trait in leadership contexts. It is characterized by a lack of empathy, impulsivity, emotional detachment, and superficial charm. Using these traits, especially their superficial charisma and manipulative skills, they frequently succeed in obtaining leadership positions (Paulhus, 2014; Muris et al., 2017). However, the long-term implications of psychopathic leadership are frequently detrimental to organizations, manifesting in unethical decision-making, organizational dysfunction, and negative employee outcomes (Boddy, 2011). One key aspect of psychopathy in leadership is the ability to rationalize unethical behavior. Research has shown that psychopathic individuals often exploit opportunities for personal gain, even at the expense of organizational welfare. For example, in the financial sector, psychopathic leaders have been implicated in scandals and crises due to their willingness to take excessive risks and manipulate others (Boddy, 2011; Marshall et al., 2013). The presence of psychopathy in leadership roles often leads to significant organizational challenges. Employees working under psychopathic leaders frequently report toxic work environments, characterized by bullying, high turnover, and reduced morale (Marshall et al., 2013). These leaders' focus on personal goals undermines team cohesion and organizational culture, creating long-term instability (Boddy, 2011). Psychopathy in leadership represents a significant challenge for organizations. While individuals with psychopathic traits may excel in short-term goal achievement, their long-term impact is often marked by ethical breaches, employee dissatisfaction, and organizational instability.

### III. Analysis

#### Merits and Drawbacks of Dark Leadership

Dark leadership creates a paradox: While it may produce immediate business results, it ultimately damages the organization over time. Despite their largely negative reputation, dark leadership traits can provide certain advantages in specific contexts. Leaders exhibiting narcissistic tendencies often exude confidence and charisma, traits that can inspire teams and stakeholders. Their grandiose vision and self-assuredness may help organizations navigate uncertain or volatile environments, as these leaders are more likely to make bold decisions and take risks that others might avoid (Paulhus, 2014; Harrison et al., 2018). Narcissistic leaders are known to set ambitious goals, sometimes pushing their organizations toward innovation and growth, albeit with significant risks (Boddy, 2011). Machiavellian leaders, with their strategic mindset and adeptness at navigating complex power dynamics, can excel in high-pressure, politically charged environments. Their focus on achieving personal and organizational objectives can make them formidable negotiators and conflict managers, especially in competitive industries. These leaders often succeed in securing advantageous deals or outmaneuvering competitors, contributing to short-term organizational success (Paulhus, 2014; Boddy, 2011; Spain et al., 2014). In certain crisis scenarios, psychopathic traits such as emotional detachment and high stress tolerance may allow leaders to make rapid, unemotional decisions. This can be beneficial in high-stakes situations requiring quick action and resilience. Their boldness and risk-taking tendencies may also enable organizations to capitalize on fleeting opportunities that demand decisive leadership (Boddy, 2011; Muris et al., 2017).

While dark leadership traits may yield short-term benefits, their long-term impact is overwhelmingly negative. Narcissistic leaders, for instance, often prioritize personal glory over team success, leading to poor decision-making, unethical practices, and strained relationships with employees and stakeholders (Harrison et al., 2018; Van Scotter & De Déa Roglio, 2020). Their inability to handle criticism and their excessive need for admiration can create toxic work environments where dissent is stifled, and team cohesion deteriorates (Paulhus, 2014; Boddy, 2011). Machiavellian leaders, despite their strategic acumen, frequently erode trust within organizations. Their manipulative behaviors and focus on self-interest can undermine morale and reduce team collaboration. Furthermore, their attitude can foster a culture of suspicion and competition. Over time, these dynamics may lead to increased employee turnover and decreased organizational performance (Boddy, 2011; Marshall et al., 2013). Psychopathic leaders pose the most significant risk to organizations. Their lack of empathy,

impulsivity, and disregard for ethical norms can result in catastrophic outcomes, such as financial scandals, legal liabilities, and reputational damage. These leaders are more likely to engage in unethical behaviors, such as fraud and exploitation, which can destabilize organizations and harm stakeholders (Muris et al., 2017; Webster & Smith, 2019). For example, research on corporate psychopathy has linked these traits to the 2008 global financial crisis, where the reckless and unethical actions of a few leaders had far-reaching consequences (Boddy, 2011; Marshall et al., 2013). Furthermore, dark leadership traits often create environments of fear and mistrust, which negatively affect employee well-being and productivity. Employees working under dark leaders report higher levels of stress, lower job satisfaction, and a diminished sense of organizational commitment (Harrison et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2016). These outcomes not only reduce individual and team performance but also increase organizational costs through higher turnover and absenteeism rates (Boddy, 2011).

