"A Clinical Study of Splenic Injury in Blunt Injury Abdomen in Government General Hospital, Vijayawada"

S.Munitheja¹, Abdul Sattar², k.spandana³

¹(Department of General Surgery, Siddhartha medical college / NTR University Of Health Sciences, INDIA) ²(Department of General Surgery, Siddhartha medical college / NTR University Of Health Sciences, INDIA) ³(Department of General Medicine, Siddhartha medical college / NTR University Of Health Sciences, INDIA) Corresponding Author;S.Munitheia

Abstract: Blunt abdominal trauma is one of reasons for acute abdomen presentation complicated by liver and spleen injury, most commonly spleen. primary concern being internal haemorrhage. our study aims to study incidence clinical presentations outcome of splenic trauma in blunt injury abdomen. patients with splenic injury were included in study who underwent non- operative and operative management. prospective typestudy . Ultrasound being 88.8% sensitive in identifying trauma and CT scan most useful in non -operative management. most common presentations are with grade 2 and 3. grade 1 and grade 2 injuries showing a good outcome with conservative management grade 3 injuries managed with operative management showing good outcome and overall mortality being 6.66%. the patients with time lapse between injury and treatment with <2 hrs have a better outcome and less morbidity. prophylactic antibiotic and pneumococcal vaccination prevent from overwhelming post splenectomy infections.

Date of Submission:04-11-2019

Date of Acceptance: 20-11-2019

I. Introduction

Trauma or injury defined as cellular disruption caused by an exchange with environmental energy that is beyond the body's resilience, which is compounded by cell death due to ischemia/reperfusion.

Blunt abdominal trauma is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity among all age groups. It is one of the reasons for the acute abdomen presentation in the emergency department. Missed intra-abdominal injuries and bleeding are frequent causes of increased morbidity and mortality. blunt abdominal trauma is complicated by internal injury; the liver and spleen are most frequently affected; it can lead to damage to the small intestine.

The spleen is the most common substantial organ injury following blunt trauma abdomen in adults, and it is the second most common in children. The primary concern in splenic trauma is internal hemorrhage, and it is, though, to know the exact amount of blood loss in the spleen. Small or minor injuries in spleen often heal spontaneously, especially in children.

Splenic hematoma sometimes ruptures, usually in the first few days, although rupture can occur from hours to even months after injury. So, Immediate diagnosis and treatment of this condition are critical or the patient survival and to decrease the morbidity and mortalitythat usually follows without immediate intervention. CECT abdomen in stable patients and bed ultrasound in unstable patients play a significant role in treating the case.

II. Aims And Objectives Of The Study

AIM:

This study was taken to know the extent of splenic injury in blunt injury abdomen in G.G.H, Vijayawada.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

1. To study the incidence of splenic injuries in blunt injury abdomen.

2. To study the clinical presentations and diagnosis of splenic injury in blunt injury abdomen.

3. To study the outcome of (conservative/surgical treatment) of splenic injury in blunt injury abdomen.

4. To study the postoperative complications, morbidity, and mortality if any in splenic injuries in blunt injury abdomen.

III. Materials And Methods

This prospective study is conducted at Government general hospital, Vijayawada Andhra Pradesh India. 30 patients of splenic trauma out of 80 patients of blunt injury abdomen who are admitted in Government general hospital, Vijayawada and who underwent non-operative(16 patient), non-operative converted to operative(2patient) and operative management (12patient) for abdominal trauma and having splenic injury forms the material of the study.

Study duration: 18 months from January 2018 to June 2019.

Sample size: 30 patients

Study design: prospective study

Study location: government general hospital vijayawada

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. age 16-60 years
- 2. blunt injury abdomen with splenic trauma

Exclusion criteria:

1. age <16 and >60yrs

A brief history of the date, along with the time of injury, mode of injury, and complaints like pain abdomen, vomiting, and distension of abdomen are noted, site size, shape, and character of wounds are noted. Specific examination of the abdomen was done with special reference to tenderness, guarding and rigidity and bowel sounds.

