

## Estimation Of Diffusion Coefficients And Convective Velocities Of <sup>137</sup>Cs (Radiocaesium) Within Undisturbed Soils In Southwestern Nigeria By Solving Fokker-Planck Equation Using Adomian Decomposition Method (Adm).

Faweya E. B<sup>1</sup>, Enoch. O<sup>2</sup>, Adesakin G.E<sup>3</sup> And Fatigun A.T<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,4</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ekiti State University.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Federal University of Oye.

**Abstract:** Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM) was employed to solve the second order differential equation of diffusion-convection model for the mobility of radiocaesium in undisturbed soils of southwestern Nigeria. Applying this method the convection velocity ranged from 0.09 to 0.16 cm<sup>y</sup><sup>-1</sup> and the diffusion coefficients varied from 0.07 to 1 cm<sup>2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>. These values fall in the range that are widely reported in the literature.

**Key Words:** Adomian, Caesium, Diffusion –coefficients, Convective-velocities, Soils.

### I. Introduction

The world is still battling with significant amounts of anthropogenic radionuclides (such as <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr to mention few) as a result of nuclear weapon tests carried out by France, Nuclear power accidents at Chernobyl 1986 and Fukushima in Japan 2011. Caesium and its various isotopes are considered as one of the major harmful anthropogenic radionuclides in the man's environment due to external exposure and through ingestion of foods (most especially plants that absorbs radiocaesium through their roots).

Researchers all over the world had studied radiocaesium migration through soil matrix and proposed different models (Rühm, et al, 1996). Notable of these models are compartment models, diffusion-convection models (Fokker-Planck) and approximation models by a function of a polynomial or exponential (Isaksson and Erlandsson, 1998, Isaksson, et al, 2001, Likar, et al, 2001, Toso and Velasco, 2001, Kanapickas, et al, 2005). The most widely accepted model is the diffusion-convective model (Kircher and Baungartner, 1992, Konshin, 1992a, Konshin, 1992b, Mamikhin, 1995, Ivanov, et al, 1997); because its parameters are usually fitted to the available experimental data. Therefore it can be used to estimate the radionuclide migration profile at any given time (Szerbin, et al, 1999). The first and the second models had been widely reported in literature to depend on soil type, radionuclide chemistry, soil physical properties (such as structure and composition) and precipitation (Barišić, et al, 1999, Lujanienė, et al, 2002, Lee and Lee, 2002, Kanapickas, et al, 2005). Based on the aforementioned factors, there is no general model that could be applied for that analysis of the experimental radiocaesium profiles in soil without significant assumptions or corrections (Kanapickas, et al, 2005). Therefore the main goal of the present research is to estimate diffusion coefficients and convective velocities of radiocaesium by solving Fokker-Planck differential equation governing diffusion and convection activities using Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM). Experimental data was taken from the previous studied carried out by Ajayi (Ajayi, et al, 2007).

### II. Modelling Procedure

Fokker-Planck equation governing diffusion and convection activities is written as:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - v \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - \lambda C \quad 1$$

$$C(x, t) = C(x, 1) = 1300 Bq m^{-2} \text{ (Map, 2013) and } \lambda = \frac{0.693}{t} \quad 2$$

Where  $C$  is <sup>137</sup>Cs concentration in soil,  $\lambda$  is its decay constant,  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient of <sup>137</sup>Cs,  $v$  is convective velocity,  $X$  is the soil depth and  $t$  is time from the deposition. The term  $-\lambda C$  represents the radioactive decay (Ajayi, et al, 2007).

Using ADM, we rewrite equation 1 in operator form as:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = DL_{2x}C(x, t) - vL_x(x, t) - \lambda C \quad 3$$

Where  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = L_t$  and  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = L_x$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} = L_{2x}$

It follows that

$$L_t C(x, t) = DL_{2x}C(x, t) - vL_x C(x, t) - \frac{0.693}{t} C(x, t) \quad 4$$

By applying the inverse operator to equation 4, we obtained

$$L^{-1}L_t C(x, t) = L^{-1} \left[ DL_{2x}C(x, t) - \nu L_x C(x, t) - \frac{0.693}{t} C(x, t) \right] \quad 5$$

$$\Rightarrow C(x, t) = DL^{-1}L_{2x}C(x, t) - \nu L^{-1}L_x C(x, t) - \frac{0.693}{t} L^{-1}L_t C(x, t) \quad 6$$

