

## Management Of Gastrointestinal Perforation Following Blunt Trauma Abdomen:- RIMS Ranchi

Anil Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Binay Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Marshal Barun Tudu<sup>3</sup>

1 general surgery(JRA), 2: associate prof general surgery 3: general surgery(JRA)

Corresponding Author: Anil Kumar

---

**Abstract: Background:** Blunt trauma to the abdomen leading to bowel injury is one of the major causes of death in the society. The most important problem associated with gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma is that they frequently remain undetected or are diagnosed too late despite advances in medical imaging.

**Objective:** To evaluate gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma, their anatomical distribution, diagnosis, management, and outcome.

**Materials and Methods:** The study was a prospective observational study conducted at the Department of General Surgery, RIMS RANCHI, from June 2017 to August 2018 on 64 patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma. The study variables included sociodemographic data, radiological findings, perforation-surgery interval, intraoperative findings, and surgical procedure performed. Postoperative outcomes in terms of recovery, postoperative complications, and mortality were studied.

**Results:** Of 64 patients, 60 were male and only 4 were female subjects. The incidence was more in the young age group (maximum in 21–30 years age group) with road traffic accident being the most common causative factor. Free gas under diaphragm in chest X-ray was found in 42 (65.62%) patients, while remaining of them was diagnosed by USG abdomen (18 patients) and in CT abdomen (4 patients). Jejunum was the most common site of perforation followed by ileum. Among the surgical procedures, simple closure with peritoneal lavage was the most commonly performed surgery. Postoperative complications were seen in 22 (34.38%) patients, with chest infection being the most common. Five (7.81%) patients died in the postoperative period with a higher mortality rate in patients with delayed admission in hospital and increasing age (> 50 years).

**Conclusion:** Posttraumatic gastrointestinal perforation most commonly involves the small intestine and can usually be managed by simple closure. Early surgery is associated with a good recovery. Risk of mortality increases with age > 50 years and delay in admission in hospital.

**Key Words:** Blunt trauma, gastrointestinal perforation, primary closure, morbidity, mortality

---

Date of Submission: 14-11-2018

Date of acceptance: 29-11-2018

---

### I. Introduction

Bowel injuries may be caused by either a blunt or penetrating abdominal trauma and injuries as a result of blunt trauma is one of the major cause of death in our society.[1] Blunt trauma causes injuries by either compression or by deceleration. Compression forces can lead to transient rise in intraluminal pressure leading to rupture, especially of the small bowel. Following blunt abdominal trauma, deceleration injuries lead to small bowel injuries typically to happen where mobile and fixed segments are attached and are vulnerable to shear force injury, that is, the proximal jejunum near the ligament of Treitz or at the distal ileum near the ileocecal junction. Samuel Annan reported the first case of intestinal rupture secondary to blunt trauma in 1837. Munns et al.[4] showed that following blunt trauma, the most common small bowel injury was “blowout” perforation on the antimesenteric border of the bowel (55.5%), while the most common colonic injury was a serosal tear/bruise (62.2%). The most important problem associated with gastrointestinal tract lesions following blunt abdominal trauma is that they frequently remain undetected or are diagnosed too late. The present trend towards conservative management of hemodynamically stable trauma patients may be increasing the risk of delay in the diagnosis of traumatic gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma.

### II. Materials and Methods

A total of 64 patients underwent surgery for perforation at the Department of General Surgery RIMS, Ranchi from June 2017 to August 2018 presented with features of peritonitis following blunt abdominal trauma. The study was a prospective observational study. The patients included were those presenting with features of peritonitis following blunt trauma, with isolated injury to abdomen and found to exhibit

gastrointestinal perforation on exploratory laparotomy. The patient with history of penetrating injury to the abdomen and not detected perforation at surgery was excluded from study. First of all, patient was assessed clinically pulse, BP recorded then two iv cannula into veins applied, ryle's tube applied and foley's catheterization was done. Patient was resuscitated by iv crystalloid solution and blood (if required) and broad spectrum iv antibiotics. Patient then sent to radiology department for diagnosis, if perforation detected, patient posted for emergency laparotomy. Laparotomy was performed by a midline incision. Thorough peritoneal lavage was done in every case with warm normal saline (5–8 L). The study variables included sociodemographic data, clinical presentation, radiological findings, perforation-surgery interval, intraoperative findings, and surgical procedure performed. The variables studied in the postoperative period were postoperative complications and mortality. The development of complications was noted in postoperative period till the time of discharge, and, after that, the patients were called for follow-up every 2 weeks up to 3 months.