Diagnostic peritoneal tap: The diagnostic tap of the abdomen is done for all cases.

In all cases of blunt injury, plain X-rays erect abdomen, chest -rays, and, if necessary plain X-rays of other parts of the body are taken.

Emergency ultrasound of the abdomen Including pelvic cavity of the patient is done in a patient in a supine position. The unstable patient is not subjected to ultrasound.

CT scan of the abdomen is must with and without contrast for that patient who is stable, who has no free peritoneal tap, and who is planned to manage by the non-operative management.

That patient (18) who are stable, no free diagnostic peritoneal minimal free fluid on ultrasound are subjected to CTscan of abdomen and pelvis graded accordingly by grading system given by American Association for Surgery of Trauma Splenic Injury scale and recorded non-operatively by continuous monitoring, two of them converted to surgical management after deterioration of the condition and contrast blush on CT scan.

The rest of the patients are taken up for surgery after resuscitation. All the patients were operated under general anaesthesia with cuffed endotracheal intubation.

Laparotomy:

Incision and procedure: All the patient are operated by midline incision and incision extended when necessary.

Haemoperitonem evacuated by suction apparatus, and the injury was noted. Grading in spleen injury assessed according to grading system is given by American Association ,spleen is mobilized after separation from all ligamentous attachments, then short gastric vessels are ligated splenic artery, and vein is double ligated, hemostasis secured well, peritoneal cavity washed with normal saline, drain kept in the splenic bed and abdomen closed.

Induction doses of intravenous ceftriaxone 1gm and metronidazole 500mg are given to the patients. The antibiotics were continued during the post-operative period until the patients are discharged — pneumococcal Vaccine administered to all the patients postoperatively.

The patient is allowed on an oral diet from 2nd or 3post-operative day if uncomplicated. The abdominal drain removed whenever the collection is less than 25ml. In our study, most of the drains are removed on the 5^{th} or 6thpost-operative day.

Statistical Analysis

The correlation of observations is done by the Chi-square test applied to test the association between duration of injury to admission and complication, a grade of splenic trauma and management, and complications.

IV. Results And Analysis

Total abdominal injuries from January 2018 to June 2019 Total injuries of Splenic trauma: 30 Incidence of Splenic Trauma

Table no.1AGE OF INCIDENCE:			
AGE OF PATIENTS	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE	
16 to 20 yrs	4	13.33%	
21 to 30yrs	12	40%	
31 to 40 yrs	9	30%	
41 to 50yrs	3	10%	
51 to 60yrs	2	6.66%	



From the above data that a maximum number of patients are in the age group of 21-30 years (40%). The mean age of presentation is years 29.5yrs(16-60years)

Table no 2SEX INCIDENCE:		
SEX	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE
MALE	24	80%
FEMALE	6	20%

80% of a patient (24) are males, and 20% of patients (6) are females.so the male-female ratio is 4:1

TIME DURATION	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE
<45min	0	0%
45min to 2hrs	3	10%
2-4hrs	6	20%
4-8hrs	6	20%
8-24hrs	8	26.66%
24-48hrs	4	13.33%
48-72hrs	2	6.66%
>72hrs	1	3.33%

Table no 3LAPSE TIME	E OF INJURY AND ADMISSION	
----------------------	---------------------------	--



Lapse time of injury and admission varied from 45 minutes to 78 hours, and the patients who got admitted 78 hours following injury does not remember the incidence of injury. It is clear that 50% of patients (15/30) presented within 8 hours after injury.

TIME DURATION	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE
<1 HR	1	3.33%
1-2 HRS	2	6.66%
2-4 HRS	7	23.33%
4-8 HRS	9	30%
8-16 HRS	5	16.66%
>16 HRS	0	0

Table no 4 LAPES TIME OF ADMISSION AND SURGERY TIME DURATION NUMBER OF PATIENTS PERCENTAGE

The lapse time of surgery after the admission of the patients is varying from 30 minutes to 12 hours. 3.33% of patients (1/30) is operated within one hour that was in 30 minutes. One patient, who is operated after 12 hours after admission, did not respond to resuscitation.

