

Non-Alcoholic fatty Liver Disease in Inflammatory Bowel Disease patients

Muhammad Haroon Safi, Jiajia Li

Abstract: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease has become one of the most commonly diagnosed liver diseases in patients having inflammatory bowel diseases. Studies have shown that the prevalence of NAFLD in patients with IBD ranges from 8.2% to 40%, depending on the different definitions and diagnoses used in the studies. In 12.2 % of the patients, liver fibrosis was also reported. Risk factors associated with NAFLD development are reported as metabolic syndrome, intestinal inflammation and dysbiosis, obesity, bowel surgery, and IBD medications in patients with IBD. Macrovascular steatosis and hepatomegaly were linked with longer duration and higher doses of glucocorticoids. Methotrexate might worsen the condition of patients already affected with NAFLD. Parenteral nutrition was also associated with complications of the liver ranging from liver steatosis to cirrhosis. Anti-TNF α was presumed to be able to protect against NASH. In contrast, in patients with underweight IBD, NAFLD was notably higher than patients with normal weight. Further studies on dose and duration of IBD medications related with NAFLD, as well as investigations of IBD patients for NAFLD and its treatment methods need to be conducted.

Key words: ulcerative colitis, crohn's disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, metabolic syndrome, dysbiosis

Date of Submission: 17-10-2019

Date of Acceptance: 02-11-2019

I. Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a group of diseases in the liver ranging from simple accumulation of liver fat to necroinflammation, fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma(1). NAFLD prevalence is likely to be 10-40% among adults worldwide, and it is the most frequent liver disease in developed countries among children and adolescents(2). To some extent, NAFLD outbreak is due to increased diabetes, dyslipidemia, and obesity(3). Most NAFLD patients are asymptomatic or complaining of non-specific symptoms, such as fatigue, sleep disturbances or discomfort in the upper right quadrant. The most frequent physical finding is hepatomegaly(2).

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) involve ulcerative colitis (UC) and crohn's disease (CD). Chronic, relapsing inflammation of the intestine is a common characteristic of both disorders and is supposed to result from a dysregulated and abnormal immune response to intestinal flora(4). The exact pathogenesis of NAFLD in IBD patients is not yet clearly recognized. However, numerous causes have been proposed to describe this increased NAFLD prevalence; including the presence of metabolic syndrome, chronic inflammation, dysbiosis, medications used to treat IBD, steroid use and small bowel surgery(5,6).

The purpose of this article is to summarize and analyze the occurrence, risk factors and clinical implications with a major concentration targeted on the co-occurrence of NAFLD in IBD patients. To obtain these, an electronic search of PubMed, MEDLINE and EMBASE was executed using the key words "ulcerative colitis" "crohn's disease" "non-alcoholic fatty liver disease" "non-alcoholic steatohepatitis" "metabolic syndrome" and "IBD medications" mainly in the time range of 2015 till 2019.

Epidemiology: prevalence of NAFLD in IBD

Some studies have shown that NAFLD prevalence in patients with IBD ranges from 1.5% to 40% of patients(6). Table 1 summarizes the main studies. Principi, M., et al revealed that the NAFLD prevalence in IBD patients was 28.0% higher than that of non-IBD subjects 20.1%, $P=0.04$ (7). In NAFLD-IBD, younger age was detected than in non-IBD subjects (49.9 ± 15.0 versus 56.2 ± 12.1 years, $P=0.02$). High blood pressure, morbid abdominal circumference, and metabolic syndrome (MS) were the risk factors for NAFLD in patients with IBD. Interestingly, no association between NAFLD and corticosteroids was observed in this case-controlled study.

Saroli Palumbo, C., et al conducted a prospective one-year cross-sectional study of 384 patients at the McGill University Health Centre (MUHC) IBD Centre between October 2015 and June 2017, and NAFLD and Liver fibrosis were found in 32.8 % and 12.2% of IBD patients, respectively. The method used was transient elastography (TE)(8). The study excluded patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), persistent liver disease, liver transplant and alcohol intake.

