IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 19, Issue 11 Ser.6 (November. 2020), PP 33-36
www.iosrjournals.org

A Comparative Study on Serum Iron and Tibc among the Preeclamptic Patients and Normotensive Group

Dr. Begum Shamsun Naher Shirin[1], Dr. Saria Tasnim[2], Dr. Md. Abdul Haque[3,] Dr. Nazneen Kabir[4], Dr. Kohinur Begum[5,] Dr. Md. Nazim Al-Azad[6]

1. *Associate Professor, Obs & Gynae, USBMCH, Narayanganj, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
2. *Professor, Obs & Gynae, ICMH, Matuail, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
3. *Professor &Head of the Dept. of Epidemiology. ICMH, Matuail, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
4. *Professor & Head of the Dept. of Obs & Gynae, ICMH, Matuail, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
5. *Professor, Obs & Gynae, Bangladesh Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh*
6. *Consultant, Medicine, Mugda, MCH, Dhaka, Bangladesh*

Abstract

Background: *In the developing countries, women lost their lives due to preeclampsia every year and the risk of infant mortality in preeclampsia is 4 times higher than that in normal pregnancies. In Bangladesh, eclampsia contributes 20% of the maternal mortality on a national basis which is equivalent to about 4500 women in one year.*

Objective: *Main objective of this study is to compare the serum iron and TIBC among the preeclamptic patients and normotensive group*

Method: *It was a cross sectional comparative study on serum iron and iron binding capacity in preeclamptic and normotensive pregnancy conducted in the Outpatients and inpatients department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Institute of Child and Mother Health from January 2011 to December 2012. A total number of 92 pregnant women were selected. Among them, 46 pregnant women with preeclampsia are in study group and 46 normotensive pregnant women are in control group.*

Result: *The age of the patients in study group was 24.1±4.4 (Mean±SD) years and that of control group was 22.8±2.1 years. Among the patients with study group 26 (56.5%) were primi and 20 (43.5%) were multi para. Among the control group 36 (78.3%) were primi and 10 (21.7%) were multi para. Mean±SD of gestational age of study group and control group were 36.6±1.3 weeks and 37.9±0.7 respectively.*

The Mean ±SD of serum iron level among the patients with study and control groups were 8.21±2.14 and 5.00±1.96 p mol/L respectively. Mean ±SD of total iron binding capacity among the study and control group 15.02±5.89 and 24.63±6.43 percent respectively.

Conclusion: *Serum iron level was higher and total iron binding capacity was lower in preeclamptic than normotensive ones.*

Key words*: Serum Iron, preeclampsia, normotensive, corticosteroids*

Date of Submission: 06-11-2020 Date of Acceptance: 19-11-2020

I. Introduction

Pregnancy is a physiological condition and usually had no effect on general health of a pregnant woman. However pregnancy results in hormonal, hemodynamic and haematologieal changes, these physiological changes need to be viewed as normal adaptations determined by nature[1]. The cultural or environmental factors, age at initiation of childbearing and length of inter pregnancy intervals; have not been sufficiently investigated, Nutritional iron deficiency is highest in the population of peak growth rates, such as infants, young children, and pregnant women [2,3,4]. The risk of developing iron deficiency is greatest during pregnancy [5]. Iron requirements are greater in pregnancy than in non-pregnant state[1].

The previously report that there is elevation of serum iron in preeclampsia. When patients with preeclampsia and pregnant women with chronic hypertension were compared; a serum iron value greater than normal pregnancy, is a sensitive and specific indicator of preeclampsia[6].

The etiology and pathogenesis of preeclampsia remains poorly understood[7]. In overtly preeclamptic women it is impossible to decipher cause from effect. Nonetheless, current concepts of the genesis of preeclampsia that include endothelial dysfunction, inflammatory activation, oxidative stress and predisposing maternal factors provide targets for well-designed nutritional investigation[8]. For many years diet has been suggested to play a role in preeclampsia. The hypotheses have been diverse and often mutually exclusive. Thus,

increased and reduced dietary sodium, protein, fats or carbohydrates were proposed as possible etiological factors.

In the developing countries, women lost their lives due to preeclampsia every year and the risk of infant mortality in preeclampsia is 4 times higher than that in normal pregnancies[9]. In a baseline survey for assessment of EOC services in Bangladesh, 5% of the total obstetrics admissions in health facilities were due to preeclampsia and eclampsia[10]. In Bangladesh, eclampsia contributes 20% of the maternal mortality on a national basis which is equivalent to about 4500 women in one year (BMMS, 2010).

