

## A randomized controlled study of nifedipine and alfuzosin in the management of distal ureteric stones

Balaji A.R<sup>1</sup>, Danny Darlington C<sup>2\*</sup>, Prakash J.V.S<sup>3</sup>, Vetrichandar S<sup>4</sup>, Arunkumar paranjothi<sup>5</sup>

1-Head of Department and Professor, Department of Urology, Stanley Medical College, The TamilNadu Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai-1, Tamil Nadu, India.

2- Post graduate, Department of Urology, Stanley Medical College, The TamilNadu Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai-1, Tamil Nadu, India

3- Professor, Department of Urology, Stanley Medical College, The TamilNadu Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai 1, Tamil Nadu, India.

4,5- Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Stanley Medical College, The TamilNadu Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai 1, Tamil Nadu, India.

\*Corresponding author: Dr.Danny Darlington C ,

**Abstract: Introduction:** Stone disease is a world-wide health problem. We evaluated the efficacy of nifedipine and alfuzosin in the medical treatment of symptomatic, uncomplicated lower ureteral stones. **Materials & methods:** This was a randomized controlled prospective study to determine the efficacy of alfuzosin and nifedipine as medical therapy, to increase the stone-expulsion rates in distal ureteric calculus of size less than 10 mm. Patients were randomly divided into three equal groups of 70 patients each. Patients in Group I received nifedipine 30 mg/day, Group II received alfuzosin 10 mg/day and Group III received a placebo in same dose frequency. Patients were followed-up weekly until the patient was stone free or up to 28 days. Statistical analysis was performed and  $P < 0.05$  was considered to be significant. **Results:** Stone-expulsion was observed in 60%, 85.7% and 20% patients in Group I, II and III respectively. A statistically significant difference was noted in between Groups I versus III, Groups II versus III and Groups I versus II ( $P < 0.0001$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ , and  $P < 0.0315$  respectively). The mean pain attack episodes were  $5.91 \pm 1.01$  for Group I,  $3.8 \pm 0.83$  for Group II, and  $5.82 \pm 1.12$  for Group III, which is statistical significant in Groups II versus III, and Groups I versus II ( $P < 0.001$  and  $P < 0.001$ ). **Conclusions:** The use of alfuzosin and nifedipine as a medical expulsive therapy for distal ureteric stones is safe and effective in term of increased stone-expulsion rate, reduced pain attacks and decreased hospital re-admissions.

**Key words:** Alfuzosin, distal ureteral stones, medical expulsive therapy, Nifedipine

Date of Submission: 09-05-2018

Date of acceptance: 26-05-2018

### I. Introduction

A large number of patients presenting in surgical emergency have ureteric colic. Many of these patients suffer from distal ureteric stones (70%). Spontaneous expulsion of distal ureteral stones of  $\leq 10$  mm diameter occurs in 25-53% of cases.[1] Medical expulsive therapy (MET) has been used in the management of distal ureteric stones as a supplement to conservative treatment. Tamsulosin is the most commonly studied  $\alpha$ -1 blocker; however, alfuzosin is a combined  $\alpha$ -1 A and  $\alpha$ -1 D selective adrenergic antagonist resulting in relaxation of distal ureteric smooth muscles to facilitate passage of stone, and relieving pain. It is easily available and has less side effects. Nifedipine is a calcium channel blocker, which acts by relieving the smooth muscle spasm in the ureter without interfering with its peristaltic activity. It is effective in stone-expulsion and relieving pain.[2] We performed a comparative study to evaluate the efficacy of nifedipine and alfuzosin in the medical management of symptomatic, uncomplicated distal ureteral stones

### AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the efficacy of nifedipine and alfuzosin in the medical management of symptomatic, uncomplicated distal ureteral stones

## II. Methodology:

Type of study: Randomized controlled study  
Duration of study : September 2015 to February 2017  
Sample size : 210  
Setting : Department of Urology, Government Stanley Medical College Hospital, Chennai.

