"Comparative Study of 2.8 mm Sclerocorneal Tunnel Incision Versus 2.8 mm Clear Corneal Incision In Phacoemulsification" Dr. M.K. Prashul Rayi¹, Dr. Vijay Kumar Srivastava²

¹Postgraduate Department of Ophthalmology, M.V.J. Medical college Research Hospital, Hoskote, Bangalore ²Professor & Head of Department Of Ophthalmology, M.V.J. Medical college Hospital Institute, Hoskote, Bangalore

Corresponding Author: Dr. M.K. Prashul Rayi

ABSTRACT

<u>Background:</u> Phacoemulsification is accepted as gold standard surgical procedure for the management of cataract in the modern era. There are two types of incision used in phacoemulsification – Sclerocorneal tunnel incision and Clear corneal incision. Recently preferences have been shifted from Sclerocorneal tunnel incision to Clear corneal incision in Phacoemulsification. Hence we are conducting this study to assess the merits and demerits of the two techniques.

<u>Materials and methods</u>: In this interventional and comparative study, a total of 100 patients were selected in the age group above 50 years for undergoing

Phacoemulsification. It was done fromNovember2018 – November 2020 at M.V.J. Medical College and Research Institute, Hoskote, Bangalore Rural.

The alternate eligible patients reporting to OPD were assigned in one of the two groups of 50 members each. Group A- Patients with 2.8 mm Sclerocorneal tunnel incision

Group B – Patients with 2.8 mm Clear corneal incision

Patients were followed up after surgery on 1st post operative day, 1 week, 1 month, 3 month and required follow up done during each visit and the outcome of the study was analyzed using, Mann Whitney u test and t-test.

 $\underline{\textit{Result}}: In our study we found that 98\% of the patients who under went {\it S} clero corneal incision$

had 6/12 or better vision at the end of three months. In Clear corneal incision, 98% of

peoplehad6/12orbettervisionattheendofthreemonths. Thepostoperativevision between the two incision at the end of each post op visit was considered statistically insignificant by Mann Whitney utest. <u>Conclusion</u>: There was no statistical difference in post operative astigmatism in between the two groupsinkeratometryatthreemonthpostoperativeperiodinourstudy. Sinceneither of the two groups was considered statistically significant, we can conclude that both the incision induced equal visualrehabilitation.

<u>Keywords:</u> Phacoemulsification, Clear corneal incision, Sclerocorneal incision, WTR, ATR, BCVA.

Date of Submission: 05-12-2020

Date of Acceptance:20-12-2020

I. Introduction

Cataract comes from a Latin word which means waterfall. It is the lossoftransparency of crystalline lens. World Health Organization (WHO)chartssenile

cataractasaleadingcauseofblindness.Annualincidenceof3.8millioncataractand

over9millioncataractblindpeoplehavebeenreportedinIndia¹andexpectedtobe blind by 40 million

in2025.²Cataract surgery is the removal of opacified crystalline lens and replacing it with artificial intraocular lens. It started at the time of Sushruta in the 800 BC³ in form of practice of couching and evolved into Intracapsular cataract extraction (ICCE), Extracapsular cataract extraction(ECCE),Smallincisioncataractsurgery(SICS),Phacoemulsificationand Femtosecond laser surgery of recenttimes.Present day most popular surgery for cataract is Phacoemulsification, pioneered by Kelman in 1967⁴.

Phacoemulsification is one of the most important innovations in Ophthalmology. Now it is accepted as gold standard surgical procedure for the management of cataract. In Phacoemulsification the nucleus isultrasonically fragmented and aspirated by a small incision. It is opted as the surgery of choice due to better patient compliance, earlier establishment of refraction, improved visual acuity and minimal post operative astigmatism and minimal complication. There are two types of incision used in phacoemulsification – Sclerocorneal tunnel incision andClear corneal incision. Recently preferences have been shifted from Sclerocorneal incision to Clear corneal incision in Phacoemulsification. Each of the two incision have their own advantages and disadvantages over each other. Hence we are conducting this study to assess the merits and demerits of the twotechniques.