The paradox of dark leadership lies in its ability to produce short-term wins at the expense of long-term sustainability. Organizations that fail to address the negative consequences of dark leadership risk significant financial, ethical, and reputational costs. While traits like narcissism and Machiavellianism may drive temporary successes in competitive or crisis scenarios, their adverse effects on organizational culture and ethics often outweigh these benefits over time. The risks associated with psychopathic leaders are even more pronounced. Their impulsivity, lack of empathy, and propensity for unethical behavior often lead to catastrophic outcomes, such as financial scandals and legal liabilities (Boddy, 2011). The balance of short-term gains and long-term costs thus underscores the critical need for organizations to recognize and address the risks associated with dark leadership.

### **Theoretical Frameworks Related to Dark Leadership**

Dark leadership can be analyzed through the lens of various leadership, psychological and behavioral frameworks. These theories help to contextualize the behaviors and impacts of dark leaders within organizational settings, providing a framework for understanding how such traits influence leadership effectiveness and ethical outcomes. They provide valuable insights into the emergence, development, and impact of dark leadership traits. These theories delve into the underlying mechanisms of personality, decision-making, and social interaction that influence the behaviors of leaders with dark traits such as narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy.

#### ***Leadership Theories***

Transformational leadership theory contrasts starkly with dark leadership by emphasizing vision, inspiration, and the ethical guidance of followers. Transformational leaders seek to motivate employees by aligning organizational goals with individual aspirations, fostering trust, and promoting ethical behavior (Muris et al., 2017; Spain et al., 2014). Dark leaders, in contrast, often exploit their charisma for personal gain, undermining the ethical foundations of transformational leadership. While narcissistic leaders may initially appear transformational due to their confidence and vision, their self-centered motives and lack of genuine concern for others often reveal a destructive side over time (Paulhus, 2014). Another leadership theory to consider is ethical leadership. Ethical leadership theory directly opposes the principles of dark leadership by advocating for fairness, integrity, and the prioritization of others' well-being. Ethical leaders model moral behavior, establish ethical standards, and hold themselves accountable to those standards. Unlike ethical leaders, dark leaders create workplace environments where wrongdoing becomes acceptable and routine. This fundamental difference between ethical and dark leadership styles shows why it's so difficult for organizations to identify and manage leaders with dark traits (Marshall et al., 2013; Muris et al., 2017; Spain et al., 2014). Transformational and ethical leadership theories provide a nuanced understanding of dark leadership, illustrating how individual traits interact with environmental factors to shape leadership outcomes.

#### ***Psychological and behavioral theories***

The fraud triangle, a model rooted in behavioral economics, explores the drivers of unethical behavior through the interplay of three factors: motivation, opportunity, and rationalization. This framework is particularly relevant to understanding dark leadership, as individuals with Machiavellian or psychopathic traits often demonstrate a strong motivation for personal gain, exploit organizational weaknesses to create opportunities, and rationalize unethical actions as necessary for success. Leaders high in these traits may justify their behavior as aligned with organizational goals, thereby perpetuating unethical practices (Harrison et al., 2018; Boddy, 2011). Another framework to consider is cognitive psychology. It contributes to understanding dark leadership through ethical decision-making models. The interactionist perspective within cognitive psychology posits that both individual traits and situational contexts influence unethical behavior (Majors, 2016). For instance, leaders with narcissistic tendencies may interpret ambiguous ethical dilemmas in ways that prioritize their self-interest, while psychopathic leaders may disregard moral considerations altogether. This interplay of cognitive biases and personality traits sheds light on the decision-making processes of dark leaders. Neuroscientific research provides a biological perspective on dark leadership traits, particularly psychopathy. Studies suggest that psychopathic

individuals exhibit poor connectivity in brain regions associated with empathy and moral reasoning (Marshall et al., 2013). This neurological basis helps explain the emotional detachment and impulsivity characteristic of psychopathic leaders, offering a deeper understanding of their behaviors and decision-making processes. These models reveal how dark personality characteristics are not simple, but rather complex traits that manifest differently depending on the organizational and social environment in which they exist.