A study using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was conducted to test whether low weight is associated with NAFLD in patients with IBD. In underweight patients with IBD, the prevalence of NAFLD/liver steatosis (defined as a measured intrahepatic fat content of at least 5%) was significantly higher than patients with normal weight (87.6% vs. 21.5%, $p < 0.001$) (9).

Bargiggia, S., et al led a large one-center study of 511 IBD patients, 39.5 % of patients had liver steatosis (10). In this study, 52 patients with hepatitis B and C, metabolic syndrome and higher (BMI > 30) were eliminated.

In another study, it was obtained that the incidence of NAFLD in IBD patients was 8.2% (11). This was a large case-controlled study in which various imaging methods were used in 928 patients with the exclusion.

Total of 13 various studies containing 1471 UC patients for the approximate prevalence of fatty liver disease in UC patients, techniques of diagnosis including ultrasound, biopsy and necropsy. Mean prevalence of fatty liver in UC patients was 23% (range, 1.5–55%). An ultrasound method was used to find the incidence of fatty liver in 604 Crohn's patients from four different studies and the prevalence obtained was 1.5% to 39.5% (12).

A large nationwide inpatient study (NIS) was conducted between the year 1996 to 2004 and the reported prevalence of NAFLD in UC patients was 12.7% and in CD patients was 20.3% (13). From the Eastern ethnicity standpoint, a study on 303 patients who were diagnosed with CD was performed between November 2008 and October 2014 at Hiroshima University Hospital (Hiroshima, Japan). The occurrence of NAFLD in CD patients was noticed in 21.8% (14). In this study, it was discovered that patients who had a CD with NAFLD were older and accompanied with lengthier disease duration, higher BMI, higher incidence of inflammation restricted to the ileum and had a longer surgery free interval compared to patients without NAFLD.

Lannone, A., et al carried out a study on 378 IBD patients between December 2014 to July 2016 to find the prevalence of NAFLD and to measure liver stiffness (LE) using ultrasound and transient elastography (TE). NAFLD was identified in 28% of patients and the mean LS was higher than non-NAFLD patients (15).

Table 1 Non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases and fibrosis prevalence in IBD patients

Source	Investigative technique	Number of subjects	Mean age	% male	IBD form	Mean BMI	NAFLD prevalence	Fibrosis prevalence
Principi, M., et al (7)	ultrasound	465	50.0	53.80%	UC,CD	26.1	28%	-
Saroli Palumbo, C., et al (8)	transient elastography (TE) with associated controlled attenuation parameter (CAP)	384	51.0	51.60%	CD	28.1	32.80%	12.20%
Bargiggia, S., et al (10)	ultrasound	511	38.4	-	UC,CD	21.3	37.70%	-
Sourianarayanan, A., et al (11)	ultrasound, CT scans, MRI	928	44.1	41.30%	UC,CD	30.4	8.20%	-
Sagami, S., et al (14)	ultrasound	303	42.0	-	CD	21.7	21.80%	-
Iannone, A., et al (15)	ultrasound, TE	378	52.6	68.80%	CD	28	28%	-

Pathogenesis

The exact pathogenesis of IBD and NAFLD is elusive and yet to be discovered. Pathogenesis of NAFLD includes multiple interactions among environmental factors, obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, inflammation and apoptosis. However, oxidative stress is more and more appearing as the most vital pathological associated event during NAFLD development. Vitamin D has an immune-regulating effect on adipose tissue and the increasing epidemiological data show that hypovitaminosis D is also associated with both obesity and NAFLD (16–18).

The most widely recognized IBD pathogenesis hypothesis is that complex interactions between genetics, environmental factors, and the host immune system result in abnormal immune responses, chronic inflammation of the intestine, and changes in the composition and function of the gut microbiota (19).

Multiple factors have been linked to the occurrence of NAFLD in IBD patients, such as metabolic syndrome (MS), intestinal inflammation and dysbiosis, the medication used to treat IBD, obese patients and bowel surgery. However, the exact association between NAFLD and IBD is yet to be determined (9).

