

## Increased SuccessRate in Delayed Reverse Sural Artery Flap

**Author: Dr.A.Kavitha Priya, M.Ch (Plastic)**

Corresponding Author: Dr.M.Sundararaj,M.Ch(Plastic)

Department Of Plastic Surgery, Coimbatore Medical College Hospital, The Tamil Nadu DR.M.G.R Medical University, Tamil Nadu, India.

---

### **Abstract**

**Background:**Soft-tissue defects of the distal third of the lower extremity, ankle and foot present significant challenges to the reconstructive surgeon. The options in this region are limited. The reverse superficial sural artery flap (RSSAF) is a popular option for the defects. Our initial experience with this flap resulted in 50% failure rate, mostly because of critical venous congestion. To overcome this, we have modified our operative technique, which has resulted in a more reliable and increased survival of the flap.

**Methods:**All patients reconstructed were having lower third leg, ankle and foot defects. In response to a high rate of venous congestion in the earlier flaps, we adopted a uniform change in the operative technique .A key modification was to delay the flap both in regular and extended flaps. And we kept the pedicle width of about 4 cm.

**Results:**Twenty one patients were reconstructed with Reverse superficial sural artery flap. Salvage rate in the earlier group was 50% compared with almost 98% in the delayed sural flap cases.

**Conclusion:**Venous congestion greatly impairs the survival of the RSSAF. A pedicle width of at least 4 cm is recommended to maintain venous drainage and preserve flap viability. We observed that the delayed flap had the survival rate of almost 98%.

**Keywords:**lower third defects, ankle defects, delayed sural flap, reverse sural flap and venous congestion.

---

Date of Submission: 01 -09-2017

Date of acceptance: 13-09-2017

---

### **I. Introduction**

Soft tissue defect management around the lower third of the leg and foot poses a considerable challenge to the reconstructive surgeon because of the composite tissue defects, inadequate and tight local tissues and poor circulation(1). Tendons, bone or hardware are frequently exposed because of the thinness of subcutaneous tissues, making skin grafting a poor option (2). A durable flap with good skin texture, reliable vascularity, good arc of rotation, ease of dissection and minimum donor site morbidity is the most desired option for coverage of such defects(3,4). The different local flaps for hind foot defects are dorsalispedis artery flap, abductor hallucis and abductor digitiminimi muscle flaps, having inadequate tissue and a limited arc of rotation thereby limiting their use. Medial planter artery flap is an ideal option for the weight bearing heel but its involvement in trauma frequently precludes its use(5).Locoregional flaps for lower leg and ankle defects such as peroneal artery flap, anterior and posterior tibial artery flaps have the disadvantage of sacrificing a major artery in an already traumatized leg(6)Supramalleolar flap is an another option but its reliability is questionable in case of vascular compromise(7). Free tissue transfer is an ideal option in most circumstances but the need for microsurgical expertise and prolonged operating time remain its disadvantages(8) The distally based sural flap, first described by Masquelet et al(9) in 1992 as a neurocutaneous island flap, is an another option for coverage of lower 1/3 of leg, ankle and foot defects.

### **Anatomyof Sural Nerve**

The sural nerve is the sensory nerve supplying the skin of the lateral and posterior aspects of the distal third of the leg and the lateral aspect of the foot. In adults, the distal portion of the sural nerve can be located 1 to 1.5 cm posterior to and parallel with the posterior border of the lateral malleolus. In most cases (approximately 67 percent), the sural nerve is formed by the union of the medial sural cutaneous nerve and the lateral sural cutaneous nerve (also sometimes referred to as the peroneal communicating branch). The medial sural cutaneous nerve and lateral sural cutaneous nerve are branches of the tibial nerve and common fibular nerve, respectively. The site at which these two nerves join is most commonly in the lower third of the leg, but ranges from the popliteal fossa to just below the ankle. In the remaining approximately 33 percent of cases where the medial sural cutaneous nerve and lateral sural cutaneous nerve do not unite to form the sural nerve ,the sural nerve is almost always an extension of the medial sural cutaneous nerve, in which case the terms can

be used interchangeably. In these cases, the lateral sural cutaneous nerve is most commonly diminished or not present at all, but it may be present and run parallel to the medial sural cutaneous nerve. Very rarely (1.5 percent), the medial sural cutaneous nerve is not present or diminished, in which case, the sural nerve is an extension of the lateral sural cutaneous nerve.