#### **IV. Effects Of Dark Leadership On Leaders And Organizations**

Dark leadership traits have far-reaching effects throughout an organization, impacting individual employees, team dynamics, organizational culture, and business outcomes. Leaders with dark traits (including narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy) often rise to power because they appear charismatic and decisive. While these qualities may help them advance, their leadership style typically causes serious damage over time to both their employees' well-being and the organization's health. Their initial success in reaching leadership positions often masks the long-term harm they cause to both people and organizational success.

##### ***Impact on Leaders***

Leaders with dark traits face an interesting contradiction in their career progression. Initially, traits like narcissism and Machiavellianism can boost their professional advancement because they come across as confident, visionary, and politically astute to others (Paulhus, 2014). Narcissistic leaders, for example, may be seen as transformational due to their bold goal-setting and compelling rhetoric. Similarly, Machiavellian leaders leverage manipulation and strategic thinking to outmaneuver competitors and secure power (Harrison et al., 2018). However, the very traits that facilitate their rise often lead to their eventual downfall. Leaders with dark traits are more likely to engage in unethical or reckless behaviors, which can result in scandals, legal consequences, and the erosion of trust among stakeholders. For instance, psychopathic leaders' impulsivity and lack of foresight often result in decisions that harm the organization and its reputation (Boddy, 2011; Marshall et al., 2013). Narcissistic leaders, in their pursuit of personal recognition, often marginalize dissenting voices, leading to a lack of diverse perspectives and innovation (Van Scotter & De Déa Roglio, 2020). These traits can isolate leaders, as their manipulative or exploitative behaviors alienate colleagues and subordinates. Additionally, leaders with dark traits are prone to burnout and diminished well-being. The constant need to maintain control, manipulate others, and pursue self-serving goals takes a toll, often leading to personal stress and professional stagnation (Harrison et al., 2018).

##### ***Impact on Organizations***

One of the most profound impacts of dark leadership is its effect on organizational culture. Leaders with dark traits often foster environments of fear, mistrust, and competition. For example, psychopathic leaders may use intimidation and bullying to maintain control, creating toxic workplaces that demoralize employees and hinder collaboration (Harrison et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2016). Narcissistic leaders, in their pursuit of personal recognition, often marginalize dissenting voices, leading to a lack of diverse perspectives and innovation (Van Scotter & De Déa Roglio, 2020). The long-term implications of dark leadership are often most visible in the organization's performance and reputation. While leaders with dark traits may achieve short-term successes, such as closing deals or driving short-term profits, their unethical and impulsive behaviors frequently lead to regulatory penalties and reputational damage; high-profile corporate scandals, such as those linked to psychopathic leaders during the 2008 financial crisis, underscore the devastating consequences of unchecked dark leadership traits (Boddy, 2011). Additionally, organizations led by individuals with dark traits often face challenges in attracting and retaining talent. As the negative reputation of such leaders spreads, potential employees and partners may be deterred from associating with the organization, limiting its ability to grow and compete effectively (Marshall et al., 2013). Recovering from the impacts of dark leadership is often a long and difficult process. Toxic cultures take years to rebuild, requiring significant investment in ethical training, leadership development, and governance reforms. Organizations may also face ongoing legal and reputational challenges, as stakeholders seek accountability for the actions of dark leaders (Boddy, 2011).

##### **Summary**

Dark leadership, characterized by narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy, poses significant organizational challenges. This paper explored how dark leadership develops, its theoretical foundations, and its organizational impact. While dark traits can provide temporary benefits like quick decision-making and strategic influence, they ultimately harm organizations through ethical violations, toxic work environments, and organizational instability.