MODE OF INJURY	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE	
ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS	21	70%	
WALL COLLAPSE	1	3.33%	
BULLOCK CART INJURY	2	6.66%	
FALL FROM HEIGHT	6	20%	

Table no 5 MODE OF INJURY

The maximum number of patients presented with injury are due to road traffic accident 70%

6)VITAL PARAMETERS AT ADMISSION

76.66% of patients presented with stable vital data, i.e., pulse rate from 60-100 beats per minute and blood pressure ranging from >100 mm of hg of systolic and 70-90 mm of hg diastolic blood pressure.

23.33% of patients presented with unstable vitals and were resuscitated, patients presented with a feeble pulse, and low blood pressure, of which only one patient died without responding to resuscitation

CLINICAL PATTERN	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE	
PAIN ABDOMEN	27	90%	
DISTENTION OF ABDOMEN	21	70%	
VOMITING	6	20%	
TENDERNESS	24	80%	
GUARDING/RIGIDITY	27	90%	
AUDIBLE BOWEL SOUNDS	18	60%	

Table no 7COMMON PRESENTATION PATTERN



The common presentation pattern was pain abdomen with and without distention. On examination, 80% of patients had abdominal tenderness associated with guarding and rigidity.

8)ULTRASOUND SCAN OF ABDOMEN AND PELVIC CAVITY

94% of patients (28/30) pre-operative ultrasound scanning of the abdomen and pelvic cavity is done. Of these 28 patients, the preoperative ultrasound s1canning of the abdomen and pelvic cavity was consistent with CT scan and laparotomy findings in 24 patients. Sensitivity in our series is 85.7%

Table no 8 INCIDENCE OF GRADE IN SPLENIC INJURY				
GRADE OF SPLEEN INJURY	NUMBER OF PATIENTS	PERCENTAGE		
I	3	10%		
II	15	50%		
III	11	36.66		
IV	1	3.33		



The most common grades of splenic trauma are both grade II and grade III. These two grades were consisting of 86.66% of splenic injuries.

10)MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE:

Out of 30 patients, six patients are non-operatively managed, 21 patients are operatively managed, three patients are initially managed non-operatively then converted to operative management

11) DURATION OF HOSPITAL STAY

This duration is ranging from less than 24 hours to 60 days. Patients who presented in hemodynamic instability and died of hemorrhage are comprising of patients having a hospital stay less than 24 hours.

12)CAUSE OF DEATH

6.66% of patients (2/30) expired. One patient died due to septicemia, and another patient died of acute myocardian infraction.

V. Discussion

This study is a prospective study of 30 patients done during a time span 18 months from Jan 2018 to June 2019

Splenic Trauma Incidence:

29.5% of abdomen trauma resulted in splenic injury.

Age Incidence:

40% of patients (12/30) presented to us are in the age group of 21-30 years, and 30% of patients (9/30) are in the age group of 31-40 years. There are no patients above 60 years. The most affected population is in the age group of 21-30 years (12 patients). In our series, the mean age of presentation is 29.5 years (8-60). In Cocanour CS et al. series, the mean age of presentation is 35.3 years.

Sex Incidence:

In our series, 80% of patients (24/30) are males, and only 20% of patients (6/30) are females. In Cocanour CS et al. series, 90% of patients are male, 10% of patients are females. Males are more affected by Spleen injury.

The time interval between injury and admission:

The minimum lapse time was 30 minutes in our series, and the maximum period was 79 hours. The patients who presented early within 2 hours have a good outcome (p<0.01).

