IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 17, Issue 11 Ver. 2 (November. 2018), PP 39-44
www.iosrjournals.org

"Postoperative analgesics used in private clinics: A study in several private clinics in Pabna, Bangladesh"

Dr. Md. Abdus Salam[1], Dr. Md. Monirul Islam[2], Dr. Habibun Nabi Md. Shafiquzzaman[3] , Dr. Mohammad Mostafizur Rahman[4] ,Dr. ATM Nurul Kabir[5]

1. *Assistant professor (Anesthesiology), OSD, DGHS, Mohakhali, Dhaka. Attachement with Pabna Medical College & Hospital, Pabna, Bangladesh*
2. *Assistant professor (Anesthesiology), OSD, DGHS, Mohakhali, Dhaka. Attachement with Pabna Medical College & Hospital, Pabna, Bangladesh*
3. *Junior Consultant (Surgery), FCPS, Rajshahi Medical College & Hospital*
4. *Associate Professor & Head, Dept. of Anesthesiology & ICU, Ashiyan Medical College Hospital, Barua Khilkhet, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
5. *Consultant, Anesthesiology, Medi Home Hospital, Pirerbag, Mirpur, Dhaka, Bangladesh*

Corresponding Author: Dr. Md. Abdus Salam

Abstract: *Postoperative pain management by analgesics use has become a major medical and nursing challenge in all over the world. Due to the various constraints, analgesics use in postoperative pain management in Bangladesh has got greater attention from the scientist and policy makers. We conducted an observational study to assess the, effect of postoperative analgesics used in patients in several private clinics, Bangladesh. Subject selection was purposive who were admitted in the selected clinics from January 2017 to December 2017. We recorded patient's demographics, postoperative pain orders, and analgesia regime on the day of surgery. Anesthesia team, which included one of the investigators, assessed the overall pain since the time of surgery by visual analogue scale (VAS) and also recorded any complications since the time of surgery and patients' satisfaction with the pain management. A total of 150 patients were reviewed during the study period. The common modality of pain management was intravenous opioid infusion (94%) and co-analgesia was used in 99% of patients. The analysis of pain at rest by VAS was between 1 and 3 in 89.7%, 4 and 6 in 9.5%, and 7 and 10 in 0.8% of patients. The VAS on movement was 1–3 in 60.1%, 4–6 in 33.1%, and 7–10 in 6.8% of patients. Patients' opinion regarding postoperative pain management was satisfactory in 76.60% of patients and unsatisfactory in 23.40% of patients. In the conclusion, the regime for postoperative pain management by analgesics use was not adequately satisfied.*

Key words: *Analgesics, postoperative pain assessment, visual analogue scale*

Date of Submission: 25-10-2018 Date of acceptance: 06-11-2018

I. Introduction

Modern anesthesia has advanced to a point at which all patients can be guaranteed a pain-free intra-operative period. Unfortunately, we often fall short when it comes to providing post-operative pain relief. It is not surprising; therefore, that what patients often fear most about a surgical procedure is the pain they will experience afterward. Most people suffer from post-operative pain of varying intensity that undergoes operation. Inadequate treatment and improper analgesics use causes needless suffering and may develop complications. John J. Bonica again said that, "Inadequate or improper application of knowledge and therapies currently available is certainly one of the most important factors resulting in inadequate relief of pain." The goal for postoperative pain management is to reduce or eliminate pain and discomfort with a minimum of side effects as cheaply as possible. Postoperative pain relief must reflect the needs of each patient and this can be achieved only if many factors are taken into account. These may be summarized as clinical factors, patient-related factors and local factors. In the final analysis the ultimate determinant of the adequacy of pain relief will be the patient's own perception of pain. Many studies have attributed the cause of this problem to the lack of knowledge and poor attitude of both health personnel and patients toward pain and also due to the lack of a dedicated pain management service. Patients have even more compelling reasons to achieve optimal postoperative pain relief, as they present with unique challenges; such as, a higher risk for thromboembolic events, which may also be precipitated by immobility from inadequate pain control or excessive sedation associated with the use of opioids. The aim of our study was to assess the effect of analgesics used in private clinics in Bangladesh. In our observations, we reviewed broad areas of outcome, such as effectiveness, safety, and tolerability. Effectiveness

was inferred from visual pain scores and satisfaction. Safety and tolerability were assessed by the occurrence of side effects.