II. Materials and Methods

Source of data : Patients undergoing Phacoemulsification procedure for cataract surgery in the Department of Ophthalmology at MVJ medical college and Research Institute, Bangalore.

Sampling procedure

The sample size is estimated based on one of the study where the prevalence is 62.8%. ⁵Formula is: n= 4pq/L2 {p=prevalence, q=1-p and L=alloble error (20%). The sample size for this study was taken as 100 patients. The patients are selected in the age group above 50 years for undergoing

Phacoemulsification. The alternate eligible patients reporting to OPD were assigned in one of the two groups of 50 members each.

Group A- Patients with 2.8 mm Sclerocorneal tunnel incision

Group B – Patients with 2.8 mm Clear corneal incision

Study duration -From November 2018 – November 2020.

Sample size : Minimum of 100 patients.

Study design : It is an interventional and comparative study .

Study site – M.V.J. Medical College and Research Hospital

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patient undergoing Phacoemulsification for cataract at MVJ Medical College and Research Institute, who have given informed consent.
- Male and Female patients above 50 years.
- Grade1toGrade3nuclearsclerosis(LOCSIIIclassification).
- Having astigmatism < 1.25 D.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA :

- Non dilating miotic pupil.
- Brunescent cataract grade 4 and hardercataracts.
- Zonularweakness.
- Previous ocularsurgery.
- Coexisting ocular pathology.

Surgical Methodology

After taking written informed consent from the patient, they were divided into the above two groups. Visual acuity was taken with Snellens chart, refraction was done with Automated Refractometer, detailed anterior segment evaluation was done using Slit lamp biomicroscope and posterior segment evaluation was done with both Direct and Indirect Ophthalmoscope. IOL power was calculated using the SRK-2 formula. Pre-anesthetic evaluation was done and the patient was posted for surgery. Pre operatively TabletCiplox500mgBD starting from preoperative day till 5 days was given. Tab Pantaprazole 40 mg added to combactgastritis,

Ciploxeyed rops 4 times was also used on the pre-operative day as prophylactic antibiotic drops.

Under all aseptic precaution surgery was performed using Peribulbar Anesthesia with 2%Xylocaine,1500IUof hyaluronidase to increase the penetration of the Xylocaine and 0.5% Bupivacaine.

The Phacoemulsification procedure is done through the following incisions: -

<u>Sclerocorneal tunnel route</u> – A 2.8 mm triplanar incision was made about 2mm posterior to the Limbus and a Sclerocorneal tunnel with depth about 1/3rd of scleral thickness is dissected, extended into Clear cornea for 1 mm. <u>Clear corneal route</u> – A 2.8 mm triplanar incision was made just anterior to the anatomical superior Limbus extending 1- 1.5 mm into the Cornea.

Following the surgery Gatiquin - P eye drops was prescribed to all patients every hour on the post op dayfollowedbytaperingofdosesto8-6-4-2-0weeklyforthenext4weeks.

Patients were followed up after surgery on 1st post operative day,1 week, 1 month, 3 month. Post op visual acuity was checked unaided and with pinhole at each visit with Snellens chart. Inevery visit

detailedSlitlampexamination was done and Keratometry reading taken. The amountofastigmatism induced was measuredusingtheBauschandLombkeratometer. Any post operative complication was alsonoted. We used the Scalar analysis (direct subtraction of post operative cylinder from pre operative cylinder without taking the axis into consideration) for the estimation of post operative surgically induced astigmatism in our study.

Statistical Methods Used

Outcome of the study was analyzed using,

- Mann Whitney u test
- t-test

The level P < 0.05 was considered as the cutoff value or significant.

