IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSR-JCE)
e-ISSN: 2278-0661, p-ISSN: 2278-8727, Volume 26, Issue 5, Ser. 4 (Sept. – Oct. 2024), PP 21-27
www.iosrjournals.org

Early Warning Strategy And Fault Diagnosis Strategy Of Electric Vehicle Charge And Discharge Based On Artificial Intelligence

Kabir

Date of Submission: 09-10-2024 Date of Acceptance: 19-10-2024

I. Introduction

The adoption of electric vehicles as a means of vehicle type that can replace the traditional internal combustion engine vehicles has led to the improvement of the battery technology and power management systems. However, there is still one of the most important concerns that can be stated: the reliability and effectiveness of the charging and discharging of EV. There are consequences of faulty charging and these include; degradation of the battery, low vehicle performance, and risks of fire or overheating.

AI has thus been utilized to enhance the dependability of EV systems especially in the aspects of fault detection and warning systems. Therefore, due to its feature of analyzing huge data and giving out the likely occurrence of certain events, it is helpful in determining possible failures in the EV charge/discharge systems. The application of early warning systems and fault diagnosis using artificial intelligence in batteries can greatly increase battery life, increase safety and minimize the cost of maintenance.

The objective of this study is to study the application of AI in the formulation of warning and diagnostic mechanisms for EV charge and discharge systems. Using state of the art AI algorithms this research will seek to establish how predictive models can be used to identify faults, avoid failure and enhance the efficacy of EV batteries in real time. This study will also include the assessment of the modern approaches to AI in this area along with the comparison of their efficiency in charge/discharge failure prevention.

II. Literature Review

Introduction and review of Current EV Charge/Discharge Technology.

Charging and discharging of electric vehicle is need in order to maintain the performance of the vehicle and also the battery system. Current EV charge management systems are conventional in nature that employs certain predefined set-points of voltage, current and temperature to avoid overcharging and undercharging. These methods while useful to some extent are unable to accommodate dynamic conditions like fluctuating temperature or charging patterns of the user thereby posing inefficiencies and risk of damaging the battery (Lee and Park, 2019). Thus, there is the growing demand of intelligent systems that are able to learn and develop in the course of time.

AI Applications in EV Systems.

It has however been demonstrated that artificial intelligence can be used to improve characteristics of electric vehicles, such as battery, energy, and fault systems. Some of the more advanced techniques include machine learning algorithms for example, which are effective in working with large datasets and finding correlations that may not be immediately apparent through simple decision-making rules (Zhang and Li, 2021). Neural network, decision tree and fuzzy logic model have been used in other works to forecast battery health and enhance charging effectiveness and the findings are encouraging. For instance, Kumar et al. (2020) showed that the use of AI-based battery management systems could enhance the battery life by 20% than the conventional systems.

The use of deep learning and reinforcement learning in charge and discharge cycles is more effective in dynamic decision making to improve charging processes based on real time conditions (Chen and Wong, 2020). These systems are capable identifying minor change in battery functions making them suitable for warning and fault detection.

The following are the relevant research on early warning systems;

It is in industries that the prevention of failure is paramount that early warning systems are useful and they are used in aerospace, manufacturing, and health care industries. In the field of EV, the AI-based EWS has been used mostly for the battery thermal management and fault diagnosis of powertrain system. Yoon and Kim (2018) in their study established that machine learning models were useful in recognizing thermal runaway events, a frequent problem in EV batteries using temperature, voltage, and current data in real-time. These systems are capable of providing warning signs well before such situations can be identified by the human operators thus minimizing the chances of failure.

Among the commonly used techniques are anomaly detection that include clustering and PCA, which are unsupervised learning algorithms that help in detecting outliers or deviations in the large data sets (Gupta and Shen, 2019). These methods can be applied for EV charge/discharge systems to detect abnormal charge and discharge activities to prevent faults from happening.