### **Retrograde Arterial Supply**

The superficial sural arteries and the musculocutaneous perforators from the gastrocnemius are divided in the course of raising the distally based sural flap. Thus, the flap relies on retrograde blood supply by means of distal sources rather than the proximal anterograde supply. There are at least four sources of blood supply in this retrograde blood supply: 1. fasciocutaneous perforators from the peroneal artery, 2. fasciocutaneous perforators from the posterior tibial artery, 3. venocutaneous perforators from the lesser saphenous vein, and 4, neurocutaneous perforators from the sural nerve(18-20). The classically described arterial supply to the distally based sural flap is provided by septocutaneous perforators arising from the peroneal artery, of which the average leg has three to six. These perforators pass between the fibula and flexor hallucis longus proximally and between the soleus and peroneus longus more distally. The most distal of these is located 4 to 7 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus. These vessels connect directly with the superficial sural arteries(3,21). The sural flap is also supplied by four or five septocutaneous perforators arising from the posterior tibial artery. Nakajima et al. have demonstrated the presence of neurocutaneous perforators and venocutaneous perforators arising from the small arteries accompanying the sural nerve and the lesser saphenous vein, respectively(19,20) In addition to their intrinsic blood supply, each of these structures has an extrinsic vascular plexus that runs along its length. This extrinsic vascular supply provides perforators that supply the skin and fascia of the sural angiosome. Thus, inclusion of the sural nerve and lesser saphenous vein in the flap provides two sources of arterial supply in addition to the fascial plexus supplied by the two groups of septocutaneous perforators .

### **Venous Drainage**

Only one study investigating the venous drainage of the distally based sural flap has been published(23) The skin and fascia of the flap is drained primarily by the lesser saphenous vein (also sometimes referred to as the small saphenous vein). The lesser saphenous vein drains directly into the popliteal vein. The lesser saphenous vein contains numerous valves that prevent retrograde blood flow. There are, however, one or more smaller collateral veins that run parallel to the lesser saphenous vein. These veins have anastomotic connections to the lesser saphenous vein, which can allow blood to bypass the valves of the lesser saphenous vein and flow in a retrograde fashion. Venous blood can then either drain directly from this small vein into the concomitant vein of a perforator from the peroneal artery or it can drain back into the lesser saphenous vein, which then drains into a similar concomitant vein. The location and prevalence of venous perforators parallels that of arterial perforators. Each arterial perforator is generally accompanied by one or two concomitant veins(3)

### **Surgical Technique**

The pivot point for the flap is first identified posterior and superior to the lateral malleolus. Most authors contend that the pivot point must be a minimum of approximately 5 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus but some authors claim a minimal distance as large as 10 to 11 cm to achieve consistent flap survival. Doppler ultrasonography may be used to identify perforating vessels to aid in the planning of a pivot point. Either of two approaches can be taken in designing the flap. If the pivot point is kept relatively low, the fascial pedicle can be kept short, and the flap can be harvested entirely from the distal two-thirds of the posterior lower leg. Alternatively, if the pivot point is taken high to preserve potential perforating vessels, the fascial pedicle must be longer to reach the defect site. If this second approach is taken, the flap may be taken within 1 to 2 cm of the popliteal crease. The maximum size of the flap is another point of some controversy. Various case series report maximum flap sizes of 12 to 23 cm in length and 8 to 16 cm in width. Because of their suprafascial location, the median sural nerve, superficial sural artery, and lesser saphenous vein are generally transected in the course of this incision. The median superficial sural artery and lesser saphenous vein can then be ligated as a single unit or individually. If the proximal border of the flap is taken closer to the popliteal fossa, where the sural nerve and lesser saphenous vein are located subfascially, the adipofascial connections between the fascia and the proximal portions of these structures should be carefully preserved to maintain the venocutaneous and neurocutaneous perforators that arise from their extrinsic vasculature(19,20)

### **Sural Flap Delay and Delayed Sural Flap**

In attempts to redirect blood flow and decrease the risk of flap necrosis and venous congestion, several authors have described sural flap delay procedures. The idea of a delayed sural flap was first introduced in 1984 by Angelats and Albert. A distally based sural flap delay procedure was not reported in the literature until 2004.<sup>75</sup> Since this publication, three additional authors have reported their experience with sural delay

procedures. Delaying the sural flap improves outcomes, especially in patients with significant medical comorbidities.