III. Results

TABLE1:POSTOPERATIVEUNCORRECTEDVISUALACUITYINSCLEROCORNEAL INCISION

| | SCLEROCORNEAL INCISION | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|----|--------------------|----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | DAY 1 | | WEEK 1 | | MONTH | I 1 | MONTH | I 3 | | | |
| UCVA | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | | | |
| 6/6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 18 | 36 | 26 | 52 | | | |
| 6/9 | 20 | 40 | 22 | 44 | 19 | 38 | 13 | 26 | | | |
| 6/12 | 22 | 44 | 14 | 28 | 11 | 22 | 10 | 20 | | | |
| 6/18 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| <6/18 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| TOTAL | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | | | |

InourstudyvisualacuitywastakenusingSnellenschartafterthecataractsurgeryonpostoperative days. In Sclerocorneal incision it was seen that on day 1, 1

patients(2%)had6/6vision,20patient(40%)had6/9,22patients(44%)had6/12,5patients(10%) had 6/18, 2 patients (4%) < 6/18 had vision. On week 1, 9patients(18%) had 6/6, 22 patients (44%) had 6/9,14 patients (28%) had 6/12, 3 patients (6%) had 6/18, 2 patients (4%) had < 6/18 vision. At 1 month follow up, it was seen that 18 patients (36%) had 6/6, 19 patients (38%) had 6/9, 11 patients (22%) had 6/12, 1 patients (2%) had6/18,1 patients (2%) had <6/18 vision. On the last follow up at 3 months it was seen that 26 patients (52%) had 6/6, 13 patients (26%) had 6/9, 10 patients (20%) had 6/12 and 1 patients (2%) had <6/18 vision.

GRAPH 1: POST OPERATIVE UNCORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY IN SCLEROCORNEAL TUNNEL INCISION



TABLE 2: POST OPERATIVE UNCORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY CLEARCORNEAL INCISON

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1912084655

| | CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|----|-----------------|----|-----------------|---------|-----------------|----|--|--|--|
| UCVA | DAY 1 | | WEEK 1 | | MONTH 1 | MONTH 3 | | | | | |
| | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | NO. OF PATIENTS | % | | | |
| 6/6 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 24 | 17 | 34 | 18 | 36 | | | |
| 6/9 | 25 | 50 | 30 | 60 | 25 | 50 | 25 | 50 | | | |
| 6/12 | 16 | 32 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 12 | | | |
| 6/18 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| <6/18 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| TOTAL | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | | | |

In Clear corneal incision on day 1 the vision acuity taken with snellens chat showed

GRAPH2: POSTOPERATIVEUNCORRECTED VISUAL ACUITYCLEAR CORNEAL INCISION



TABLE3:COMPARISIONOFTHEPOSTOPERATIVEUNCORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY BETWEEN THE TWOINCISON

| POST OP VISUAL ACUITY | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | DAY 1 WEEK 1 MONTH 1 MONTH 3 | | | | | | | | |
| p VALUE 0.61 0.75 0.76 0.45 | | | | | | | | | |

Using the Mann Whitney U test, the visual acuity between the two groups was compared at each time Interval and p value was calculated. On day 1 p value was

0.61, on week 1 it is 0.75, on month 1 it is 0.76 and month 3 pvalue is 0.45. Since it is < 0.05 it is considered statistically not significant.

| | GROUP A: SCLEROCORNEAL INCISION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | DAY 1 | | WEEK 1 | | MONTH 1 | | MONTH 3 | | | | | |
| | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTA GE | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTA GE | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTA GE | | | | |
| 0.25 | 10 | 20 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 44 | 27 | 54 | | | | |
| 0.5 | 18 | 36 | 28 | 56 | 21 | 42 | 18 | 36 | | | | |
| 0.75 | 12 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 20 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | | | | |

TABLE 4: POST OPERATIVE AMOUNT OF ASTIGMATISM INSCLEROCORNEAL GROUP

PostoperativeastigmatismwasdocumentedinGroupA(Sclerocornealincision).On Day 1, 10 patients (20%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 18 patients (36%) had 0.5D, 12

patients(24%)had0.75D,and10patients(20%)had1.00D.Onweek1,11patients (22%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 28 patients (56%) had 0.5 D,

8patients(16%)had0.75D,and3patients(6%)had1.00D.Onmonth1,22patients(44%)had0.25Dofastigmatism,2 1patients(42%)had0.5D,4patients(8%)had0.75D, and 3 patients (6%) had 1.00 D. On the last follow up day at 3 months 27 patients (54%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 18 patients (36%) had 0.5 D, 3 patients (6%)had0.75 D, and 2 patients (4%) had 1.00 D.