Metabolic syndrome (MS)

MS is a group of 3 or more risk factors, including abdominal obesity, high triglycerides, low and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high blood pressure, and high-fasting blood glucose (20). NAFLD is considered

to be the hepatic manifestation of MS, affecting 25-30 % of the general population, and the risk factors are nearly identical with MS(21).

A retrospective cohort study of IBD-NAFLD patients was conducted to monitor the severity of IBD, MS and NAFLD. A total of 84 IBD-NAFLD (24 UC, 60 CD) patients were involved in this study and the prevalence of MS was 23% in IBD-NAFLD patients(22). This study revealed a higher prevalence of obesity, hypertension and diabetes or resistance to insulin in patients with MS ($p < 0.001$). In addition to MS, the study found that IBD range, severity or medication may contribute to the severity of NAFLD. Remarkably, in this study IBD severity was not associated with NAFLD fibrosis. In their viewpoint, a greater number of IBD patients (77%) had NAFLD in absence of MS, obesity, diabetes, and/or insulin resistance. This proposes that metabolic risk factors were not the only reason for NAFLD and fibrosis development in IBD patients.

Intestinal inflammation and dysbiosis

The intestine is colonized by a huge range of microorganisms, defined as the gut microbiota or microbiome. Changes of gut microbiota is called dysbiosis, are recognized to lead to interruption of this homeostasis and, therefore, the development of pathology(23). IBD was associated with dysbiosis, although the relationship with the role of intestinal microbiota in IBD pathogenesis is persistent, but the exact role of dysbiosis is still less known(24).

In NAFLD dysbiosis can induce intestinal inflammation, damaging the gut barrier and as a result, microbial products provoke hepatic inflammation which participates in NAFLD and NASH development(25).

Supporting the previous findings, a study revealed presence of high amount of Escherichia in the NAFLD patients which is identified to enhance the gut permeability, and it is associated with inflammation(26). The study also found that in NAFLD patients the pathogenic streptococcus species (Streptococcus bovis and Streptococcus faecalis) related to IBD were also abundant. Asymmetrical arrange microvilli and widened tight junction were noticed under electron microscope. Since dysbiosis has been associated with both NAFLD and IBD, it can act as a pathogenic connection between them. Table 2 concludes the reported risk factors for NAFLD in patients with IBD.

Table 2. Risk factors of NAFLD in IBD patients

Risk factors	OR	95% CI	P
age (7)	1.72	0.94–1.91	0.65
diabetes (7)	1.72	0.94–1.91	0.006
hypertension (7)	0.62	0.24–2.33	0.51
metabolic syndrome (7)	2.24	1.77–28.81	0.04
Morbid abdominal circumference(7)	1.68	1.15–14.52	0.007
small bowel surgery (11)	3.7	1.5, 9.3	0.005
hyperlipidemia(59)	2.8	0.82–7.2	0.7

IBD therapeutic agents

Glucocorticoids (GCs)

Glucocorticoids are effective inhibitors of T cell activation and cytokine secretion and are therefore an effective treatment for IBD(27). A study illustrated that GCs can affect both liver and adipose tissues. In liver, GCs activate triglyceride synthesis and hepatic steatosis and in adipose tissue, it increases metabolism of non-esterified fatty acid and lipolysis which contribute in progress of NAFLD. In addition, GCs are also associated with peripheral resistance of insulin and worsening of hepatic injury(28).

However, it is believed long durations and high doses of their administration may cause macrovascular steatosis and hepatomegaly(29). Bessissow, on the contrary, did not detect any link between NAFLD and corticosteroid utilization(30). GCs may increase the risk of developing hepatic steatosis and should be used cautiously in patients with earlier metabolic risk factors.