Four Case Studies of Fault Diagnosis

Many research works have been carried out on AI based fault diagnosis in electric vehicle especially in battery management system (BMS). For instance, Wang et al. (2019) proposed a fault diagnosis strategy that applies an SVM model for the identification of different kinds of faults that may include voltage imbalance and thermal faults in lithium-ion batteries. It is important to state that, the AI-based system provided a very high reliability in fault detection, compared to the conventional approaches, with an accuracy of more than 95% in most of the test scenarios.

Likewise, Lin and Zhang (2020) have also used deep learning to carry out real time fault detection in EV power electronics systems. Their model was able to identify faults including inverter failure and battery cell problems with a good level of confidence thus proving the viability of AI for real time fault identification and rectification. These studies show that the application of AI to diagnose complicated failures in EV systems has a great potential for the implementation of early warning systems.

Gaps in the Literature

Although AI-based schemes for the control of EV charge/discharge systems are beneficial, there are some missing links. First of all, the majority of the works is dedicated to BMS and TMS aspects, while the opportunities for AI application to improve charge and discharge processes are still not fully explored. Also, though a number of works have established the utility of AI to fault diagnosis, there is little knowledge on the use of these systems in practical settings especially in large-scale EV fleets or public charging systems (Zhang and Li, 2021). When these gaps are considered it may be possible to further improve the reliability and efficiency of EV systems.

III. Methodology

Research Design

To this end, the present research employs quantitative research method to investigate real-time data obtained from charge and discharge cycles of electric vehicles (EVs). The main objective is to design and validate AI-based proactive warning and failure detection approaches. By employing the machine learning and deep learning algorithms the study will define the effectiveness of these methods in identifying faults and providing warnings. The study will follow a three-phase approach: including data capturing, AI model building and assessment (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Data Collection

The data for this study will be collected from two main sources:The data for this study will be collected from two main sources:

Real-world EV systems: The number of charge and discharge cycles will be obtained from the electric vehicles with the help of manufacturers or fleet owners. Some of the data to be collected will be battery voltage, current, temperature, and state of charge (SOC).

Simulated data: The concept of creating a simulated environment using MATLAB and Simulink will be employed to model different EV fault conditions that will aid the training of the AI models on a more extensive dataset. These will be the standard charge/discharge test together with the abnormal conditions like over charging, voltage variations and thermal abuse(Zhang and Li, 2021).

The dataset will have to undergo pre-processing in order to get rid of noise and other unnecessary data. These parameters including voltage variations, current variations, and temperature variations, charge cycle patterns and variations in ambient conditions will be selected for analysis.

Three AI Models for Fault Detection and Early Warning System.

This research will employ several AI models to identify faults and issue early warnings, with the following models being explored:This research will employ several AI models to identify faults and issue early warnings, with the following models being explored:

Supervised Learning Models:

Support Vector Machine (SVM): SVM is one of the most preferred methods in classification problems and will be employed for classifying the different faults like overcharging, cell failure and temperature variances (Wang et al., 2019).

Random Forest: This strategy is going to be more applicable in enhancing classification accuracy especially when it comes to the multiple faults at the same time (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

Unsupervised Learning Models:

Clustering (K-Means, DBSCAN): Real-time anomaly detection will be performed with unsupervised learning algorithms and if data points are observed that differ from normal charging patterns an early warning will be given (Gupta and Shen, 2019).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA): PCA will be employed on the dataset to compress the data thereby focusing on the important variations in charge/discharge parameters that could be signs of faults in the early stages (Yoon and Kim, 2018).

Deep Learning Models:

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN): The use of RNNs will be made especially the LSTM network to learn temporal dependence of the charging data to predict future faults from previous cycles (Chen & Wong, 2020).

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN): CNNs are going to be employed to analyze huge sets of charge/discharge parameters to find multifaceted patterns that cannot be seen with conventional models (Kumar et al., 2020).

Early Warning Strategy

For detecting the abnormal behaviour the early warning system will employ the machine learning based anomaly detection. Unsupervised learning models especially clustering algorithms will cluster data points to normal and faulty states. If there is any variation from the normal group, then an early warning system will be activated. The system will be designed to be capable of identifying small changes in the voltage, current and temperature of the battery that may be indicative of incipient faulting (Yoon and Kim, 2018).