Two distinct delay procedures have been described.

1. In the technique of Erdmann et al., the flap is first elevated without completely incising the proximal edge of the skin island. A powder-free glove is then placed between the elevated fascia and the gastrocnemius muscle, and the skin is closed. Two weeks later, the flap is completely elevated and transferred into the defect site (25). This procedure has the goal of redirecting blood flow in a longitudinal direction before complete elevation of the flap (sural flap delay procedure).

2. In the technique used by Kneser et al., the flap is raised in its entirety and then sutured back into its donor site. The flap is then transferred into its recipient site as a second procedure (24). This technique allows the flap to become viable on its distal vascular pedicle before causing the additional trauma of transferring the flap, which can potentially compromise that pedicle (delayed sural flap procedure).

## II. Materials And Methods

We operated on twenty one patients. They were operated for lower one third leg, ankle and foot defects. Nineteen cases had post traumatic defects in lower third leg and foot. In two cases, the defect was following the release of post burn contracture ankle. Nineteen cases were males. One was female and another one was a female child. Age ranging from 6-65 years. For all the patients, preoperative hand held Doppler was done to identify the perforators. Patients with comorbid conditions like diabetes and hypertension were also included in our study. Defect size ranging from 6-15 cm.

### Heel defect-Parallel delay



**Lower third leg defect- Complete flap delay**



**Ankle defect**



**Heel defect –RSA Cover**



**Discussion**

The sural flap is a useful and versatile reconstructive option in patients with soft-tissue defects of the foot and lower leg. Its success, when performed correctly in an appropriately selected patient, is well documented. Despite these successes, flap necrosis and other complications like venous congestion remain significant. Even if perforating vessels are theoretically present very far distally, these perforators are not always sufficient to supply and drain the flap, especially in a vascularity compromised patient. In practice, the flap size and pivot point position are dictated by the geometric contour of the defect needing repair. Our previous cases had the flap necrosis of almost 50%. To avoid unacceptably high complication rates in the repair of larger and more distal defects, we nowadays routinely use the sural flap delay procedures in all our cases and keep the pedicle width of about 4 cms. We adopted two methods of delaying the sural flap. In regular RSA flap we raised the flap from the bed entirely and sutured it back. Flap inset was given after seven days. In extended RSA flap we did the parallel delay that is flap margins were raised by making parallel incisions without incising the proximal margin. After four days proximal incision was done. Flap inset was given at the end of seven days. After doing the delay in RSA flap, the venous congestion was less and the survival of the RSA flap increased to about 98%.

In careful attention to patient positioning in the early postoperative period can make a difference. The flap should be free of pressure and other mechanical forces. Either light dressings or no dressings at all are most successful. Limb elevation is crucial in the prevention of venous congestion.

### III. Conclusion

The distally based sural flap offers an alternative to free tissue transfer for reconstruction of the lower extremity. The pivot point should typically be kept at least 5 to 6 cm above the lateral malleolus, although lower pivot points are possible. The pedicle should be kept as wide to increase the survival rate. By means of delaying the RSA routinely we can avoid complications like venous congestion and flap necrosis. And the survival rate is almost 98% when the RSA was delayed.