Methotrexate (MTX)

MTX is an analog of folic acid and aminopterin, which is the antagonist of folic acid(31). Liver hepatotoxicity due to MTX differs according to the therapeutic indication and appears less common in CD patients compared to other diseases. MTX-induced liver damage can range cumulatively from macrovascular steatosis to hepatic fibrosis and even cirrhosis(29). Newly, numerous studies focused on an exciting pathophysiological mechanism of liver damage. MTX can disturb the intestinal epithelial barrier leading to the leaky gut syndrome which is known to be related with the onset and progression of the fatty liver disease(32).

However, another meta-analysis study was performed which didn't find any association between MTX and increase risk factor of NAFLD development. In this study dose, cumulative dose, or duration of treatment of MTX were not taken into consideration. That can be possibly the reason why this study was incapable to frame any link between MTX and development of NAFLD(33). MTX may associate with NAFLD it is stated that before starting therapy with MTX, NAFLD should be ruled out since it may worsen the condition(31).

Another cohort study was conducted where they found a tendency for MTX to be linked with NAFLD and advanced liver fibrosis(8).

Anti-TNF α

TNF α is a main pro-inflammatory cytokine exerting multiple effects on various cell types by triggering intracellular signaling. Inflammatory diseases such as IBD have been effectively treated with anti-TNF α agents(34).

Anti-TNF α was assumed to be able to protect against NASH as TNF α is involved in the development of hepatic inflammation and NASH development in pro-inflammatory pathways in NAFLD patients. Koca, S.S., et al presented that treatment with a single dose of anti-TNF- α antibody is effective on necrosis, inflammation and fibrosis in the experimental rat model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, intra-peritoneal infliximab (4 mg/kg) reduced the levels of AST, ALT and TGF- β (35). However, treatment with anti-TNF α have been connected with recurrence of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and it has been recommended that patients should be examined for HBV infection before anti-TNF therapy(36).

The likelihood of liver injury is associated with the use of TNF- α blockers in an autoimmune setting (especially in the presence of preexisting serological autoimmune signs such as anti nuclear antibodies(ANA)). While the incidence of injury due to anti TNF- α therapy appears to be relatively low, hepatic damage is nevertheless significant(37). Anti TNF- α is not associated with NAFLD development in patients with IBD, which may be used in NAFLD treatment.

Azathioprine (AZA) and 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP)

AZA and 6-MP are purine nucleoside analogues (thiopurines) that have strong antiproliferative and immunosuppressive actions. These drugs are metabolized to 2 main metabolites, 6 thioguanine nucleotides (6-TGNs) and 6 methyl mercaptopurine (6-MMP) through a complex metabolic pathway. 15% –20% of patients produce 6-methylmercaptopurine (6MMP) hepatotoxic metabolite at the expense of 6-thioguanine therapeutic nucleotides (6TGN)(38,39). Soon after its introduction >100 cases of liver injury were reported.

AZA and 6-MP are connected with a range of drug-induced liver injuries (DILI) including asymptomatic liver enzyme elevations, hepatocellular necrosis, cholestasis and even mixed injuries. The mean prevalence of AZA and 6-MP-induced liver injury in patients with IBD was about 3%, and the mean annual drug-induced liver disorder rate was only 1.4%(40). Liver damage occurs frequently in patients taking AZA and 6-MP after 6 months of therapy. During follow-up, abnormal liver tests requiring the drug to be discontinued are rare (< 4 %) and can be resolved spontaneously(41).

A study demonstrated that AZA/6-MP did not contribute to the progress of NAFLD(33). Utilizing Fibro scan in IBD patients (treated with thiopurines or methotrexate), it was implied that liver stiffness was not increased(42).

Parenteral nutrition (PN)

PN is composed of amino acids (AAs), dextrose, fat, vitamins, trace elements, electrolytes, and sterile water which is intravenously infused, circumventing the gastrointestinal (GI) system(43). Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) can be used as therapeutic agent or even as a lifesaver in IBD patients having nutritional problems(44).