II. Objectives

1. General objective:

a) To assess the effect of post operative analgesics used in private clinics, Bangladesh

2. Specific objectives:

a) To know more about post operative pain management scenario in private clinics, Bangladesh

b) To know more about analgesics used in Private clinics, Bangladesh

III. Materials and Methods

This was an observational study conducted in five (5) private clinics in Pabna district, Bangladesh from January, 2017 to December 2017 in the OT. All patients were reviewed by one of the investigator. On the day of surgery, data entered in the predesigned questionnaire included patients' names, hospital number, technique of anesthesia used, postoperative pain orders, and specialty of the physician prescribing the postoperative analgesia. Anesthesia team followed the patients on the first postoperative day, and data regarding the type of postoperative analgesia, co-analgesia used (NSAIDs or paracetamol in either oral or suppository form); team managing the postoperative pain, assessment of pain severity, complications and patient satisfaction with the pain management were noted. In our study, we used a VAS of 0–10. The overall VAS score, since the time of surgery, was recorded. VAS of 0–3 as graded as mild, VAS of 4–6 as moderate, and VAS of 7–10 as severe pain, Safety and tolerability were assessed by the occurrence of side effects. The common complications specifically looked for were nausea, vomiting, drowsiness, headache, backache, pruritus, sedation, respiratory depression, urinary retention, muscle weakness, and inability to walk. The data were entered and analyzed in SPSS (version 14). Frequencies of type of anesthesia, patient satisfaction, complications, and visual pain score at rest and at movement, any co-analgesia used and post operative pain orders and ordering physicians are generated, component bar chart for severity of pain at different position was made, and 95% confidence interval for the patient satisfaction was also computed.

IV. Results

One hundred and fifty patients had an elective cesarean section during one (1) year study period. The postoperative analgesia regime was started by the obstetric team in 81% of patients and in rest by the anesthesia team. The follow-up of these patients for the pain management was done by obstetric team in 94% and rest by the acute pain management service (APMS).

Figure 1: Age distribution of study participants (n=150)



Figure shows those mid-age groups (20-35 years) are dominating this distribution. However, Aged group (36-44) is the least participants of this study.

Table1: Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of post-cesarean section women in Private clinics

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)
Age		
14-19 years	33	22.00
20-35 years	88	58.66
36-44 years	29	19.33
Years of education		
Service	22	14.66
Unemployed	128	85.33
Socioeconomic Class		
Poor	59	39.33
Middle class	69	46.00
Wealthy	22	14.66

Table shows that 85.33 percent study participants are unemployed and the poor class was 39.33 participants.

Table 2: Characteristics of postoperative pain among post-cesarean section women in the clinics

Variables	**Post-cesarean section women**	
	Frequency	Percent
Pain at surgical site		
Yes	44	29.33
No	106	70.66
Pain Intensity		
Mild	21	47.72
Moderate	19	43.18
Severe	4	9.09
Moment when feeling pain		
Movement	29	65.90
Rest Always	15	34.09

Twenty nine (29.33) percent women suffered Pain at surgical site. Among them severe pain was 9 percent and mild and moderate were 48 and 43 percent respectively. 66 percent can move with moderate pain and the remaining are always rest.

Figure 2: Percentage of patients receiving different types of opioid as intravenous infusion (n = 150)



Figure shows that Nalbuphine Hydrochloride mostly used in the private clinics as an analgesics which are bearing 38% of whole patients. Other used analgesics are Ketorolac, Diclofenac Sodium, Pethedine, Tarmadol Hydrochloride bearing percentage 28%, 14%, 11 and 9% respectively.