## III. Procedure

The study was approved by the ethical committee of hospital. The sample size for each group was fixed at 70 and total for three groups was 210. The patients received Nifedipine 30 mg/day in Group I, tablet alfuzosin 10 mg/day in Group II and placebo in Group III as a control group. Patients in all 3 groups received tablet diclofenac sodium (50 mg) and injection diclofenac sodium (75 mg) as needed. An intramuscular injection Tramadol hydrochloride 100 mg was given for severe pain. Patients were followed-up weekly up to 28 days. CT KUB was performed for patients with radiolucent stones if the stone was not expelled by the end of the study. Patients underwent ureteroscopic stone removal for persistent stones after 28 days. Patients having uncontrollable pain were readmitted for injectable analgesics and medication continued. The blood pressure, stone position on imaging, number of pain attacks, time for stone-expulsion, hospital re-admission and any adverse events were recorded.

Patients were assessed with history, physical examination and investigated with complete blood count, blood urea, serum creatinine, routine urine analysis, X-rays kidney, ureter, and bladder (KUB), ultrasonography, intravenous urography and helical computed tomography (CT) whenever necessary. Patients  $\geq 8$  years of either sex with a single, unilateral ureteral stone of  $\leq 10$  mm were included. The distal ureter was defined as the segment from the lower border of the sacroiliac joint to the vesico-ureteric junction. Patients having previous surgery on the ipsilateral ureter, bilateral ureteric stones, multiple stones, solitary kidney, urinary tract infection, moderate or severe hydronephrosis, contraindications for non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g. gastritis), known allergy to tamsulosin or alfuzosin, renal insufficiency, currently on  $\alpha$ -blocker therapy, pregnant or lactating women were excluded.

Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences trial version 17.1 statistical software. Student's t-test, ANOVA, Chi-square and Fisher's exact test were applied as required. The power of the study was 0.80, and the level of significance was 95%.

## IV. Results

A total of 246 patients with lower ureteric colic were assessed for inclusion, of which 36 patients were excluded. The remaining 210 were eligible and included for the study. The mean stone size was  $6.5 \pm 1.78$  mm for Group I,  $6.26 \pm 1.85$  mm for Group II, and  $6.37 \pm 1.85$  mm for Group III. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups in terms of sex, age, or stone size ( $P > 0.05$ ). A statistical significant difference was observed for stone-expulsion rate between Group I versus Group II (60% vs. 85.7%,  $P < 0.0315$ ), Group I versus Group III (60% vs. 20%,  $P < 0.000$ ) and Group II versus Group III (85.7% vs. 20%,  $P < 0.000$ ). Average time for stone-expulsion was  $12.6 \pm 6.69$  days in Group I,  $12.0 \pm 6.67$  days in Group II, and  $12.29 \pm 9.46$  days in Group III. Patients taking alfuzosin had fewer pain attacks compared with others. The average number of pain attacks  $5.91 \pm 1.01$  for Group I,  $3.8 \pm 0.83$  for Group II, and  $5.82 \pm 1.12$  for Group III patients. A significant statistical difference was observed between Groups II versus III, and Groups I versus II ( $P < 0.001$  and  $P < 0.001$ , respectively). Hospital re-admissions due to uncontrollable pain occurred in 86 patients: 22 patient (31.4%) in Group I, five patients (14.3%) in Group II, and 27 patients (77.1%) in Group III. The difference was statistically significant ( $P < 0.0001$ ) in Group I versus Group II and Group II versus Group III [Table 1].

## V. Discussion

Recent advances in ureteric stone management have allowed these to be treated using minimally invasive techniques, which have increased success rates and decreased treatment related morbidity. Observation can be supplemented by using MET. The factors influencing expulsion of calculi include stone size, shape, and location, ureteric edema, and convolutions.