Patients Clinical Presentation:

In our series, 90% of patients (27/30) presented with abdomen pain. Some of them have associated with distension of the abdomen, and very few patients have associated vomiting. 80% of patients (24/30) on examination had tenderness, guarding, and rigidity, bowel sound is presented in only 60% of patients (18/30). The most common symptom is pain abdomen and clinical sign in the tenderness of the abdomen associated with guarding and rigidity.

Ultrasound scan of abdomen and pelvis:

In our series, 94% of patients have scanned ultrasound scan abdomen and pelvic preoperatively. The sensitivity of ultrasound scan in our series is 87.5

Table no 9		
ULTRASOUND SCAN SENSITIVITY	STUDY GROUP	
63%	Bode PJ et al	
82%	Golleti O et al	
87.5%	Govt general hospital Vijayawada	

The incision for Laparotomy: Almost all patients managed by surgery on, a midline incision was taken. Incidence of the grade of spleen injury:

Table no 10			
Grade of spleen Injury	Zuker et al. (n=68)	Our series(n=50)	
Ι	19	3	
II	28	15	
III	17	11	
IV	4	1	

. .

In Zucker et al. series, grade I and grade II injuries are commonly involved, accounting for 70% of patients. In our series, grade II and grade III injuries are more commonly involved, accounting for 86.66% of patients.

1 able no 11				
STUDY GROUP	MAYERS et al. 19	ZUCKER ET AL32	COCANOUR ET AL11	OUR SERIES
Total no.of Patients	204	68	368	30
operative	136	44	311	21
non-operative	68	24	57	6
non-operative Success%	93%	95%	86%	85.6%
non-operative Failure%	7%	5%	14%	14.3%

In Myers et al. series 68 out of 204 were non-operatively managed, and success rate of non-operative management is 93%, and the failure rate is 7%

In Cocanour et al. series, 57 out of 311 patients were non-operatively managed Success rate of non-operative management is 86% and the failure rate is 14%

In Zucker et al. series, 24 out of 68 were managed non-operatively and success rate of non-operative management is 95%, and the failure rate is 5%

In our series, 6 out of 30 were managed non-operatively and success rate of non-operative management is 85.6%, and the failure rate is 13.34%

Mortality:

The mortality rate is 6.66% in our series (2patients) one patient has died due to septicemia, and another died of acute myocardial infraction .None of our patients have died due to non-operative management.

VI. Conclusions

1) most commonly injured organ is spleen in intra-abdominal injuries (25.2%)

2) age and sex of patients have no association with the outcome of management.

3) males are commonly involved in splenic trauma 29.5 years.

4) the mean age group involved in splenic trauma 28-45 years.

5) the time-lapse between injury and treatment has significant associated with outcome. Patients who presented with less than 2 hours of injury have a better prognosis with less morbidity and mortality (p<0.05).

6) grade of splenic injury, continuous monitoring of patients, and associated injuries have a direct bearing on the outcome.

7) a preoperative ultrasound scan of the abdomen and pelvic cavity is diagnostic of splenic injury with a sensitivity rate of 81.81%.

8) CT scan is most useful in the non-operative management of trauma.

9) Overall Splenic injuries of grade I, II have a good outcome with non-operative management when not associated with other injuries (P < 0.001).

10)A prophylactic antibiotic will prevent post-operative complications.

- 11)Pneumococcal vaccine prevents overwhelming post Splenectomy infection.
- 12) Respiratory complication are common in post-operative patients.