GRAPH 3: POST OPERATIVE AMOUNT OF ASTIGMATISM IN SCLEROCORNEAL GROUP

TABLE 5: TYPE OF POST OP ASTIGMATISM IN SCLEROCORNEAL INCISION

| | POST OP TYPE OF ASTIGMATISM | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| DAY 1 WEEK 1 MONTH 1 MONTH 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | | | |
| 23 | 23 27 18 32 18 32 20 30 | | | | | | | | | |

InthisgroupthepostoperativeastigmatismonDay1was23WTRand27ATR,on Week1,18WTRand32ATR,onMonth1,18WTRand32ATRandonMonth3, 20 WTR and 30 ATR werepresent.



GRAPH 4: TYPE OF POST OP ASTIGMATISM IN SCLEROCORNEAL INCISION

TABLE6:POSTOPAMOUNTOFASTIGMATISMINCLEARCORNEAL INCISION

| CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| DA | Y 1 | | WEEK 1 | | M | ONTH 1 | MONTH 3 | | | | |
| DIOPTERS | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE | | | |
| 0.25 | 13 | 26 | 14 | 28 | 21 | 42 | 22 | 44 | | | |
| 0.5 | 17 | 34 | 26 | 52 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 40 | | | |
| 0.75 | 13 | 26 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 | | | |
| 1 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 10 | | | |
| TOTAL | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | 50 | | | | |

PostoperativeastigmatismwasdocumentedinGroupB(Clearcornealincision).On Day 1, 13 patients (26%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 17 patients (34%) had 0.5D, 13 patients(26%)had0.75D,and7patients(14%)had1.00D.OnWeek1,14patients (28%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 26 patients (52%) had 0.5 D, 6patients(12%)had0.75D,and4patients(8%)had1.00D.Onmonth1,21patients(42%)h ad0.25Dofastigmatism,20patients(40%)had0.5D,4patients(8%)had0.75D, and5patients(10%)had1.00D.Onthelastfollowupdayat3months22patients(44%) had 0.25 D of astigmatism, 20 patients (40%) had 0.5 D, 3 patients (6%)had0.75 D, and 5 patients (10%) had 1.00 D.



GRAPH 5 : POST OP AMOUNT ASTIGMATISM IN CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION

TABLE 7:TYPE OF POST OPERATIVE ASTIGMATISM IN CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION

| | POST OP TYPE OF ASTIGMATISM | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| DAY 1 WEEK 1 MONTH 1 MONTH 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | | | |
| 26 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 | | | | | | | | | | |

InthisgroupthepostoperativeastigmatismonDay1was26WTRand24ATR,on Week1,25WTRand25ATR,onMonth1,25WTRand25ATRandonMonth3, 25 WTR and 25 ATR werepresent.

GRAPH 5:TYPE OF POST OPERATIVE ASTIGMATISM IN CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION



| | GROUP | А | | | GROUP | В | | |
|---------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| | WTR | | ATR | | WTR | | ATR | |
| | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD |
| DAY 1 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.65 | 0.24 | 0.58 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.19 |
| WEEK 1 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 0.19 |
| MONTH 1 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.18 |
| MONTH 3 | 0.37 | 0.2 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.18 |

TABLE 7: COMPARISION OF TYPE OF POST OPERATIVE ASTIGMATISM BETWEEN THETWO GROUPS

TABLE 8: CALCULATION OF p VALUE

| | DAY | <i>I</i> 1 | WEE | K 1 | MONTH 1 | | MONTH 3 | |
|---------|------|------------|------|-----|---------|------|---------|------|
| | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR | WTR | ATR |
| p VALUE | 0.68 | 0.11 | 0.66 | 0.9 | 0.84 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.22 |

In our study after comparison of the type of and amount of astigmatism between the two groups, the p value was calculated using t-test. It was found that the p value at day 1 for WTR and ATR was 0.68 and 0.11 respectively, at week 1 p value for WTR and ATR was 0.66 and 0.90, at month 1, p value for WTR and ATR was 0.84 and 0.24 and at month 3, p value WTR is 0.44 and ATR is 0.22. Since the p value calculated was less than significant value <0.05, there is no statistical difference between the two group.