Through the lens of leadership and psychological theories, this paper illuminated the mechanisms by which dark traits influence leadership behavior and organizational outcomes. Frameworks such as transformational leadership theory and the fraud triangle provided valuable insights into the behaviors of dark

leaders. Additionally, theories from psychology and neuroscience offered a deeper understanding of the cognitive and emotional processes underpinning these traits, emphasizing their correlation within organizational contexts.

The findings underscore the far-reaching effects of dark leadership on leaders, employees, and organizational performance. Dark leaders typically create environments of distrust and unhealthy competition, harming employee morale and productivity. Organizations suffer significant costs - financial, reputational, and cultural - often requiring major investments in ethical governance and cultural reform to recover.

Addressing the challenges of dark leadership necessitates proactive strategies that are not discussed in detail in this paper, such robust governance frameworks, ethical leadership training, and a commitment to fostering transparent and inclusive cultures. By implementing these measures, organizations can mitigate the adverse effects of dark leadership, ensuring sustainable success and ethical integrity. Further research should examine how dark traits interact with organizational environments to better understand and manage this leadership challenge.

### References

- [1] Boddy, C. R. (2011). The Corporate Psychopaths Theory Of The Global Financial Crisis. *Journal Of Business Ethics*, 102(2), 255–259. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/41475954](http://www.jstor.org/stable/41475954)
- [2] Harrison, A., Summers, J., & Mennecke, B. (2018). The Effects Of The Dark Triad On Unethical Behavior. *Journal Of Business Ethics*, 153(1), 53–77. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/45022800](http://www.jstor.org/stable/45022800)
- [3] Majors, T. M. (2016). The Interaction Of Communicating Measurement Uncertainty And The Dark Triad On Managers' Reporting Decisions. *The Accounting Review*, 91(3), 973–992. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/43867595](http://www.jstor.org/stable/43867595)
- [4] Marshall, A., Baden, D., & Guidi, M. (2013). Can An Ethical Revival Of Prudence Within Prudential Regulation Tackle Corporate Psychopathy? *Journal Of Business Ethics*, 117(3), 559–568. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/42001870](http://www.jstor.org/stable/42001870)
- [5] Muris, P., Merckelbach, H., Otgaar, H., & Meijer, E. (2017). The Malevolent Side Of Human Nature: A Meta-Analysis And Critical Review Of The Literature On The Dark Triad (Narcissism, Machiavellianism, And Psychopathy). *Perspectives On Psychological Science*, 12(2), 183–204. [Https://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/48591540](https://www.jstor.org/stable/48591540)
- [6] Paulhus, D. L. (2014). Toward A Taxonomy Of Dark Personalities. *Current Directions In Psychological Science*, 23(6), 421–426. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/44318811](http://www.jstor.org/stable/44318811)
- [7] Paulhus, D. L., & Williams, K. M. (2002). The Dark Triad Of Personality: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, And Psychopathy. *Journal Of Research In Personality*, 36, 556–563.
- [8] Smith, M. B., Wallace, J. C., & Jordan, P. (2016). When The Dark Ones Become Darker: How Promotion Focus Moderates The Effects Of The Dark Triad On Supervisor Performance Ratings. *Journal Of Organizational Behavior*, 37(2), 236–254. [Https://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/26610526](https://www.jstor.org/stable/26610526)
- [9] Spain, S. M., Harms, P., & Lebreton, J. M. (2014). The Dark Side Of Personality At Work. *Journal Of Organizational Behavior*, 35(S1), S41–S60. [Https://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/26610874](https://www.jstor.org/stable/26610874)
- [10] Van Scotter, J. R., & De Déa Roglio, K. (2020). Ceo Bright And Dark Personality: Effects On Ethical Misconduct. *Journal Of Business Ethics*, 164(3), 451–475. [Http://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/45283881](http://www.jstor.org/stable/45283881)
- [11] Webster, B. D., & Smith, M. B. (2019). The Dark Triad And Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: The Moderating Role Of High Involvement Management Climate. *Journal Of Business And Psychology*, 34(5), 621–635. [Https://Www.Jstor.Org/Stable/48700834](https://www.jstor.org/stable/48700834)