### III. Results

During the study period, 64 patients underwent surgery for perforation following blunt abdominal trauma. Of them, 60 were male and only 4 were females. The incidence was more in younger age group with most patients between age group of 20 and 40 years. Only eight (12.5%), patients presented age > 50 years.

**Table:- Various variables evaluated in study**

Mechanism of injury	No. (%)
RTA	37 (57.81)
Fall from height	15 (23.44)
Abdomen hit by heavy object	8 (12.5)
Assault	4 (6.25)

Age	No. (%)
11-20	11 (17.18)
21-30	21 (32.81)
31-40	13 (20.31)
41-50	10 (15.63)
51-60	5 (7.81)
>60	4 (6.25)

Site of perforation	No. (%)
Stomach	2 (3.12)
Duodenum	11 (17.19)
Jejunum	25 (39.06)
Ileum	19 (29.69)
Colon	5 (7.81)
Rectum	2 (3.12)

Surgical procedure	No. (%)
Primary repair	42 (65.63)
Resection and anastomosis (RA)	12 (18.75)
Stoma	10 (15.63)

Postoperative complication	No. (%)
Chest infection	8 (12.5)
Superficial infection	15 (23.43)
Abdominal collection	2 (3.13)
Wound dehiscence	2 (3.13)
Fecal fistula	1 (1.56)

Regarding the mechanism of injury: 37 (57.81%) showed history of road traffic accident (RTA), 15 (23.44%) patients received injury owing to fall from height, in 8 (12.5%) patients injury occurred as a result of heavy object hitting the abdomen, and remaining 4 patients had a history of assault.

Perforation was detected by presence of free gas under diaphragm in chest X-ray in 44 (68.75%) patients. In the remaining patients, ultrasonography (USG) abdomen was done. The features suggestive of perforation include fluid in peritoneal cavity, no solid organ injury, and dilated fluid-filled loops of bowel not exhibiting peristalsis. 14 patients showed positive USG findings and were posted for exploratory laparotomy. In the remaining 6 patients, computed tomography (CT) abdomen revealed the presence of perforation. All the patients were posted for surgery following adequate resuscitation, and exploratory laparotomy was performed.

Jejunum was the most common site of perforation in the study subjects followed by ileum [Table ]. Among the procedures performed, simple closure of perforation with peritoneal lavage was the most commonly performed procedure in 42 patients (65.63%). Resection anastomosis was done in 12 cases, while stoma was fashioned in 10 patients.

Twenty eight patients developed complications in the postoperative period with superficial wound infection being the most common [Table ]. One patients developed fecal fistula, of which was managed by re-operation after 6 week. Seven patients died in the postoperative period. Mortality was more in patients reporting late to the hospital, and with age > 50 years.

#### **IV. Discussion**

Blunt abdominal trauma is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality among all age groups. Identification of serious intraabdominal pathology is often challenging. The most common cause of blunt injury abdomen leading to perforation was RTAs, followed by fall from height. Similar observation has been reported by others.[1,3,5] As with other studies, trauma was observed more in male in comparison to female subjects, although the male: female ratio was very high at 16:1.

Most patients were relatively young male subjects exposed to a relatively increased risk of trauma commonly owing to RTAs. One-fifth of our patients reported to the hospital from 2 days after to up to 5 days after the traumatic event with peritonitis. The reason for such delay includes a relatively feeble initial peritoneal irritation induced by the nearly neutral intestinal content, particularly those with perforation between the duodeno-jejunal flexure and the ileocecal junction;[10] in small perforations, the mucosa may prolapse through the hole and partly seal it making early signs misleading,[10,11] and occurrence of a delayed perforation by an evolving injury where an initial contused bowel wall at the time of trauma ultimately gives way after a variable period with resultant peritonitis.[12] Moreover, it has been clearly demonstrated that delay in presentation even as little as 8 h adversely affects outcome following small bowel injury.[13]

Diagnosis of perforation by free gas under right dome of diaphragm was positive in 68.75% of cases, which is consistent with other studies.[8] In suspicious cases with negative X-ray findings, ultrasonography was done. Ultrasonography is convenient, cheap, and noninvasive. Free peritoneal fluid without solid organ injury detected on ultrasound in a patient with trauma to the abdomen is suggestive of a significant injury requiring

exploration.[1] Diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) was the diagnostic method of choice for evaluating blunt abdominal injury in the past but recently has been often replaced by CT imaging.[14] Although DPL is sensitive in identifying hemoperitoneum and associated hollow viscus injury, it has been criticized for its higher rate of nontherapeutic laparotomy.[15] The authors believe that the technique still has an important role in rural areas lacking modern imaging studies facilities. At our center, it is a protocol to do CT abdomen in doubtful cases of perforation who present with negative X-ray and ultrasound findings. CT findings considered diagnostic for bowel injury are contrast extravasation with or without extraluminal air, small bowel thickening, and dilatation; peritoneal fluid with no visible solid organ injury in a trauma patient is a significant sign of bowel injury.