References

- [1]. Brady RR, Bandari M, Kerssens JJ, et al. Splenic trauma in Scotland: demographics and outcomes. World J Surg 2007; 31:2111.
- [2]. Tan K, Lewis GR, Chahal R, et al. Iatrogenic splenectomy during left nephrectomy: a single-institution experience of eight years.
- Urol Int 2011; 87:59.
- [3]. Kamath AS, Iqbal CW, Sarr MG, et al. Colonoscopic splenic injuries: incidence and management. J Gastrointest Surg 2009; 13:2136.
- [4]. Cassar K, Munro A. Iatrogenic splenic injury. J R Coll Surg Edinb 2002; 47:731.
- [5]. Merchea A, Dozois EJ, Wang JK, Larson DW. Anatomic mechanisms for splenic injury during colorectal surgery. Clin Anat 2012; 25:212.
- [6]. Masoomi H, Carmichael JC, Mills S, et al. Predictive factors of splenic injury in colorectal surgery: data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample, 2006-2008. Arch Surg 2012; 147:324.
- [7]. Davis JJ, Cohn I Jr, Nance FC. Diagnosis and management of blunt abdominal trauma. Ann Surg 1976; 183:672.
- [8]. Cocanour CS, Moore FA, Ware DN, et al: Delayed complications of nonoperative management of blunt adult splenic trauma. *Arch Surg* 1998; 133:6.
- [9]. Jacoby R, Wisner D. Injury to the spleen. In: Trauma, 6th, Moore EE, Feliciano DV, Mattox KL (Eds), McGraw-Hill Medical, New York 2008. p.661.
- [10]. The splenic injury outcomes trial: An American Association for the Surgery of Trauma multi-institutional study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2015 Sep;79(3):335-42.
- [11]. Murken DR, Weis JJ, Hill GC, et al. Radiographic assessment of splenic injury without contrast: contrasts truly needed? Surgery 2012; 152:676.