Table 3: Percentages of patients receiving different types of co-analgesia medications (n = 150)

Co analgesia used	Frequency	Number of patients (%)
Dicofenac sodium(100 mg suppository)	112	74.50
Tablet paracitamol(1 g)	7	5.50
Both (tablet and Suoousitory)	31	21.00

Table shows seventy five(74.50) percent study participants used Dicofenac sodium(100 mg suppository) as a co-analgesia and five(5.5) only used Tablet paracitamol(1 g) as a co-analgesia. However, twenty one percent participants used both.

Table 4: Distribution of satisfaction level of the study participants (n=150)

Variables	Satisfaction level	
	Frequency	Percent
Highly satisfied	32	21.33
Satisfied	69	46.00
No Satisfaction	49	32.66

Twenty one (21.33) percent participants were highly satisfied. However, 33 percent were not satisfied with the post operative pain management service. Forty six percent participants were moderately satisfied.

Figure 3: Percentage of patients having mild, moderate, and severe pain scores at rest and movement



	Severe	Mederate	Mild
Rest	5.2	12.3	82.5
Movement	7.3	33.2	59.5

Analysis of overall pain score since the time of surgery showed mild pain (VAS 0–3) in 82.5%, moderate pain (VAS 4–6) in 15.3%, and severe pain (VAS 7–10) in 2.2 % of patients at rest. On movement, pain score was mild in 59.5%, moderate in 33.2%, and severe in 3.3% of patients. Patients' opinion regarding their pain management was satisfactory for 46.00% (n = 150; 95% C.I.: 88.3%, 95.0%), while 32% (49) of patients were not satisfied. Out of 49 patients not satisfied with postoperative pain management, 26 (50%) had severe pain on movement, while 2 had severe pain at rest. Upon further look at the VAS scores for these patients, we found 1 patient with VAS of 10, 4 with VAS of 8, and 7 with VAS of 7 at movement. The patient who had VAS of 10 at movement was the only one with severe pain (VAS 8) at rest.

V. Discussion

High-quality pain relief is important after surgery to promote early recovery and optimize ability to care for the patients. Surveys [1] shown advances in postoperative pain management, postoperative pain relief and satisfaction are still inadequate in some patients because of individual variability and limitation from side effects of analgesic drugs or techniques. [2] Historically, surgeons have prescribed postoperative pain medications when writing general postoperative orders. Marks and Sachar[3] noted that 73% of postoperative patients experienced distressing pain due to inadequate doses of analgesics prescribed at infrequent intervals by the physicians. Loper et al.[4] have demonstrated an inadequate knowledge of health care providers regarding analgesics leading to ineffective pain control. In our study, we observed that in the majority of cases, postoperative orders were prescribed and followed up by the obstetrics team. One way to meet the demands of managing postoperative pain is the introduction of an anesthesiology-based acute pain service.[5] There is no "gold standard" for post-cesarean pain management. There are number of options, the choice of which is at least partly determined by drug availability, regional and individual preferences, resource limitation, and financial considerations.[6] The issue of cost and availability of the drugs are the main barriers to effective pain control in developing countries. Regional anesthesia provides anesthetists with an effective and convenient route of opioid administration and in many developed countries, it is employed as a method of postoperative pain management after cesarean sections.[7] The administration of epidural and intrathecal opioids is a popular means of augmenting intraoperative anesthesia and optimizing postoperative analgesia.[8] In our part of the world, the only preservative free narcotic available for intrathecal and epidural route is fentanyl, which is routinely used in our unit for cesarean sections performed under spinal anesthesia. While intrathecal fentanyl is widely given due to its intraoperative analgesic effect, unless used in high doses (e.g., fentany l 40–60 µg), the effects are too short lived to be adequate for postoperative pain relief and they do not alter 24 h opioid consumption.[9] In contrast, the lower lipid solubility of morphine delays the onset of action and prolongs its duration, hence making it suitable for postoperative pain management. In developing countries, surgeon prescribed, nurse administered intermittent intramuscular administration of analgesics is the method used for postoperative pain management.[10] In our unit, an intravenous opioid infusion supplemented with anti-inflammatory analgesics is the most common type of postoperative management regime used. Pethidine was the drug of choice and used in a fixed dose of 10 mg/h, irrespective of the weight and individual demand of the patient. [11] described a regular controlled infusion of pethidine at a rate of 0.3 mg/kg/h. Stepletonet al.[12] assessed another regimen for the intravenous infusion of pethedine. They gave a loading dose of 1 mg/min for 45 min followed by 0.53 mg/min for 28 min. A maintenance infusion of 0.4 mg/min was used for the remainder of the 32 h study period. Rutter et al.[13]