Parenteral nutrition associated liver disease (PNALD) involves a range of hepatobiliary complications varying from liver steatosis to cirrhosis. It is estimated that PNALD occurs at up to 40% in adults and 50% in children on long-term PN(45). Steatosis is a relatively immediate complication of PN in adults, frequently discovered in patients after only 5 days of treatment and is a result of hepatocyte accumulation of fat globules without sign of inflammation, cholestasis or necrosis(46). Continuous PN can be a prompting factor in the buildup of fat in the liver for excess insulin levels(47).

Giving discontinuous parenteral nutrition while letting few hours for a metabolic rest (cyclic parenteral nutrition as cPN) improves liver dysfunctions associated with PN. This is achieved via restoring abnormal AST, GGT to normal levels and dropping ALT to almost normal levels. The results show that in reversing PNALD, cPN administration is effective(48). PN-induced fatty liver disease can be prevented and even reversed by administering primary omega-3-fatty acid with PN rather than by administering standard intravenous lipid emulsions containing primarily omega-6 fatty acid from plants(49).

Clinical Implications

Screening

To date, routine NAFLD screening in high-risk groups appearing at primary care is not recommended due to restrictions on diagnostic testing and treatment options, with a lack of long-term benefit related

knowledge and screening cost-effectiveness. It is important to exclude competing etiologies for steatosis and common chronic liver disease when assessing a patient with suspected NAFLD(50).

Ultrasound is a precise, consistent imaging technique for spotting fatty liver compared to histology with a combined sensitivity of 84.8 % and specificity of 93.6% for detecting steatosis between 20% and 30%, and a summary area of 0.93 under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve(51).

Liver enzymes, indirect markers of liver injury, have lower sensitivity (0.30-0.63) and specificity (0.38-0.63) than ultrasound(52). Liver biopsy is still the most accurate test to assess the nature and severity of liver disease. However, the cost and potential complications are its disadvantages.

In qualifying steatosis and liver fat plotting, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) have the maximum accuracy (sensitivity and specificity). They may soon become the gold standard, but because of their cost and availability they are limited.

For now, studies of abdominal imaging are unable to diagnose NASH accurately. As far as considering non-invasive diagnostic methods in hepatic stiffness evaluation, Magnetic Resonance Elastography (MRE) is superior to MRI in NAFLD patients(53).

For the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis, numerous non-invasive serum biomarker scores are used with relatively high sensitivity and specificity, such as NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS), fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) index, based on routine clinical parameters and inexpensive biochemical measurements(54). A cross-sectional study shown that liver stiffness measurement (LSM) by Fibroscan and FibroMeter^{V2G} has the most accuracy among the non-invasive diagnostic procedures in liver fibrosis in NAFLD(55).

IBD patients with higher risks of NAFLD or those with imaging features of hepatic steatosis, assessment of NAFLD may be helpful in them.

Treatment

Lifestyle improvements are currently the first line of treatment in NAFLD and NASH, including dietary habit and physical activity. Weight loss of approximately 10% almost resolved non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and improved fibrosis by at least one stage. However, weight loss (> 5%), can also bring significant benefits to the NAFLD activity score (NAS) components.

Following a Mediterranean diet (defined by lower carbohydrate intake, particularly sugars and refined carbohydrates, and increased consumption of monounsaturated and omega-3 fatty acids), liver fat can be reduced even without weight loss and is NAFLD most suggested dietary pattern(56). There is no pharmacologic drug which is currently approved for NASH therapy. However, there are studies which indicated use of vitamin E and pioglitazone are beneficial in treating NASH. Astonishingly, coffee consumption with or without caffeine may have a beneficial effect on NAFLD disease(57).

As described above in the pathogenesis of NAFLD, gut dysbiosis was a risk factor that may affect and promote the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Current studies revealed that probiotics can reverse intestinal dysbiosis and be used as an alternative therapeutic option for patients with NAFLD and/or NASH(58). In obese patients, bariatric surgery may also result in an improved NASH status.