TABLE 9: COMPLICATIONS SEEN IN GROUP A AND GROUP B

| COMPLICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| SCLER | OCORNEAL INCISION | 1 | CLEA | CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION | | | | | | |
| | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE | | PERCENTAGE | | | | | | |
| DM DETACHMENT | 2 | 4 | DM DETACHMENT | 2 | 4 | | | | | |
| CORNEAL OEDEMA | 2 | 4 | CORNEAL OEDEMA | 5 | 10 | | | | | |
| РСО | 5 | 10 | РСО | 3 | 6 | | | | | |
| POST OP IRITIS | 2 | 4 | POST OP IRITIS | 2 | 4 | | | | | |
| | 11 | | TOTAL | 12 | | | | | | |

PCO- Posterior capsular opacification



GRAPH 6: COMPLICATIONS SEEN IN GROUP A SCLEROCORNEAL INCISION

In our study of complications of Phacoemulsification using Sclerocorneal incision noted a total of 11 complications including both intra operative and post operative. It was found that Group A had 2 Descemets membrane detachment (4%), 2 corneal oedema (4%), 5 posterior capsular opacification (10%), 2 post operative iritis (4%).



GRAPH 7: COMPLICATIONS SEEN IN GROUP B CLEAR CORNEAL INCISION

In Group B Clear corneal incision noted a total of 12 complications including both intra operative and post operative. It was found that Group B had 2 Descemets membrane detachment (4%), 5 corneal oedema (10%), 3 posterior capsular opacification (6%), 2 post operative iritis (4%)

IV. Discussion

This study was done to compare the effects of Sclerocorneal tunnel incision versus Clear corneal incision on basis of visual outcome, post operative astigmatism and to analyse any intra-operative or post operative complications between the two groups of phacoemulsification.

Post operative visual acuity using Snellens chart was taken on post operative day 1, week 1, month 1, month 3. Using theMann Whitney U test, the visual acuity between the two groups was compared at each time Interval and p value was calculated. On day 1 p value was 0.61, on week 1 it is 0.75, on month 1 it is 0.76 and month 3 p value is 0.46. Since it is <0.05 it is considered statistically not significant.

In a study conducted by Karpo KO, Albanis CV, Pearlman Jb, Goins KM with clear corneal and scleral tunnel incision showed best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better was achieved in 82.5% of all eyes with temporal clear corneal incision and in 75.3% of all eyes with superior scleral tunnel incision (p=<0.05). ⁶The difference between the BCVA between the two groups was not statistically significant.

In a study done by Oshima Y, Tsujikawd K, Oh A,Harino S showed that eighty percent of the eyes in each group achieved an uncorrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better from the second day postoperatively. No statistical difference in visual rehabilitation or other parameters was noted between the two groups through out the study.⁷

Post operative keratometry readings using Bausch and Lomb Keratometry were taken on post operative day 1, week 1, month 1, month 3.On comparing the p value of WTR and ATR astigmatism between the two groups on different post op days, it was seen that p value was <0.5 was was considered insignificant.

A comparative study by Ernest P etal showed 2.2mm scleral corneal tunnel limbal incision causes significantly less surgically induced astigmatism relative to a similar sized Clear corneal incision.⁸ Our study did not show any significant difference in the post operative astigmatism between the two groups.

A comparative study by Hayashi K et al showed no changes in corneal astigmatism in 2mm sized clear corneal and sclerocorneal tunnel incisions. Whereas 3mm clear corneal incision resulted in significantly greater astigmatism than the similar sized Sclerocorneal tunnel incision.⁹

Study by Reddy B et al on comparing post operative astigmatism by phacoemulsification with clear corneal and scleral corneal tunnel incision showed 1.08+/-0.36D and 1.23+/-0.71D of astigmatism respectively, which indicated more post operative astigmatism in Scleral corneal tunnel incision.¹⁰

In our study complications like DM detachment ,post operative iritis, corneal oedema were noticed in both the groups which resolved eventually. PCO was seen 8 cases which was later treated with Nd YAG capsulotomy.