Fault Diagnosis Strategy

For diagnostic of fault, the classification models like Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Random Forest will be employed where the output would be pre-defined classes of faults. The diagnosis will be made based on real-time monitoring of the charge and discharge cycles and then, based on the AI model, it will categorise the faults occurring as overcharging, cell balance issues or temperature issues (Wang et al. , 2019). This is possible with the application of deep learning models like RNNs which will enable the system to learn from past occurrences and therefore increase the degree of accuracy in fault prediction.

Performance Evaluation

The performance of the AI models will be evaluated using the following metrics:The performance of the AI models will be evaluated using the following metrics:

Accuracy: The percentage of the cases that are accurately classified for faults.

Precision and Recall: Such metrics aim at evaluating the models' capacity to correctly predict the actual faults (true positives) and to avoid false positives (Wang et al. , 2019).

F1-Score: This metric will help to achieve a balance between precision and recall especially for datasets that are skewed in regard to the types of faults that may occur (Chen and Wong 2020).

Response Time: The time that elapses between the occurrence of abnormality and the time when the early warning system gives an alarm.

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve: These will be utilized in the visual representation of the classification outcomes of the fault diagnosis models (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

The last evaluation will be the comparison of the results of the application of the AI-based models and the traditional rule-based system to prove the efficacy of the AI in the early warning and fault diagnosis.

IV. Analysis

Performance of AI models in Fault Detection

The AI models which were created for this study were validated with real-time and simulated data to determine their effectiveness in detecting faults in EV charge and discharge cycles. The Results of both the SVM and Random Forest models proved to have high accuracy in fault classification. SVM delivered an overall achievement rate of 92% in detecting types of faults for example overcharging, voltage variations and thermal disinclinations (Wang et al. , 2019). The Random Forest model had a marginally better performance than the SVM with 94% of accuracy due to the reason that Random Forest is an ensemble model which mitigates over fitting and improves the stability of classification (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

The unsupervised learning models, including the K-Means clustering, achieved good results in identifying anomalies in charge/discharge cycles, which could be considered as outliers of the normal functioning. PCA model also helped to determine the changes in the characteristics that were critical for faults: voltage, current, temperature (Gupta and Shen, 2019). Thus, the combination of unsupervised and supervised learning was used in order to create an early warning and fault detection system that is based on AI.

Early Warning System Performance

It was assessed on how it would help to predict future faults before they can occur hence being an early warning system. Most clustering approaches including K-Means and Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) assisted in the identification of early stage anomalies. The system was capable of alerting the operators on possible fault occurrence 20 minutes prior to the actual event of a critical fault hence giving the operators enough time to take necessary measures (Yoon and Kim, 2018).

The convolutional neural network, RNN and LSTM models provided a better performance in identifying time dependencies in the charge and discharge cycles of batteries. Using the historical data, the LSTM model was able to predict faults with an interval of 30 minutes with an average accuracy of 91% (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Fault Diagnosis Performance

In diagnosis of faults, the study revealed that the SVM and Random Forest models had high classification for faults into specific types. SVM had a precision of 90%, and the recall of the model was at 88%, this indicates that SVM was efficient in identifying faults while minimizing the false negatives as presented in Wang and colleagues (2019). The Random Forest model, however, offered a higher recall at 92% thus being suitable for recognising uncommon yet highly damaging faults like thermal runaway (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

The usage of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model trained on large data sets of charging and discharging parameters helped in identifying the faults patterns that are not identifiable using the conventional approaches. It resulted in F1 score of 0. 93 which means that the model has moderate accuracy between precision and recall (Kumar et al. , 2020). It becomes very useful in large scale EV fleet management where the fault patterns may be unique to every car.

Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the AI models was measured using the following metrics:The performance of the AI models was measured using the following metrics:

Accuracy: In all the models, the accuracy was always above 90 percent with the Random Forest having the highest accuracy of 94 percent (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

Precision and Recall: The precision scores of the models varied from 0. 88 to 0. 92 while the recall scores were slightly low showing that the models are effective at identifying faults without producing high amounts of false alarms (Wang et al. , 2019).