Imposing the initial condition  $C(x, t) = C(x, 1) = (1300, t)$

$$C(x, t) = C(x, 1) + DL^{-1}(L_{2x}C(x, t) - \nu L^{-1}(L_x C(x, t) - 0.693L^{-1}L_t \left( C \frac{(x, t)}{t} \right)) \quad 7$$

$$= 1300Bqm^{-2} + DL^{-1}(L_{2x}C(x, t) - \nu L^{-1}(L_x C(x, t) - 0.693L^{-1}L_t \left( \frac{C}{t} \right)) \quad 8$$

From equation 8,  $L^{-1}$  is a twofold integral in  $L_{2x}$  and one fold integral in  $L_x$  and  $L^{-1}L_t \left( \frac{C}{t} \right)$ ; so that

$$C(x, t) = 1300Bqm^{-2} + D \iint_0^t L_{2x}C(x, t) dt dt - \nu \int_0^t L_x C(x, t) dt - 0.693 \int_0^t C \frac{(x, t)}{t} dt \quad 9$$

From equation 9, we obtain the recurrence relations:

$$C_0(x, t) = 1300 \quad 10$$

And

$$C_{n+1}(x, t) = D \iint_0^t L_{2x}C_n(x, t) dt dt - \nu \int_0^t L_x C_n(x, t) dt - 0.693 \int_0^t C_n \left( \frac{x, t}{t} \right) dt \quad 11$$

At  $n = 0$ , equation 11 becomes

$$C_0(x, t) = 1300Bqm^{-2} \quad *^+$$

Since  $*^+$  has no variables in  $x, t$  on the RHS, the emerging solution will also not has  $x$  in it but will have  $t$  because of the integration with respect to  $t$  and

$$C_1(x, t) = D \iint_0^t \frac{\partial^2 C_0(x, t)}{\partial x^2} dt dt - \nu \int_0^t \frac{\partial C_0(x, t)}{\partial x} dt - 0.693 \int_0^t \frac{C_0(x, t)}{t} dt \quad 12 \quad C_1(x_0, t_0) =$$

$$\frac{DC_0t^2}{2!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693 \int_0^t \frac{1300}{t} dt =$$

$$\frac{DC_0t^2}{2!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693C_0 \ln t \quad 13$$

When  $n = 1$

$$C_2(x_1, t_1) = D \iint_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{DC_0t^2}{2!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693C_0 \ln t \right) dt dt - \nu \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{DC_0t^2}{2!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693C_0 \ln t \right) dt - 0.693 \int_0^t \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{DC_0t^2}{2!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693C_0 \ln t \right) dt \quad 14$$

$$C_2(x_1, t_1) = \frac{D^2C_0t^4}{4!} - \frac{D\nu C_0t^3}{3!} - 0.693DC_0 \ln t - \frac{D\nu C_0t^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^2 C_0t^2}{2!} + 0.693\nu \frac{C_0}{t} - 0.693 \left[ \frac{DC_0t^3}{3!} - \nu C_0t - 0.693C_0 \int_0^t \frac{\ln t}{t} dt \right] \quad 15$$