In one study central and peripheral corneal thickness was measured in patients undergoing phacoemulsification with IOL implantation. Results were compared between sclerocorneal tunnel group and clear corneal group. It was noted that incidence of corneal oedema and other corneal complications in clear corneal group were more than in sclera tunnel group¹¹

Hurvitz in his study found that a clear corneal cataract wound ruptured after trivial trauma. The wound did not meet the criteria for wound stability as previously recommended.¹²

In a case control conducted by Cooper BA et al to study the possible association of endophthalmitis, it was seen that clear corneal incision had statistically significant risk factor than sclerocorneal tunnel incision. There was no case of endophthalmitis in our study.¹³

V. Conclusion

In our study we found that 98% of the patients who underwent Sclerocorneal incision had 6/12 or better vision at the end of three months. In Clear corneal incision, 98% of people had 6/12 or better vision at the end of three months. The post operative vision between the two incision at the end of each post op visit was considered statistically insignificant by Mann Whitney u test.

The average astigmatism in sclerocorneal tunnel incision at the end of 3 months is 0.39D while in clear corneal is 0.45D. Since the p value is >0.05, it is considered statistically insignificant.

Complications like DM detachment, Post operative iritis, corneal oedema, PCO were noticed in both groups. PCO was treated with Nd:YAG capsulotomy.

Since the outcome in neither of the two groups was considered statistically significant, we can conclude that both the incision induced equal visual rehabilitation.

Reference

- [1]. Thomas R, Muliyil J. The incidence of cataract in India is an overestimate. *Natl Med J India*. 1998;11(4):182-184.
- [2]. Kupfer C. Bowman lecture. The conquest of cataract: a global challenge. Trans Ophthalmol Soc U K. 1985;104 (Pt 1):1-10.
- [3]. V K Raju and Leela V Raju. Cataract surgery and controversy: Susruta-Daviel-Kelman. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2017 Dec; 65(12): 1275–1276.
- Kelman CD. Phaco-emulsification and aspiration. A new technique of cataract removal. A preliminary report. Am J Ophthalmol. 1967 Jul;64(1):23-35.
- [5]. Aarthi R, Roy G, Kar SS, Srinivasan R. Prevalence of cataract among adults above 50 years in a rural community of Villupuram, Tamil Nadu. Int J Adv Med Health Res 2015;2:50-4.
- [6]. Karp KO, Albanis CV, Pearlman JB, Goins KM. Outcomes of temporal clear cornea versus superior scleral tunnel phacoemulsification incisions in a university training program. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers. 2001 May-Jun;32(3):228-32.
- [7]. Oshima Y, Tsujikawa K, Oh A, Harino S. Comparative study of intraocular lens implantation through 3.0 mm temporal clear corneal and superior scleral tunnel self-sealing incisions. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1997 Apr;23(3):347-53.
- [8]. Ernest P, Hill W, Potvin R."Minimizing surgically induced astigmatism at the time of cataract surgery using a square posterior limbal incision." J Ophthalmol.2011: 243170.
- [9]. Hayashi K,Yoshida M,Hayashi H. "Corneal shape changes after 2.0mm or 3.0mm clear corneal and scleral tunnel incision cataract surgery." Ophthalmology 2010 Jul;117(7):1313-23.
- [10]. Reddy B, Raj A, Singh VP. Site of incision and corneal astigmatism in conventional SICS versus phacoemulsification. Ann Ophthalmol (Skokie). 2007 Fall;39(3):209-16
- [11]. De freitas Valvon etal. Central corneal thickness and biochemical changes after clear corneal phacoemulsification. J ref and Cataract Surgery 2012 Mar 28(3);215-9.
- [12]. Hurvitz LM. J cataract refract surg . Late clear corneal wound failure after trivial trauma. 1999 Feb;25(2):283-4.
- [13]. Cooper BA et al. Case-control study of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery comparing scleral tunnel and clear corneal wounds. Am J ophthalmol 2003 Aug;136(2):300-5.

Dr. M.K. Prashul Rayi, et. al. "Comparative Study of 2.8 mm Sclerocorneal Tunnel Incision Versus 2.8 mm Clear Corneal Incision in Phacoemulsification." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences* (*IOSR-JDMS*), 19(12), 2020, pp. 46-55.