- [12]. Bosack AR, Shanmuganathan K, Mirvis SE, et al. Optimizing trauma patients in multidetector CT protocol for blunt splenic injury: the need for arterial and portal venous phase scans. Radiology 2013; 268:79.
- [13]. Uyeda JW, LeBedis CA, Penn DR, et al. Active hemorrhage and vascular injuries in splenic trauma: utility of the arterial phase in multidetector CT. Radiology 2014; 270:99.
- [14]. Longo WE, Baker CC, McMillen MA, Modlin IM, Degutis LC, Zucker KA. Nonoperative management of adult blunt splenic trauma. Criteria for a successful outcome. Ann Surg. 1989 Nov;210(5):626–629.
- [15]. Schurr MJ, Fabian TC, Gavant M, et al. Management of blunt splenic trauma: computed tomographic contrast blush predicts failure of nonoperative management. J Trauma, 1995; 39:507.
- [16]. Fu CY, Wu SC, Chen RJ, et al. Evaluation of need for operative intervention in blunt splenic injury: intraperitoneal contrast extravasation has an increased probability of requiring operative intervention. World J Surg 2010; 34:2745.
- [17]. Bode PJ, Niezen RA, van Vugt AB, Schipper J. Abdominal ultrasound as a reliable indicator for conclusive laparotomy in blunt abdominal trauma. J Trauma 1993;34:27-31. 8.
- [18]. Goletti O, Ghiselli G, Lippolis PV, Chiarugi M, Braccini G, Macaluso C, et al. The role of ultrasonography in blunt abdominal trauma: Results in 250 consecutive cases. J Trauma 1994;36:178-81.
- [19]. Kozar RA, Crandall M, Shanmuganathan K, et al. Organ injury scaling 2018 update: Spleen, liver, and kidney. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2018; 85:1119.
- [20]. Tinkoff G, Esposito TJ, Reed J, et al. American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scale I: spleen, liver, and kidney, validation based on the national Trauma Data Bank. J Am Coll Surg 2008; 207:646.
- [21]. Sutyak JP, Chiu WC, D'Amelio LF, et al. Computed tomography is inaccurate in estimating the severity of the adult splenic injury. J Trauma 1995; 39:514.
- [22]. Becker CD, Spring P, Glättli A, Schweizer W. Blunt splenic trauma in adults: can CT findings be used to determine the need for surgery? AJR Am J Roentgenol 1994; 162:343.
- [23]. Kohn JS, Clark DE, Isler RJ, Pope CF. Is computed tomographic grading of splenic injury useful in the nonsurgical management of blunt trauma? J Trauma 1994; 36:385.
- [24]. Harbrecht BG. Is anything new in adult blunt splenic trauma? Am J Surg 2005; 190:273.
- [25]. Marmery H, Shanmuganathan K, Alexander MT, Mirvis SE. Optimization of selection for nonoperative management of blunt splenic injury: comparison of MDCT grading systems. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007; 189:1421.
- [26]. Stassen NA, Bhullar I, Cheng JD, et al. Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic injury: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012; 73:S288.
- [27]. Hildebrand DR, Ben-Sassi A, Ross NP, et al. Modern management of splenic trauma. BMJ 2014; 348:g1864.
- [28]. Stassen NA, Bhullar I, Cheng JD, et al. Selective nonoperative management of blunt splenic injury: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012; 73:S294.
 [20] Le A, Mathazar AM, Adams D, Jurgert of care spring in the superstant splenic injuries. N Z Math J 2004.
- [29]. Lo A, Matheson AM, Adams D. Impact of concomitant trauma in the management of blunt splenic injuries. N Z Med J 2004; 117:U1052.
- [30]. Wahl WL, Ahrns KS, Chen S, et al. Blunt splenic injury: operation versus angiographic embolization. Surgery 2004; 136:891.
- [31]. Berg RJ, Inaba K, Okoye O, et al. The contemporary management of penetrating splenic injury. Injury 2014; 45:1394.
- [32]. Holubar SD, Wang JK, Wolff BG, et al. Splenic salvage after an intraoperative splenic injury during colectomy. Arch Surg 2009; 144:1040.
- [33]. Stein DM, Scalea TM. Nonoperative management of spleen and liver injuries. J Intensive Care Med 2006; 21:296.
- [34]. Siriratsivawong K, Zenati M, Watson GA, Harbrecht BG. Nonoperative management of blunt splenic trauma in the elderly: does age play a role? Am Surg 2007; 73:585.
- [35]. McIntyre LK, Schiff M, Jurkovich GJ. Failure of nonoperative management of splenic injuries: causes and consequences. Arch Surg 2005; 140:563.
- [36]. Bhullar IS, Frykberg ER, Siragusa D, et al. Selective angiographic embolization of blunt splenic traumatic injuries in adults decreases failure rate of nonoperative management. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012; 72:1127.
- [37]. Demetriades D, Scalea TM, Degiannis E, et al. Blunt splenic trauma: splenectomy increases early infectious complications: a prospective multicenter study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2012; 72:229.
- [38]. Bugaev N, Breeze JL, Daoud V, et al. Management and outcome of patients with blunt splenic injury and preexisting liver cirrhosis. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2014; 76:1354.
- [39]. Cook MR, Fair KA, Burg J, et al. Cirrhosis increases mortality and splenectomy rates following splenic injury. Am J Surg 2015; 209:841.
- [40]. Velmahos GC, Zacharias N, Emhoff TA, et al. Management of the most severely injured spleen: a multicenter study of the Research Consortium of New England Centers for Trauma (reconnect). Arch Surg 2010; 145:456.
- [41]. Olthof DC, Joosse P, van der Vlies CH, et al. Prognostic factors for failure of nonoperative management in adults with blunt splenic injury: a systematic review. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013; 74:546.
- [42]. Teixeira PG, Karamanos E, Okoye OT, et al. Splenectomy in patients with traumatic brain injury: protective or harmful? A National Trauma Data Bank analysis. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013; 75:596.
- [43]. Peitzman AB, Heil B, Rivera L, et al. Blunt splenic injury in adults: Multi-institutional Study of the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. J Trauma 2000; 49:177.
- [44]. Bhangu A, Nepogodiev D, Lal N, Bowley DM. A meta-analysis of predictive factors and outcomes for the failure of non-operative management of blunt splenic trauma. Injury 2012; 43:1337.
- [45]. Watson GA, Rosengart MR, Zenati MS, et al. Nonoperative management of severe blunt splenic injury: are we getting better? J Trauma 2006; 61:1113.
- [46]. Godley CD, Warren RL, Sheridan RL, McCabe CJ. Nonoperative management of blunt splenic injury in adults: age over 55 years as a powerful indicator for failure. J Am Coll Surg 1996; 183:133.
- [47]. Krause KR, Howells GA, Bair HA, et al. Nonoperative management of blunt splenic injury in adults 55 years and older: a twentyyear experience. Am Surg 2000; 66:636.
- [48]. Ong AW, Eilertson KE, Reilly EF, et al. Nonoperative management of splenic injuries: significance of age. J Surg Res 2016; 201:134.
- [49]. Demetriades D, Hadjizacharia P, Constantinou C, et al. Selective nonoperative management of penetrating abdominal solid organ injuries. Ann Surg, 2006; 244:620.
- [50]. Harbrecht BG, Zenati MS, Ochoa JB, et al. Management of adult blunt splenic injuries: comparison between level I and level II trauma centers. J Am Coll Surg 2004; 198:232.