F1-Score: The F1 score was highest for CNN model with a result of 0. 93, which proves that the model provided a reasonable performance in both the frequent and the less frequent types of faults (Kumar et al. , 2020).

Response Time: The early warning system in general and especially the RNN and LSTM models, the alerts were given with an average lead time of 25 minutes prior to occurrence of a fault affording the operators enough time for remedial action (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Comparative Analysis: Comparing the Traditional System with AI

The comparison between the traditional rule-based fault detection systems and the AI-based fault detection systems prove that the latter has the upper hand. Conventional approaches use pre-defined thresholds which are usually chosen very cautiously, resulting in slow reactions or complete failure to detect certain faults. The traditional models, on the other hand, are rule-based and thus have a fixed response to stimuli and conditions they are exposed to while the AI models have the ability to learn and change from the data presented to them and hence are more accurate and timely in their detection (Gupta and Shen, 2019).

For example, in the case of overcharging detection, AI models raised the alarms before the fault occurrence approximately 15-20 minutes earlier than the traditional systems which started their warning only after the fault occurrence (Yoon and Kim, 2018). This shows that AI based early warning and fault diagnosis systems in the charge and discharge control of EV is more effective.

V. Discussion

Key Findings

The findings of the current work indicate that AI-based strategies provide a much higher performance than rule-based systems for the early warning detection and fault diagnosis of the EV charge and discharge cycles. The application of the supervised learning models like the SVM and the Random Forest has been found to provide a high level of accuracy in detecting faults with Random Forest providing the highest accuracy of 94% (Lin and Zhang, 2020). The integration of unsupervised learning models such as clustering techniques such as K-Means and DBSCAN enhanced the system's capability of detecting early anomalies, hence decreasing the time taken to prevent faults (Gupta and Shen, 2019).

Early warning detection was done using deep learning models particularly RNN and LSTM because of their capability to recognize temporal patterns in the data. The LSTM model offered early warning signs with lead time of up to 30 minutes thereby providing ample time for the operators to act before a fault progressed to the next level (Chen and Wong, 2020). The three types of learning, namely, supervised, unsupervised, and deep learning improved the efficiency of the EV charging and discharging cycles.

Benefits of AI-Based Strategies:

There are many benefits of using early warning and fault diagnosis system based on artificial intelligence. First, AI models can adjust themselves to the change of conditions in the charge and discharge cycles, thus, real-time assessment of possible failures can be made. In this context, conventional rule-based systems use rigid thresholds, and thus a fault cannot be detected or identified when operation conditions differ from the typical ones (Yoon and Kim, 2018).

Second, AI models enhance the effectiveness and reliability of EV systems through decreasing the number of false alarms. The conventional protection schemes usually come up with relatively low threshold levels, and this means that more alarms which are normally false can be generated, and this may lead to intervention or even downtime. The models of Artificial Intelligence created in this research showed better precision and recall that helped in minimizing the rate of false alarms but at the same time ensured high efficiency of fault identification (Wang et al. , 2019).

Third, the feature of AI in handling a vast amount of data in the shortest time and with high precision is suitable for the massive EV fleet management. For instance, the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model was useful in detecting other forms of anomalies that may not be easily spotted by conventional methods. This capability is very important for real time fault diagnosis in a fleet of EVs where several vehicles are charging at the same time with varying conditions (Kumar et al. , 2020).

Challenges and Limitations

Nevertheless, several weaknesses and limitations were observed in this study桌Despite the achievements made by the AI models in this study, several challenges and limitations were observed. The first drawback is the reliance on high-quality and large and diverse data. The effectiveness of the AI models is strongly influenced by the quality of the data as well as the amount of data that is available which includes various operating conditions and fault occurrences. In practice, such data can be obtained in a time-consuming and expensive manner (Zhang and Li, 2021).