II. Conclusions

According to the current studies, the prevalence of NAFLD was greater in IBD related to non-IBD patients. This is apparently linked to an increase in MS, dysbiosis, chronic inflammation, small bowel surgery and therefore, it is possible that some medications (GCs, MTX) may contribute in risk factors for NAFLD advancement in IBD patients. Studies regarding dose and duration of IBD medications related with NAFLD, screening of IBD patients for NAFLD, prolong outcomes of IBD-NAFLD patients and treatment methodologies of NAFLD in IBD patients may further need to be investigated.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1]. Satapathy SK, Sanyal AJBT-S in LD. Epidemiology and Natural History of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. In 2015. p. 221–35.
- [2]. Brunt EM, Wong VW, Nobili V, Day CP, Sookoian S, Maher JJ, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Rev Dis Prim*. 2015;1:15080.
- [3]. Chao C-Y, Battat R, Al Khoury A, Restellini S, Sebastiani G, Bessissow T. Co-existence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and inflammatory bowel disease: A review article. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016;22(34):7727.
- [4]. Ince MN, Elliott DE. Immunologic and Molecular Mechanisms in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2007;87(3):681–96.
- [5]. Gaidos JKJ, Fuchs M. Increased Prevalence of NAFLD in IBD Patients. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2017;62(5):1362–1362.
- [6]. Sartini A, Gitto S, Bianchini M, Verga MC, Di Girolamo M, Bertani A, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease phenotypes in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell Death Dis*. 2018;9(2):87.
- [7]. Principi M, Iannone A, Losurdo G, Mangia M, Shahini E, Albano F, et al. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Prevalence and Risk Factors. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2018;24(7):1589–96.