$$C_2(x_1, t_1) = \frac{D^2C_0t^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!} (2\nu - 0.693)DC_0t^3 + \frac{\nu^2 C_0t^2}{2!} + 0.693\nu C_0t + \frac{0.693\nu C_0}{t} + \frac{(0.693)^2 C_0}{2t^2} - 0.693DC_0 \ln t \quad 16$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C_3(x_2, t_2) \\
 &= D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{D^2 C_0 t^4}{4!} - \frac{1(2\nu - 0.693)DC_0 t^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^2 C_0 t^2}{2!} + 0.693\nu C_0 t + \frac{0.693\nu C_0}{t} + \frac{(0.693)^2 C_0}{2t^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 0.693DC_0 lnt \right) dt dt \\
 &- \nu \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{D^2 C_0 t^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}(2\nu - 0.693)DC_0 t^3 + \frac{\nu^2 C_0 t^2}{2!} + 0.693\nu C_0 t + \frac{0.693\nu C_0}{t} + \frac{(0.693)^2 C_0}{2t^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 0.693DC_0 lnt \right) dt \\
 &- 0.693 \int_0^t \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{D^2 C_0 t^4}{4!} - \frac{1}{3!}(2\nu - 0.693)DC_0 t^3 + \frac{\nu^2 C_0 t^2}{2!} + 0.693\nu C_0 t + \frac{0.693\nu C_0}{t} + \frac{(0.693)^2 C_0}{2t^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 0.693DC_0 lnt \right) dt \tag{17} \\
 &= \frac{D^3 C_0 t^6}{6!} - \frac{t^5}{5!} [(2\nu - 0.693)D^2 C_0 + D^2 \nu C_0] + \frac{t^4}{4!} \left[ \nu^2 DC_0 + (2\nu - 0.693)D\nu C_0 - \frac{0.693D^2 C_0}{4} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{t^3}{3!} \left[ 0.693\nu DC_0 + \frac{0.693}{3}(2\nu - 0.693)DC_0 - \nu^3 C_0 \right] - \frac{t^2}{2!} \left[ 0.693\nu^2 C_0 + \frac{0.693\nu^2 C_0}{2} \right] \\
 &\quad - (0.693)^2 \nu C_0 t + \frac{1}{t} (0.693^2 \nu C_0 + 0.693^2 C_0 D - 0.693D\nu C_0) + \frac{0.693^2 \nu C_0}{2t} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{t^2} \left( 0.693\nu DC_0 + \frac{0.693^3 C_0}{2} \right) \\
 &\quad - \left( \frac{0.693^2}{2} DC_0 - 0.693D^2 C_0 - 0.693\nu^2 C_0 \right) lnt \tag{18} \\
 &= \frac{D^3 C_0 t^6}{6!} - \frac{t^5}{5!} [2\nu - 0.693 + \nu] D^2 C_0 + \frac{t^4}{4!} \left[ \nu^2 + (2\nu - 0.693)\nu - \frac{0.693D}{4} \right] DC_0 \\
 &\quad + \frac{t^3}{3!} \left[ 0.693\nu D + \frac{0.693}{3}(2\nu - 0.693)D - \nu^3 \right] C_0 - \frac{t^2}{2!} \left[ 0.693 + \frac{0.693}{2} \right] \nu^2 C_0 - (0.693)^2 \nu C_0 t \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{t} (0.693^2 \nu + 0.693^2 D - 0.693D\nu) C_0 + \frac{(0.693)^2 \nu C_0}{2t} + \frac{1}{t^2} \left( 0.693\nu D + \frac{0.693^3}{2} \right) C_0 \\
 &\quad - \left( \frac{0.693^2}{2} D - 0.693D^2 - 0.693\nu^2 \right) C_0 lnt \tag{19} \\
 C_4(x_3, t_3) &= D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{D^3 C_0 t^6}{6!} - \frac{t^5}{5!} [2\nu - 0.693 + \nu] D^2 C_0 + \frac{t^4 DC_0}{4!} (\nu^2 + (2\nu - 0.693)\nu) - \frac{0.693D}{4} \right) dt dt \\
 &\quad + D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{t^3}{3!} \left[ 0.693\nu D + \frac{0.693}{3}(2\nu - 0.693)D - \nu^3 \right] C_0 \right) dt dt \\
 &\quad - D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{t^2}{2!} \left[ \left( 0.693 + \frac{0.693}{2} \right) \right] \nu^2 C_0 dt dt - D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} ((0.693)^2 \nu C_0 t) dt dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ \frac{1}{t} (0.693^2 \nu + 0.693^2 D - 0.693D\nu) C_0 dt dt \right] + \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{(0.693)^2}{2t} \nu C_0 dt dt \\
 &\quad + D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} \left( 0.693\nu D + \frac{(0.693)^3}{2} \right) C_0 \right) dt dt \\
 &\quad - D \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{0.693^2}{2} D - 0.693D^2 - 0.693\nu^2 \right) C_0 lnt dt dt \\
 &\quad - \nu \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{D^3 C_0 t^6}{6!} - \frac{t^5}{3!} [3\nu - 0.693] D^2 C_0 + \frac{t^4}{4!} \left[ 3\nu - 0.693\nu - \frac{0.693D}{4} \right] DC_0 \right] dt dt \\
 &\quad + \dots \dots \dots \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$



### **Acknowledgement**

The authors acknowledged Prof I. R Ajayi (Deputy Vice- Chancellor) of Adekunle Ajasin University for given us permission to use his previous data.