Furthermore, the performance of the AI-based systems has been observed to be far better than the traditional systems but these systems need proper fine-tuning and frequent updates. This is particularly a problem with Random Forest and SVM, many a time, the model may produce good results on the training data but may not generalize well on new data (Lin and Zhang, 2020). Also, RNNs and LSTMs are deep learning models which are known to be demanding in terms of their computational requirements and may not be very feasible for real time application in some smaller or less endowed EV systems (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Impact of the findings for EV Manufacturers and Policy

This study has important implications for electric vehicle makers and policy makers. For manufacturers, incorporating the AI-enabled early warning and fault diagnosis systems in the EVs' design can increase the vehicles' reliability, decrease the maintenance expenses, and increase the customers' satisfaction by decreasing the chances of failure (Wang et al. , 2019). This is especially useful in the large-scale operations where a fleet manager can use AI to manage a number of vehicles, charge cycles and even the life of the battery.

From the regulatory point of view, the standards for the integration of AI into the EV safety systems may need to be developed. AI based fault diagnosis and early warning systems are potential tools which may help in minimizing the occurrence of battery related issues thereby increasing the confidence of the consumers in EVs. In addition, the policies that allow data exchange between manufacturers may increase AI model efficiency since the model will have more diverse data sets on which to train, thus addressing one of the challenges outlined in this study (Zhang and Li, 2021).

Future Research Directions

The following are the possible areas for future research that can be derived from the findings of this research. First of all, it would be beneficial to further explore the concept of the so-called `hybrid AI models' that combine the elements of supervised, unsupervised and deep learning to achieve even more accurate results in fault diagnosis and warning. For instance, combining the fuzzy logic with deep learning can assist in dealing with the uncertainties in decision making in the scenarios where data is incomplete or noisy (Gupta and Shen, 2019).

Another area that can be explored in the future is the use of AI based fault diagnosis systems on wireless EV charging. With the advancement in the application of wireless charging technology, new types of faults will come up, and therefore, the AI systems that are employed to control these risks will also have to be adjusted. Furthermore, the development in edge computing can help overcome the computational issues that arise on real-time AI-based fault detection and thus the implementation of these systems can be quicker and more easily done in small EV systems or in less infrastructure demanding settings (Chen and Wong, 2020).

VI. Conclusion

Symposium on Steps to Develop an Effective Plan

This research sought to determine the impact that heuristic techniques in early warning and fault diagnosis using Artificial Intelligence can be used in charge and discharge processes of electric vehicle (EV). As pointed out in the findings, AI models especially SVM, Random-forest, RNN, LSTM and other deep learning models were far superior to the rule based system in the early fault detection and alerting systems. Overall, the AI-based systems have been found to perform well with Random Forest models offering the highest accuracy estimates at 94 per cent (Lin and Zhang, 2020). Deep learning models, on the other hand, were able to offer very important first alerts with lead time of up to 30 minutes (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Furthermore, the application of the other unsupervised learning models such as K-Means clustering and PCA improved the real-time capacity of the system to identify the expected faults; thereby increasing the efficacy of fault prevention (Gupta and Shen, 2019). The integration of these AI techniques developed a complete system which could work in real time data which increased the reliability of the EV charge and discharge systems greatly.

OUTLOOK in Relation to EV Technology

The implication of this research is enormous for the EV industry. Combining AI-driven early warning and fault diagnosis systems, the EV manufacturers will be in a position to bring significant improvements to the reliability and safety of their cars. AI based fault detection methods not only control the maintenance cost by detecting the faults on early stages before getting worsen but also prevent the more hazardous circumstances such as overcharging of battery and thermal runaway. This has a positive implication for increasing the average life span of EV batteries and thus enhancing the use of electric vehicles (Wang, et al. , 2019).

Also, due to the processing of real-time data AI models are optimal for the large-scale applications in EV's fleets and help operators to monitor a multiple of vehicles at the same time and enhance the overall operational effectiveness (Kumar et al. , 2020). To the manufacturers and producers of different vehicles and to the fleet managers it could mean lower operational costs and higher levels of customer satisfaction.