- [8]. Saroli Palumbo C, Restellini S, Chao C-Y, Aruljothy A, Lemieux C, Wild G, et al. Screening for Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Cohort Study Using Transient Elastography. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2019;25(1):124–33.
- [9]. Adams LC, Lubbe F, Bressemer K, Wagner M, Hamm B, Makowski MR. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in underweight patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A case-control study. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(11):e0206450.
- [10]. Bargiggia S, Maconi G, Elli M, Molteni P, Ardizzone S, Parente F, et al. Sonographic prevalence of liver steatosis and biliary tract stones in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: study of 511 subjects at a single center. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2003;36(5):417–20.
- [11]. Sourianarayanan A, Garg G, Smith TH, Butt MI, McCullough AJ, Shen B. Risk factors of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2013;7(8):e279–85.
- [12]. Gizard E, Ford AC, Bronowicki J-P, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Systematic review: The epidemiology of the hepatobiliary manifestations in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(1):3–15.
- [13]. Nguyen DL, Bechtold ML, Jamal MM. National trends and inpatient outcomes of inflammatory bowel disease patients with concomitant chronic liver disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2014;49(9):1091–5.
- [14]. Sagami S, Ueno Y, Tanaka S, Fujita A, Hayashi R, Oka S, et al. Significance of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Crohn's disease: A retrospective cohort study. *Hepatol Res*. 2017;47(9):872–81.
- [15]. Iannone A, Losurdo G, Shahini E, Albano F, La Fortezza RF, Rizzi SF, et al. P335 Prevalence and risk factors for non alcoholic fatty liver disease in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohn's Colitis*. 2017;11(suppl_1):S247–8.
- [16]. Arab JP, Arrese M, Trauner M. Recent Insights into the Pathogenesis of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Annu Rev Pathol Mech Dis*. 2018;13(1):321–50.
- [17]. Spahis S, Delvin E, Borys J-M, Levy E. Oxidative Stress as a Critical Factor in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Pathogenesis. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2017;26(10):519–41.
- [18]. Cimini FA, Barchetta I, Carotti S, Bertocchini L, Baroni MG, Vespasiani-Gentilucci U, et al. Relationship between adipose tissue dysfunction, vitamin D deficiency and the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2017;23(19):3407–17.
- [19]. Nishida A, Inoue R, Inatomi O, Bamba S, Naito Y, Andoh A. Gut microbiota in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin J Gastroenterol*. 2018;11(1):1–10.
- [20]. Sherling DH, Perumareddi P, Hennekens CH. Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical and Policy Implications of the New Silent Killer. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;22(4):365–7.
- [21]. Bellentani S. The epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int*. 2017;37(Suppl 1):81–4.
- [22]. Carr RM, Patel A, Bownik H, Oranu A, Kerner C, Praestgaard A, et al. Intestinal Inflammation Does Not Predict Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Severity in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2017;62(5):1354–61.
- [23]. Bibbo S, Ianiro G, Dore MP, Simonelli C, Newton EE, Cammarota G. Gut Microbiota as a Driver of Inflammation in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2018;2018:9321643.
- [24]. Ni J, Wu GD, Albenberg L, Tomov VT. Gut microbiota and IBD: causation or correlation? *Nat Rev Gastroenterol & Hepatol*. 2017;14:573.
- [25]. Brandl K, Schnabl B. Intestinal microbiota and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*. 2017;33(3):128–33.
- [26]. Jiang W, Wu N, Wang X, Chi Y, Zhang Y, Qiu X, et al. Dysbiosis gut microbiota associated with inflammation and impaired mucosal immune function in intestine of humans with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:8096.
- [27]. Farrell RJ, Kelleher D. Glucocorticoid resistance in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Endocrinol*. 2003;178(3):339–46.
- [28]. Woods CP, Hazlehurst JM, Tomlinson JW. Glucocorticoids and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2015;154:94–103.
- [29]. Restellini S, Chazouillères O, Frossard J-L. Hepatic manifestations of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Liver Int*. 2017;37(4):475–89.
- [30]. Bessissow T, Le NH, Rollet K, Afif W, Bitton A, Sebastiani G. Incidence and Predictors of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease by Serum Biomarkers in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2016;22(8):1937–44.
- [31]. Mantzaris GJ. Thiopurines and Methotrexate Use in IBD Patients in a Biologic Era. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol*. 2017;15(1):84–104.
- [32]. Miele L, Liguori A, Marrone G, Biolato M, Araneo C, Vaccaro FG, et al. Fatty liver and drugs: the two sides of the same coin. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2017;21(Suppl 1):86–94.
- [33]. Lapumnuayp K, Kanjanahattakij N, Pisarcik D, Thongprayoon C, Wijarnpreecha K, Cheungpasitporn W. Effects of inflammatory bowel disease treatment on the risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;30(8):854–60.
- [34]. Mitoma H, Horiuchi T, Tsukamoto H, Ueda N. Molecular mechanisms of action of anti-TNF- α agents – Comparison among therapeutic TNF- α antagonists. *Cytokine*. 2018;101:56–63.
- [35]. Koca SS, Bahcecioglu IH, Poyrazoglu OK, Ozercan IH, Sahin K, Ustundag B. The Treatment with Antibody of TNF- α Reduces the Inflammation, Necrosis and Fibrosis in the Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis Induced by Methionine- and Choline-deficient Diet. *Inflammation*. 2008;31(2):91–8.
- [36]. Pauly MP, Tucker L-Y, Szpakowski J-L, Ready JB, Baer D, Hwang J, et al. Incidence of Hepatitis B Virus Reactivation and Hepatotoxicity in Patients Receiving Long-term Treatment With Tumor Necrosis Factor Antagonists. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;16(12):1964–1973.e1.
- [37]. Lopetuso L, Mocchi G, Marzo M, D'Aversa F, Rapaccini G, Guidi L, et al. Harmful Effects and Potential Benefits of Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)- α on the Liver. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018;19(8):2199.
- [38]. Björnsson ES, Gu J, Kleiner DE, Chalasani N, Hayashi PH, Hoofnagle JH. Azathioprine and 6-Mercaptopurine-induced Liver Injury. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2017;51(1):63–9.
- [39]. Munnig-Schmidt E, Zhang M, Mulder CJ, Barclay ML. Late-onset Rise of 6-MMP Metabolites in IBD Patients on Azathioprine or Mercaptopurine. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2018;24(4):892–6.
- [40]. Gisbert JP, Gonzalez-Lama Y, Mate J. Thiopurine-induced liver injury in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2007;102(7):1518–27.
- [41]. Gisbert JP, Luna M, González-Lama Y, Pousa ID, Velasco M, Moreno-Otero R, et al. Liver injury in inflammatory bowel disease: long-term follow-up study of 786 patients. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2007;13(9):1106–14.
- [42]. Meijer B, van Everdingen CK, Ramsoekh D, Stedman C, Frampton CMA, Mulder CJJ, et al. Transient elastography to assess liver stiffness in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2018;50(1):48–53.
- [43]. Corrigan ML, Steiger E. Parenteral Support and Central Venous Access Overview. In: *Adult Short Bowel Syndrome*, Academic Press. Elsevier; 2019. p. 97–107.
- [44]. Triantafyllidis JK, Papalois AE. The role of total parenteral nutrition in inflammatory bowel disease: current aspects. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2013;49(1):3–14.