### **References**

- [1]. Kanapickas, A, Mazeika, J, Paulaitiene, I and Bauziene, I (2005). Influence of physical properties of soil on <sup>137</sup>Cs mobility. Lithuanian Journal of Physics 15(1): 67-72.
- [2]. Ruhm, W, Kammerer, L, Hiersche, E and Wirth, E (1996). Migration of <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>134</sup>Cs in different forest soil layers. J.Environ.Radioactivity 33:63-75.
- [3]. Toso, J.P and Velasco, R.H (2001). Describing the pobserved vertical transport of adiocaesium in specific soils with three time-dependent models, J.Environ. Radioactivity 53: 143-144.
- [4]. Likar, A, Omahen, G, Lipoglavsek, M and Vidmar, T (2001). A Theoretical description of diffusion and migration of <sup>137</sup>Cs in soil. J.Environ.Radioactivity 57:191-201.
- [5]. Isaksson, M and Erlandsson, B (1998). Model for vertical migration of <sup>137</sup>Cs in the ground- a field study. J.Environ.Radioactivity 41:163-182.
- [6]. Isaksson, M, Erlandsson, B and Mattsson, S (2001). A 10-year study of the <sup>137</sup>Cs distribution in soil and a comparison of Cs soil inventory with precipitation-determined deposition, J.Environ.Radioactivity 55:47-59.
- [7]. Kirchner, G and Baumgartner, D (1992). Migration rates of radionuclides deposited after the Chernobyl accident in various German soils. Analysts 117: 475-479.
- [8]. Ivanov, Y.A, Levyekyj, N, Levchuck, S.E, Prister, B.S, Firsakova, S.K, Arkhipov, N.P, Arkhipov, A.N, Kruglov, S.V, Alexakhin, R.M, Sandalls, J and Askbrant, S (1997). Migration of <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>90</sup>Sr from Chernobyl fallout in Ukrainian, Belorussian and Russian soils. J.Environ.Radioactivity 35:1-21.
- [9]. Konshin (1992a). Applicability of the convection diffusion mechanism for modeling migration of <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>90</sup>Sr in the soil. Health Phys 63: 291-300.
- [10]. Konshin (1992b). Mathematical model of <sup>137</sup>Cs migration in soil; analysis of observation following the Chernobyl accident. Health Phys 63: 301-306.
- [11]. Mamikhin,S.V(1995).Mathematical model of <sup>137</sup>Cs vertical migration in forest. J.Environ.Radioactivity 28:161-170.
- [12]. Szerbin, P, Koblinger-Bokori, E, Koblinger, L, Vegvari, I and Ugron, A (1999). Caesium-137 migration in Hungarian soils. The Sci. of the Total Environ 227: 215-217.
- [13]. Barisic, D, Vertacnik, A and Lulic, S (1999). Caesium contamination and vertical distribution in undisturbed soils in Croatia, J.Environ.Radioactivity 46:361-374.
- [14]. Map 01.09.1 (2013). The calculated spatial distribution of Cesium-137 before the Chernobyl Reactor Accident. Retrieved in 2013 from [www.caesium](http://www.caesium) in soils.
- [15]. Lujaniene, G, Plukis, A, Kimtys, E, Remeikis, V, Jankuanaitė, D and Ogorodnikov, B. I (2002). Study of <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>239,240</sup>Pu, <sup>238</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am behavoiur in the Chernobyl soil, J.Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 251: 59-68.
- [16]. Lee, M.H and lee, C.W (2000). Asociation of fallout-derived <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr and <sup>239,240</sup>Pu with natural organic substances in soils. J.Environ.Radioactivity 47:253-362.
- [17]. Ajayi I.R, Fischer, H.W, Burak,A, Qwasmeh, A and Tabot, B (2007). Concentration and vertical distribution of <sup>137</sup>Cs in the undisturbed soil of southwestern Nigeria. Health Phys 92(1): 73-77.