The distribution of  $\alpha$ -1 receptor subtypes in distal, middle, and proximal segments is  $\alpha 1D > \alpha 1A > \alpha 1B$ . [3]  $\alpha$ -1D is the most common receptor present in all portions of the ureter. It has the strongest effect on the contractions of distal ureter and bladder detrusor, especially for the ureter-bladder wall section.  $\alpha$ -1 receptor blockers relax ureteral smooth muscle, reduce peristalsis frequency and amplitude, decrease intraluminal pressure of the ureter, enhance transportation capability and pulses of urine. Moreover, they establish pressure gradient around the calculi by increasing the pressure above calculi, relax smooth muscles of the bladder neck

and urethra, and finally form one thrust to expel calculi.  $\alpha$ 1-receptor blockers can also affect the C-type fast fiber of the spinal cord and the sympathetic post-ganglionic neuron; block the pain transmission to central nervous system and reduce renal colic during calculi expulsion.[4]

Ideal MET drugs should inhibit contraction without influencing the slow peristalsis. Previous studies indicated that calcium antagonist could inhibit the fast contraction phase of animal and human ureter, but without any effect on the tonic activities.[5,6] Therefore, this group of drug was thought to have potential use for calculi expulsion.

Nifedipine is one potential calcium channel antagonist with less adverse effect. Our results confirmed the efficacy of nifedipine and alfuzosin for distal ureteric stones. Nearly 60% of patient taking nifedipine and 85.7% of patients taking alfuzosin were able to expel their stones at the end of study compared to 20% in the control group. Alfuzosin was found to be significantly better in term of stone-expulsion compared to nifedipine and control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). Moreover, both nifedipine and alfuzosin groups had significantly less hospital re-admission rate as compared to the control group ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

Nifedipine and alfuzosin also decreased the frequency of pain attacks. Patients taking alfuzosin had significantly less pain as compared to nifedipine and placebo group ( $P < 0.001$ ). The most frequently reported adverse event with  $\alpha$  blockers was transient hypotension[7] Pedro et al. reported 12% adverse events in the alfuzosin group compared with 0% in the placebo group.[8] Yilmaz et al. have reported no serious adverse events. In the present study, MET related side effects were observed in four patients (6 patients taking alfuzosin developed retrograde ejaculation and 2 patients taking nifedipine developed an episode of hypotension), but they were able to complete the study.

## VI. Conclusions

Medical expulsion therapy is an effective add-on to observation in the conservative management of ureteral stones. The use of alfuzosin and nifedipine for uncomplicated distal ureteric stones is safe and effective in term of increased stone-expulsion rate, reduced pain attacks and decrease hospital re-admissions. Alfuzosin was found to be significantly better in terms of stone-expulsion rate and pain attacks as compared to nifedipine

## References

- [1]. Segura JW, Preminger GM, Assimos DG, Dretler SP, Kahn RI, Lingeman JE, et al. Ureteral stones clinical guidelines panel summary report on the management of ureteral calculi. The American urological association. J Urol 1997;158:1915-21.
- [2]. Dellabella M, Milanese G, Muzzonigro G. Efficacy of tamsulosin in the medical management of juxtavesical ureteral stones. J Urol 2003;170:2202-5.
- [3]. Obara K, Takeda M, Shimura H, Kanai T, Tsutsui T, Komeyama T, et al. Alpha-1 adrenoreceptors subtypes in the human ureter. Characterization by RT-PCR and in situ hybridization. J Urol 1996;155:472A.
- [4]. Kinnman E, Nygård EB, Hansson P. Peripheral alpha-adrenoreceptors are involved in the development of capsaicin induced ongoing and stimulus evoked pain in humans. Pain 1997;69:79-85.
- [5]. Andersson KE, Forman A. Effects of calcium channel blockers on urinary tract smooth muscle. Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Copenh) 1986;58 Suppl 2:193-200.
- [6]. Hannappel J, Rohrmann D, Lutzeyer W. Pharmacologic modification of ureteral activity]. Urologe A 1986;25:246-51.
- [7]. Cooper JT, Stack GM, Cooper TP. Intensive medical management of ureteral calculi. Urology 2000;56:575-8.
- [8]. Pedro RN, Hinck B, Hendlin K, Feia K, Canales BK, Monga M. Alfuzosin stone expulsion therapy for distal ureteral calculi: A double-blind, placebo controlled study. J Urol 2008;179:2244-7.

Dr. Danny Darlington C "A randomized controlled study of nifedipine and alfuzosin in the management of distal ureteric stones" IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 5, 2018, pp 15-17