In our study, jejunal perforation was more, followed by ileum and duodenum. This finding is in contrast to other studies in which ileal perforations were more common.[8] Moreover, in some others, the incidence of jejunal and ileal perforations was almost same.[1,4] For hollow viscus perforation, the choice of surgical procedure is simple closure. This was the most commonly

performed procedure in our study consistent with all other studies.[1,2] This is a well-established procedure with minimal complications.[1] For multiple perforations in a small segment, resection and anastomosis is the procedure of choice. In cases of mesenteric injury causing ischemia of the bowel, resection is the treatment of choice. For colonic and rectal perforations, the decision of closure with or without colostomy requires proper consideration. Stoma surgery was done in our study in cases of rectal perforations and colonic perforation, which were either multiple or presented late.

Most patients revealed an uneventful recovery and were discharged with 7 days of surgery. Postoperative complications were seen in 43.75% patients, and most recovered with conservative methods. The most common complication is superficial wound infection and it found in our study. Mortality rates quoted from blunt intestinal trauma range from 10%–30%. Among the various factors which were found to be associated with mortality were age > 50 years and delayed presentation at the hospital after trauma. Similar observation has been noted by other authors also. On the basis of the results of the study, the authors conclude that delayed hospitalization and increased age (age > 50 years) show a higher risk of mortality in posttraumatic perforation peritonitis. Most perforations can be managed by simple repair and peritoneal lavage. Early surgery following adequate resuscitation in gastrointestinal perforation following blunt trauma abdomen is associated with a very good outcome.

## V. Conclusion

Posttraumatic gastrointestinal perforation most commonly involve the small intestine and can usually be managed by simple closure. Early surgery is associated with a good recovery. Risk of mortality increases with age > 50 years and delay in admission in hospital.

## References

- [1]. Sule AZ, Kidmas AT, Awani K, Uba F, Misauno M. Gastrointestinal perforation following blunt abdominal trauma. *East Afr Med J* 2007;84(9):429–33.
- [2]. Dongo AE, Kesieme EB, Irabor DO, Ladipo JK. A review of posttraumatic bowel injuries in Ibadan. *ISRN Surg* 2011;2011:1–
- [3]. Hawkins AE, Mirvis SE. Evaluation of bowel and mesenteric injury: role of multidetector CT. *Abdom Imag* 2003;28(4):505–14.
- [4]. Munns J, Richardson M, Hewett P. A review of intestinal injury from blunt abdominal trauma. *Aust N Z J Surg* 1995;65(12):857–60.
- [5]. Baumer F, Nurnberger P, Imhof M. Peritoneal lavage in the diagnosis of blunt injuries of hollow intra-abdominal organ. *Zentralblatt Fur Chirurgie* 1991;116:1009–17.
- [6]. Hara H, Babyn PS, Bourgeois D. Significance of bowel wall enhancement on CT following blunt abdominal trauma in children. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1992;16(1):94–8.
- [7]. Fakhry SM, Brownstein M, Watts DD, Baker CC, Oller D. Relatively short diagnostic delays (< 8 hours) produce morbidity and mortality in blunt small bowel injury: an analysis of time to operative intervention in 198 patients from a multicenter experience. *J Trauma* 2000;48(3):408–14.
- [8]. Fraga GP, Silva FH, Almeida NA, Curi JC, Mantovani M. Blunt abdominal trauma with small bowel injury: are isolated lesions riskier than associated lesions? *Acta Cir Bras* 2008;23(2):192–7.
- [9]. Nagy KK, Roberts RR, Joseph KT, Smith RF, An GC, Bokhari F, et al. Experience with over 2500 diagnostic peritoneal lavages. *Injury* 2000;31(7):479–82.

Anil Kumar" Management Of Gastrointestinal Perforation Following Blunt Trauma Abdomen:- RIMS Ranchi. "IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 11, 2018, pp 09-12.