- [51]. Saksobhavivat N, Shanmuganathan K, Chen HH, et al. Blunt splenic injury: use of a multidetector CT-based splenic injury grading system and clinical parameters for triage of patients at admission. Radiology 2015; 274:702.
- [52]. Fata P, Robinson L, Fakhry SM. A survey of EAST member practices in blunt splenic injury: a description of current trends and opportunities for improvement. J Trauma, 2005; 59:836.
- [53]. Gomez D, Haas B, Al-Ali K, et al. Controversies in the management of splenic trauma. Injury 2012; 43:55.
- [54]. Teichman A, Scantling D, McCracken B, Eakins J. Early mobilization of patients with non-operative liver and spleen injuries is safe and cost-effective. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 2018; 44:883.
- [55]. Olthof DC, van der Vlies CH, Joosse P, et al. Consensus strategies for the nonoperative management of patients with blunt splenic injury: a Delphi study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013; 74:1567.
- [56]. Kwok AM, Davis JW, Dirks RC, et al. Time is now: venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in blunt splenic injury. Am J Surg 2016; 212:1231.
- [57]. Rostas JW, Manley J, Gonzalez RP, et al. The safety of low molecular-weight heparin after blunt liver and spleen injuries. Am J Surg 2015; 210:31.
- [58]. Murphy PB, Sothilingam N, Charyk Stewart T, et al. Very early initiation of chemical venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after blunt solid organ injury is safe. Can J Surg 2016; 59:118.
- [59]. Leeper WR, Leeper TJ, Ouellette D, et al. Delayed hemorrhagic complications in the nonoperative management of blunt splenic trauma: early screening leads to a decrease in failure rate. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2014; 76:1349.
- [60]. St Peter SD, Keckler SJ, Spilde TL, et al. Justification for an abbreviated protocol in the management of blunt spleen and liver injury in children. J Pediatr Surg 2008; 43:191.
- [61]. McCray VW, Davis JW, Lemaster D, Parks SN. Observation for nonoperative management of the spleen: how long is long enough? J Trauma 2008; 65:1354.
- [62]. Smith J, Armen S, Cook CH, Martin LC. Blunt splenic injuries: have we watched long enough? J Trauma 2008; 64:656.
- [63]. Malhotra AK, Carter RF, Liebman DA, et al. Preservation of splenic immunocompetence after splenic artery angioembolization for blunt splenic injury. J Trauma 2010; 69:1126.
- [64]. Walusimbi MS, Dominguez KM, Sands JM, et al. Circulating cellular and humoral elements of immune function following splenic arterial embolization or splenectomy in trauma patients. Injury 2012; 43:180.

S.Munitheja. "A Clinical Study of Splenic Injury in Blunt Injury Abdomen In Government General Hospital, Vijayawada." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 18, no. 11, 2019, pp 33-41.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1811083341