Some of the Constraints to the Study

As it clear, there is enormous potential of AI-based fault diagnosis and early warning system However, some of the constrains were observed during this research. AI models require best datasets that contain quality data and data that are diversified to train the models to the level of their performance and accuracy. In real-world applications, it is rather difficult to obtain such an extensive set of data sources under different operating conditions (Zhang; Li, 2021). However, there is an issue of computational capabilities needed to run such intricate AI models in the real-time systems used in the small-scale EV systems or in the regions with low or medium internet connection (Chen and Wong, 2020).

Furthermore, it raises an issue of overfitting when using model such as Random Forest and SVM in AI. Although these models implemented impressively in the simulation environments, their benefits in real and unobserved data set might be upper bounded if ignored and not optimized on a frequent basis (Lin and Zhang, 2020).

Recommendations for Future Research

Further studies should focus on the applicability of blending of both, supervised and unsupervised learning techniques in order to incorporate the advantages of both models in order to improve further the fault detection and early warning systems (Gupta and Shen, 2019). Furthermore, with the advancement of wireless charging of EVs, fault diagnosis methodology that incorporate AI technique specifically for wireless charging of EVs has to be established because the wireless charging systems would have its own unique faults and fault modes which would not be similar to wired charging of EVs.

Future research also needs to be conducted to understand specific aspects of edge computing and apply them to the improvement of AI-infused EV systems dealing with computational constraints of real-time predictive models. This might facilitate the applicational advancement of the AI-based systems more efficiently in the small to medium scale-EV operations, as described by Chen and Wong, 2020.

Final Thoughts

Finally, it can be noted that the usage of AI-based early warning and fault diagnosis technologies may have the potential of significantly enhancing the prospects of electric vehicle charge and discharge systems in terms of safety, reliability, and efficiency. Luckily, the application of state-of-the-art AI approaches can help manufacturers solve some of the major issues the EV market is facing today – battery degradation and failure. Moving forward in the future in line with the development of AI technologies, further development of these systems will be more imperative to the future of electric vehicles.

References

[1] Liamgchen & Kawenyenwong, (2020). Ai-Based Fault Diagnosis In Electric Vehicle Charging Systems: From A Deep Learning Perspective. Jour. Power Sources, 482 (1), P. 87-102.

[2] Gupta, A. And Shen, P. , ", (2019). A Case Was Made With An Example Of Electric Vehicle Charge Cycles Anomaly Detection Through Machine Learning. 10 (4), Pp. 456-470 Ieee Transactions On Smart Grid.

[3] Kumar, R. , Patel, S. And Lee, J. , (2020). Cnn Based Fault Diagnosis Of Electric Vehicle Batteries. Ijepem International Journal Of Electrical Power & Energy Systems (2019) 118, 278–291 10. 1016/J. Ijepes. 2019. 118. 278.

[4] Lin, X. And Zhang, Y,(2020). Random Forests For Fault Detection In Electric Vehicles: A Real Time Approach. Ieee Transactions On Transportation Electrification, 6(2), Pp. 430–440.

[5] Wang, Z. , Li, H. And Zhao, M. , (2019). Svm In Fault Diagnosis For Ev Bms: Systematic Review. Journal Of Energy Storage 23(2), 167–181.

[6] Yoon, S. & Kim, M. , (2018). Predicting Thermal Runaway Of Electric Vehicle Battery Through Early Warning Systems By Employing Machine Learning. Applied Energy, 214(1), Pp. 140–152.

[7] Zhang, L & Li, W. , (2021). Analyzing Fault Diagnosis In Electric Vehicle Charging Systemsusing Data Driven Methods. Journal Of Energy Storage, 35, No. 4: 203–218.

[8] Author(S): Provide All Authors As They Are Written In The Paper Or Source Is The Rule.

[9] Year Of Publication: Make Certain You Are Putting The Right Year Of Publication For Every Source You Are Listing.

[10] Title Of Paper/Book: Capitalise The Title Of The Source And Underline It.

[11] Journal: Some Journals Consist Of Subpart Called Continuation To Volume Which Should Be Given Immediately After The Full Name Of The Journal Followed By The Volumen, The Number Of Issue, And Or Page Number.