In the conclusion, we can recommend that analgesics used in postoperative pain management were modernly adequate in terms of patients' safety but it was not fully satisfactory due to the unavailability of analgesics and the high price of the analgesics. Specially, doctors recommend pethedine but poor patients can't afford it. In order to meet International Standards of Pain Management, an ideal post-cesarean analgesic regimen requires proper utilization of resources to formulate a method which is cost effective, simple to implement, and has minimal impact on staff workload. We recommend expanding the services of acute pain service to develop nurse based; anesthesiologist supervised acute pain service in cooperation with surgeons. This also needs upgrading the role of ward nurses by providing them with proper training to assess pain intensity, administer analgesics, monitor efficacy and adverse events, and be able to participate in collecting data for audits.

VI. Limitations of the study

This study was conducted in one community. So, study results can't give exact scenario in the whole country. On the other hand, we conducted this study with limited sample size due to some unavoidable circumstances, in that perspective; this result can raise a question of Generalizability.

References

[1]. Marks RM, Sachar EJ. Under treatment of medical inpatients with narcotic analgesics. Ann Intern Med 1973;78:173-81.
[2]. Dolin SJ, Cashman JN, Bland JM. Effectiveness of acute postoperative pain management: I. Evidence from published data. Br J Anaesth 2002;89:409-23.
[3]. Loper K, Butler S, Nessly M, Wild L. Paralyzed with pain: The need for education. Pain 1989;37:315-7. Apfelbaum JL,
[4]. Chen C, Mehta SS, Gan TJ. Postoperative pain experience: Results from a national survey suggest postoperative pain continues to Ready LB, Oden R, Chadwick HS, Benedetti C, Rooke GA, Caplan R, et al. Development of an anesthesiology based postoperative pain management service. Anesthesiology 1988;68:100-6.
[5]. Hawkins JL, Gibbs CP, Orleans M, Martin-Salvaj G, Beaty B. Obstetric anesthesia work force survey, 1981 versus 1992. Anesthesiology 1997;87:135-43.
[6]. Chen B, Kwan W, Lee C, Cantley E. A national survey of obstetric post- anaesthesia care in teaching hospitals. Anesth Analg 1993;76:S43.
[7]. Dahlgren G, Hultstrand C, Jakobsson J, Norman M, Eriksson EW, Martin H. Intrathecal sufentanil, fentanyl, or placebo added to Bupivicain for cesarean section. Anesth Analg 1997;85:1288-93.
be undermanaged. Anesth Analg 2003; 97:534-40.

[8]. Kolawole IK, Fawole AA. Postoperative pain management following caesarean section in University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH), Ilorin, Nigeria. West Afr J Med 2003;22:305-9.
[9]. Church JJ. Continuous narcotic infusions for relief of postoperative pain. Br Med J 1979;1:977-9.
[10]. Stepleton JV, Austin KL, Mather LE. A pharmacokinetic approach to postoperative pain: Continuous infusion of pethidine. Anaesth Intensive Care 1979;7:25-32.
[11]. Rutter PC, Murphy F, Dudley HA. Morphine: Controlled trial of different methods of administration for postoperative pain relief. Anaesthesia 1985;40:1086-92.
[12]. Hepner D, Eappen S. Postoperative Analgesia: Systemic and Local Techniques. In: Chestnut DH, Polley LS, Tsen LC, Wong CA, editors. Obstetric Anaesthesia: Principles and Practice. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Mosby; 2009. p. 575-92.

Dr. Md. Abdus Salam. "Postoperative analgesics used in private clinics: A study in several private clinics in Pabna, Bangladesh" IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 11, 2018, pp 39-44.