### References

- [1]. Ponte'n, B. The fasciocutaneous flap: Its use in soft tissue defects of the lower leg. *Br. J. Plast. Surg.* 34: 215, 1981.
- [2]. Donski, P. K., and Fogdestam, I. Distally based fasciocutaneous flap from the sural region: A preliminary report. *Scand. J. Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 17: 191, 1983.
- [3]. LeHuec, J.C., Midy, D., Chauveaux, D., et al. Anatomic basis of the sural fascio-cutaneous flap: Surgical applications. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* 10: 5, 1988.
- [4]. Masquelet, A. C., Romana, M. C., and Wolf, G. Skin island flaps supplied by the vascular axis of the sensitive superficial nerves: Anatomic study and clinical experience. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 89: 1115, 1992.
- [5]. Mahakkanukrauh, P., and Chomsung, R. Anatomical variations of the sural nerve. *Clin. Anat.* 15: 263, 2002.
- [6]. Ugrenovic, S., Vasovic, L., Jovanovic, I., and Stefanovic, N. Peculiarities of the sural nerve complex morphologic types in human fetuses. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* 27: 25, 2005.
- [7]. Taylor, G.I., and Pan, W.R. Angiosomes of the leg: Anatomic study and clinical implications. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 102: 599, 1998.
- [8]. Satoh, K. S., Fukuya, F., Matsui, A., and Onizuka, T. Lower leg reconstruction using a sural fasciocutaneous flap. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 23: 97, 1989.
- [9]. Magden, A. O., Menderes, A., Yilmaz, M., and Barutcu, A. Anatomical study of the origin and course of the median superficial sural artery. *Eur. J. Plast. Surg.* 19: 29, 1996.
- [10]. Sladjana, U.Z., Ivan, J.D., Ljiljana, V.P., et al. Neurovascular stalk of the superficial sural flap: Human fetus anatomical study. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 116: 546, 2005.
- [11]. Frachinelli, A., Musquelet, A., Restrepo, J., and Gilbert, A. The vascularized sural nerve. *Int. J. Microsurg.* 3: 57, 1981.
- [12]. Li, Z., Liu, K., and Li, L. Lateral sural cutaneous artery island flap in the treatment of soft tissue defects at the knee. *Br. J. Plast. Surg.* 43: 546, 1990.
- [13]. Rajendra Prasad, J. S., Chaudhari, C., Cunha-Gomes, D., et al. The veno neuro adipofascial pedicled distally based sural island myofasciocutaneous flap: Case reports. *Br. J. Plast. Surg.* 55: 210, 2002. 14. Fourn, B. L., Caye, N., and Pannier, M. Distally based sural fasciomuscular flap: Anatomic study and application for filling leg or foot defects. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 107: 67, 2001.
- [14]. Hallock, G. G. Anatomic basis of the gastrocnemius perforator-based flap. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 47: 517, 2001.
- [15]. Cavadas, P. C., Sanz-Gime'nez-Rico, J. R., la Ca'mara, A. G., et al. The medial sural artery perforator free flap. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 108: 1609, 2001.
- [16]. Thione, A., Valdatta, L., Buoro, M., et al. The medial sural artery perforators: Anatomic basis for a surgical plan. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 53: 250, 2004.
- [17]. Batchelor, J. S., and McGuinness, A. A reappraisal of axial and nonaxial lower leg fascial flaps: An anatomic study in human cadavers. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 97: 993, 1996.
- [18]. Nakajima, H., Imanishi, N., Fukuzumi, S., et al. Accompanying arteries of the cutaneous veins and cutaneous nerves in the extremities: Anatomical study and a concept of the veno adipofascial and/or neuro adipofascial pedicled fasciocutaneous flap. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 102: 779, 1998.
- [19]. Nakajima, H., Imanishi, N., Fukuzumi, S., et al. Accompanying arteries of the lesser saphenous vein and sural nerve: Anatomic study and its clinical applications. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 103: 104, 1999.
- [20]. Yang, D., and Morris, S. F. Reversed sural island flap supplied by the lower septocutaneous perforator of the peroneal artery. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 49: 375, 2002. 22. Zhang, F. H., Chang, S. M., Lin, S. Q., et al. Modified distally based sural neuro-veno-fasciocutaneous flap: Anatomical study and clinical applications. *Microsurgery* 25: 543, 2005.
- [21]. 23. Imanishi, N., Nakajima, H., Fukuzumi, S., and Aiso, S. Venous drainage of the distally based lesser saphenous-sural veno-neuro adipofascial pedicled fasciocutaneous flap: A radiographic perfusion study. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 103: 494, 1999.
- [22]. 24. Kneser, U., Bach, A. D., Polykandriotis, E., et al. Delayed reverse sural flap for staged reconstruction of the foot and lower leg. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 116: 1910, 2005.
- [23]. 25. Erdmann, D., Gottlieb, N., Humphrey, J. S., et al. Sural flap delay procedure: A preliminary report. *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 54: 562, 2005.

\*DR. A. Kavitha Priya. "Increased Success Rate in Delayed Reverse Sural Artery Flap." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)* 16.9 (2017): 24-28