- [45]. Limketkai BN, Choe M, Patel S, Shah ND, Medici V. Nutritional Risk Factors in the Pathogenesis of Parenteral Nutrition-Associated Liver Disease. *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2017;6(3):281–90.
- [46]. McNeice A, Scott R, Rafferty GP, Cash WJ, Turner GB. The hepatobiliary complications of malnutrition and nutritional support in adults. *Ir J Med Sci.* 2019;188(1):109–17.
- [47]. Hartl WH, Jauch K-W, Parhofer K, Rittler P, Medicine WG for D the G for PN of the GA for N. Complications and monitoring–guidelines on parenteral nutrition, Chapter 11. *GMS Ger Med Sci.* 2009;7.
- [48]. Arenas Villafranca JJ, Nieto Guindo M, Álvaro Sanz E, Moreno Santamaria M, Garrido Siles M, Abilés J. Effects of cyclic parenteral nutrition on parenteral-associated liver dysfunction parameters. *Nutr J.* 2017;16(1):66.
- [49]. Puder M, Gura KM. Treatment and Prevention of Liver Disease Associated with Parenteral Nutrition (PN). 2017.
- [50]. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology.* 2018;67(1):328–57.
- [51]. Hernaez R, Lazo M, Bonekamp S, Kamel I, Brancati FL, Guallar E, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and reliability of ultrasonography for the detection of fatty liver: A meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* 2011;54(3):1082–90.
- [52]. Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Clark JM, Bass NM, Van Natta ML, Unalp-Arida A, Tonascia J, et al. Clinical, laboratory and histological associations in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* 2010;52(3):913–24.
- [53]. Ahmed A, Wong RJ, Harrison SA. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Review: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Outcomes. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2015 Nov;13(12):2062–70.
- [54]. Stefan N, Häring H-U, Cusi K. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causes, diagnosis, cardiometabolic consequences, and treatment strategies. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2019;7(4):313–24.
- [55]. Boursier J, Vergniol J, Guillet A, Hiriart J-B, Lannes A, Le Bail B, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and prognostic significance of blood fibrosis tests and liver stiffness measurement by FibroScan in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* 2016;65(3):570–8.
- [56]. Romero-Gómez M, Zelber-Sagi S, Trenell M. Treatment of NAFLD with diet, physical activity and exercise. *J Hepatol.* 2017;67(4):829–46.
- [57]. Hossain N, Kanwar P, Mohanty SR. A Comprehensive Updated Review of Pharmaceutical and Nonpharmaceutical Treatment for NAFLD. *Gastroenterol Res Pract.* 2016;2016:7109270.
- [58]. Perumpail B, Li A, John N, Sallam S, Shah N, Kwong W, et al. The Therapeutic Implications of the Gut Microbiome and Probiotics in Patients with NAFLD. *Diseases.* 2019;7(1):e27.
- [59]. Glassner K, Malaty HM, Abraham BP. Epidemiology and Risk Factors of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2017;23(6):998–1003.

Muhammad Haroon Safi. “Non-Alcoholicfatty Liver Diseasein Inflammatory Bowel Diseasespatients.” *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, vol. 18, no. 10, 2019, pp 09-15.