II. Objective

General objective:

- To compare the serum iron and TIBC among the preeclamptic patients and normotensive group

Specific objective

- To compare the level of serum iron in preeclamptic and normotensive women in third trimester of pregnancy
- To measure the serum iron and TIBC among the preeclamptic patients and normotensive group

III. Methodology

Type of study	It was a cross sectional comparative study.
Place of study	Outpatients and inpatients department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Institute of Child and Mother Health; Matuail, Dhaka
Study period	From January 2011 to December 2012.
Study population	The entire preeclampsia patient admitted during study period.
Sampling technique	Purposive sampling

Selection criteria:
Inclusion criteria:
For study group:

- Age 20-35 yrs
- 28-40 weeks of Singleton pregnancy
- Blood pressure > 140/90 mm Hg (Taken on two occasions 6 hours apart)
- Urinary protein of 0.3 gm/L or more

For control group

- Age 20-35 yrs
- 28-40 weeks of Singleton pregnancy
- Normal blood pressure
- Urinary protein nil/trace

Exclusion criteria:

- Any associated medical disorders like anaemia diabetes mellitus, renal disease, chronic hypertension, liver disease and those who were on medications (such as diuretics, corticosteroids which could alter serum iron profile).

Procedure of data collection:

A total number of 92 pregnant women were selected. Among them, 46 pregnant women with preeclampsia were in the case group and 46 normotensive pregnant women were in the control group.

Data were collected in a predesigned data collection sheet. Those were collected by interview, observation, clinical examination, biochemical investigations, from history sheet of the patient. After selection of the study subjects, the objectives, nature, purpose and potential risk of all procedures used for the study was explained in details and informed written consent from the patients was taken. Proteinuria was measured by using dipstick method. With all aseptic precaution, about 5 ml of venous blood was collected from medial cubital vein from each subject and blood was sent to laboratory for biochemical test. Serum iron and total iron-binding capacity were assayed spectrophotometrically (Hitachi 7600; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis:
Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16 (SPPS Incorporation, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD, and categorical variables were as frequency and percentage.

IV. Result

Table 1: Distribution of characteristics of the patients by groups

Variables	Group		P-value
	Study (n=46)	Control (n=46)	
Age			
Mean±SD (20-35yrs)	24.13±4.4	22.8±2.1	0.078*
Parity			
Primi	26 (56.5%)	36 (78.3%)	0.22*
Multi	20 (43.5%)	10(21.7%)	
Gestational age			
Mean±SD (range)	36.6±1.3 (32-40)	37.9±0.7	0.000*
28-34	02(04.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.000*
35-37	29(63.0%)	05(10.9%)	
>38	15(32.6%)	41(89.1%)	

*Student t test
Chi square test

Table 1 shows the distribution of characteristics of the patients by groups. Mean±SD of age of study group and control group were 24.13±4.4 and 22.8±2.1 respectively. There is no statistically significant difference in age between the groups (p>0.05). Among the study group 26 (56.5%) were primi and 20 (43.5%) were multi para. There is no statistically significant difference in para between the groups (p>0.05). Mean±SD of gestational age of study group and control group were 36.6±1.3 weeks and 37.9±0.7 respectively. There is statistically significant difference in gestational age between the groups (p<0.05). Among the respondents in study group gestational age of 02(04.3%) were 28-34 weeks, 29(63.0%) were 35 to 37 weeks and 15(32.6%) were >38 weeks.

Table 2: Distribution of laboratory parameters by groups

Laboratory parameter	Group		P value
	Study (n=46)	**Control (n-46)**	
Serum iron level (p mol/L)	8.21±2.14	5.00±1.96	0.001
Total iron binding capacity (%)	15.02±5.89	24.63±6.43	0.008

Table 2 shows mean serum iron level among the study group was significantly higher than normal (control) patients. Total iron binding capacity among was lower among the study group than normal; however, the difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

V. Discussion

In the present study the mean ±SD ofserum iron level among the patients with study and controlgroups were 8.21 ±2.14 and 5.00±1.96 p mol/L respectively. Mean ±SD of total iron binding capacity among the study and control group 15.02^5.89 and 24.63i6.43 percent respectively. There is statistically significant difference in serum iron and total iron binding capacity and between the groups.

Vitoratos et al. (1999) in their study reported that the mean±SD serum iron level was greater whereas the total iron binding capacity was lower in women with preeclampsia when compared to normal pregnancies (P<0.01 and P<0.0001 respectively)[11]. He reported that significant differences between serum iron and TIBC in between pregnant women with iron deficiency anaemia and pregnant controls (P< 0.001). They concluded that a reduction in the level of serum iron of pregnant women with iron deficiency anaemia at all trimesters, especially the third trimester, (P< 0.001). Lao et al. (2000) in their study reported that the maternal ferritin concentration is primarily a reflection ofmaternal iron status, and a high level is associated with unfavorable outcome and the rationale of routine iron supplementation in non-anaemic women needs to be re-examined[12]. Entman et al. (1983) found that serum iron concentration was increased in women with eclampsia compared to normotensive parturient and chronic hypertensive parturient Basher and Deb, (2006) studied to compare serum iron status in preeclamptic to normal pregnancy, which may help in the establishment of diagnosis of preeclampsia before appearance of its clinical manifestation. In their study the mean value of serum iron was significantly increased in the preeclamptic women in comparison to controls whereas mean values of both total iron binding capacity (TIBC) was significantly decreased in preeclamptic women in contrast to controls[13,14].

Raman et al. (1992) in their study reported that the mean ferritin levels were significantly elevated both in PIH and eclampsia as compared to controls indicating that ferritin measurement in PIH and eclampsia would not reflect iron nutritional status[15]. Raza et al. (2011) in their study reported that die mean values of serum ferritin (SF), and iron (Fe) and total iron binding capacity (TIBC) ware significantly lower in the cases than in the control and significantly higher values of TIBC and UIBC were observed in the cases compared to controls. Significant correlations were observed for TIBC against serum iron in different trimesters of pregnancy[1]. Rayman et al. (2002) investigated iron status parameters in preeclampsia with a view to exploring their possible contribution to the etiology and concluded that released iron species in preeclampsia may contribute to the etiology and will exacerbate lipid peroxidation and endothelial cell injury[16]. They concluded that it would seem inadvisable, in the absence of evidence of iron deficiency, to give iron supplements to pregnant women at high risk for preeclampsia. Raza et al. (2011) in their study reported that a high percentage of the pregnant women are iron deficient due to factors such as high parity, poor dietary habits and socioeconomic status[1]. Basher and Deb, (2006) in a study recommended that routine investigation of serum iron status of pregnant women as part of antenatal checkup may help in the establishment of diagnosis of pre eclampsia before appearance of its clinical manifestation[14].

VI. Conclusion

From our study we can say that, there was statistically significant increase in serum iron and decrease in total iron binding capacity in preeclamptic than normotensive women in third trimester of pregnancy. It can be suggested that if patient with high risk factors for development of pre-eclampsia can be tested for serum iron, may help in diagnosis of preeclampsia before appearance of clinical symptoms.

Reference

[1]. Raza N, Sarwar I, Munazza B, Ayub M, Suleman M. Assessment of iron deficiency in pregnant women by determining iron status. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2011;23(2):36-40.

[2]. Chaparro CM. Setting the stage for child health and development: prevention of iron deficiency in early infancy. J Nutr 2008;138(12):2529-2533.

[3]. Abu-Saad K and Fraser D. Maternal Nutrition and Birth Outcomes. Epidemiol Rev 2010; 32(1): 5-25.

[4]. Beard JL. Effectiveness and strategies of iron supplementation during pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71 5 suppl:1288S-1294S.

[5]. Scholl TO and Reilly T. Anemia, Iron and Pregnancy Outcome. J. Nutr 2005;130 (2):443S-447S.

[6]. Entman SS, Richardson LD, Killam AP. Elevated serum ferritin in the altered ferrokinetics of toxemia of pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;144:418-422.

[7]. Hubei CA. Dyslipidemia, iron, and oxidative stress in preeclampsia: assessment of maternal and feto-placental interactions. Semin Reprod Endocrinol. 1998;16(l):75-92.

[8]. Roberts JM, Balk JL, Bodnar LM, Belizan JM, Bergel E, Martinez A. Nutrient Involvement in Preeclampsia. J. Nutr. 2003:133(5):1684S-1692S.

[9]. Bahadoran P, Zendehdel M, Movahedian A, Zahraee RH. The relationship between serum zinc level and preeclampsia. IJNMR 2010; 15(3): 120-124.

[10]. Yasmin HA et al. Baseline survey for assessment of Emergency Obstetrics Care Services in Bangladesh, Bangladesh, Bangladesh Institute of Research for Promotion of Essential & Reproductive Health and Technologies (BIRPERT), 1995, pp.10.

[11]. VitoratosN, Salamalekis E, DalamagaN, KassanosD, CreatsasG. Defective antioxidant mechanisms via changes in serum ceruloplasmin and total iron binding capacity of serum in women with pre-eclampsia. European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 1999;84(1): 63-67.

[12]. Lao TT and Tam KF. Maternal Serum Ferritin and Gestational Impaired Glucose Tolerance. Diabetes Care 1997; 20(9): 1368-1369.

[13]. Entman SS, Richardson LD, Killam AP. Elevated serum ferritin in the altered ferrokinetics of toxemia of pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;144:418-422.

[14]. Basher K and Deb K. Alteration in iron status in pre eclampsia. Mymensingh Med J. 2006 Jan;15(l):22-4.

[15]. Raman L, Pawashe AB, Yasodhara P. Hyperferritinemia in pregnancy induced hypertension and eclampsia. J Postgrad Med. 1992;38(2):65-7.

[16]. Rayman MP, Barlis J, Evans RW, Redman CW, King LJ. Abnormal iron parameters in the pregnancy syndrome preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002; 187(2):412-8.