

Aesthetic Reconstruction Of Nasal Defects With Local Flaps

Ankit Disawal¹, Pradeep Gupta²

⁽¹⁾ Senior Resident, Department Of Plastic And Reconstructive Surgery, Sawai Man Singh Hospital Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

⁽²⁾ Professor, Department Of Plastic And Reconstructive Surgery, Sawai Man Singh Hospital Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Background

Nasal reconstruction was one of earliest performed plastic surgical procedures. Reconstruction of nasal defects must preserve the integrity of complex facial functions and expressions and a pleasing aesthetic outcome. Location, size, shape and orientation of the defects are important factors in determining the method used in reconstruction. Individualized therapy is the best course and numerous flaps has been designed to provide coverage of a variety of nasal specific defects.

Methods

The Study done at Sawai Man Singh hospital, Jaipur from January 2019 to October 2020 . A total of 85 patients having nasal defects were included. Reconstruction with local flaps was performed in all cases.

Results

Skin malignancy, trauma and post burn defect are common causes of nasal defects included in our study. Nasal defects were found to be located more on Distal third zone of nose. Reconstructions of defects were commonly done by Forehead flap, nasolabial flap, bilobed flap. Results were aesthetic satisfactory as color and texture matches and distinct nasal contour. Scar were inconspicuous and patient had no flat or tented nose.

Conclusion

In spite of numerous options in nasal reconstruction, optimal results are usually obtained when “like is used to repair like”. Local flaps are reliable and gives better aesthetic results. Local flaps survived well and patients had satisfactory outcome.

Key Words

Plastic Surgery, Nasal defect, Reconstruction, Local flaps, Aesthetic outcome.

Date of Submission: 25-01-2021

Date of Acceptance: 10-02-2021

I. Introduction

History of nasal reconstruction dated thousands of year back. Description of nasal reconstruction was first given by Indian physician Sushruta.¹ He performed nose reconstruction by using local flap taken from cheek. Later on, nasal reconstruction was practiced by using rotation flap from adjacent forehead. Technique was known “ Traditional Indian method of rhinoplasty “. Since then, many refinements have been made. Indian surgeon performed nasal reconstruction using median forehead flap.²

Nose plays a functional role in breathing and sense of smell. The nose occupies a central portion and prominent feature of the face. Aesthetics of a person’s nose can profoundly impact the way he or she is perceived by the outside world. Person who are perceived negatively as a result of nasal deformity can have increased difficulty interacting with others in social situations or in the workplace.³

Nasal deformity may be due to trauma, burn, infection, skin cancer, sequelae of cancer treatment, congenital malformation, haemangioma, immune disease.

Nose is the most common site of skin cancer and is the most common site of recurrence after treatment. 85% are basal cell carcinoma.⁴

The external anatomy of nose is unique in each patient. Uniqueness of dramatic and progressive differences in skin quality along its entire surface, underlying bony and cartilaginous architecture, subtle convexities and concavities should be noted and accounted for when performing nasal reconstruction. It is important for reconstructive surgeon to preserve color, thickness, texture and contour.

Common techniques used to reconstruct cutaneous defects of nose mentioned in the literature includes healing by secondary intention, primary closure, full thickness skin grafts, dermabrasion, composite grafts, local flaps and free flaps.

Flap is always preferable than skin grafts as it produces a superior match in color and texture . It has the additional advantage of producing a vascularised soft tissue cover for nasal skeleton and resistant to contractures.⁵

This study documents the various causes of nasal defects and locoregional flaps used to reconstruct defects .

II. Material And Methods

A prospective study done from January 2019 to October 2020 in Department of plastic and reconstructive surgery , SMS hospital Jaipur India . Total of 85 nasal defect treated with local flaps. Etiology of nasal deformity , age, sex, characteristic of lesion (site and size of defects) were recorded.

Nasal defect varied from partial to subtotal loss of nose. Nasal region was divided into 3 groups according to anatomical zone: proximal, middle, distal thirds. Defects that spanned more than one subunit were categorized as combined defects. All the defects were mapped according to location on nose . Type of flap involved in nasal reconstruction and results were recorded.

Age: 6- 70 years

Inclusion criteria : Lesion involving superficial loss (skin and subcutaneous fat)

Defect treated with Local flaps

Exclusion criteria: Primary closure , skin grafting or composite grafting.

Following local flaps were performed

- Glabellar flap
- Miter flap
- Bilobed flap
- V- Y advancement flap
- Nasolabial flap
- Forehead flap
- Cheek advancement flap

Maximum procedure performed under local anaesthesia while forehead flap and nasolabial flap are frequently performed under general anaesthesia.

III. Results

A total of 85 patients of nasal defects due to various reasons were treated in our department in last 22 month. There were 40 men and 45 females. The mean age of patients was 43 years.

The etiology of nasal defects included 55 patients with skin malignancy, 16 patients due to trauma, 8 patients of burns, 3 patients due to infection, 2 patients of congenital abnormalities , single patient of haemangioma.

There were 11 defects in the proximal third, 19 in middle third , 45 in distal third of nose and 10 complex defects extending beyond one zone.

The reconstruction of nose defects were done using forehead flaps in 27 patients, nasolabial flap in 11 patients, bilobed flap in 18 patients, dorsal nasal flap in 12 patients, glabellar flap in 7 patients, V Y advancement in 6 patients , cheek advancement in 2 patients and transposition flap in 2 patients.

Complications in our study were superficial infection in three patients, two patient had hematoma, one patient had partial flap dehiscence , three patients had distal flap necrosis which was treated conservatively, three patients had forehead donor site graft loss which was treated conservatively. 44 patients required secondary and revision procedures like defatting, reinset and trimimg of edges.

IV. Discussion

Nasal reconstruction is challenge to reconstructive surgeon. Any distortion to the nasal appearance should be avoided. Restoration to as normal a nasal contour as possible should be priority. The appropriate selection of patients, meticulous planning and use of appropriate method of reconstruction gives better results.

Planning of nasal reconstruction is a highly individualized process, and there is a multitude of factors to consider. The patients' age , co-morbidities, medical history, aesthetic goals must also be included in the decision making process. Reconstruction can often require multiple stage of surgery and revision to achieve the desired final surgical results. Different reconstructive options must be considered and individualized to each patient following a thorough discussion of their priorities and willingness to invest in potentially multiple procedures.

The initial step in devising this plan is defining the nasal defect itself in term of what is missing and what needs to be restored to achieve an appropriate nasal contour and an aesthetically accepted nasal appearance. Defects should be characterized with regards to their absolute size, depth, orientation and relative location on nose.

Skin graft when used to cover the defects, it produces contour deformity and color mismatch. Local flaps have advantages of replacing like with like with superior aesthetic and functional outcome.

Certain flaps work better in different areas such as glabella, miter for horizontal defects and V-Y advancement and nasolabial flap for vertical loss of substance.⁶

Axial pattern flap such as forehead flap, nasolabial flap and dorsal nasal flap for defects larger than 1.5 to 2 cm in diameter.

The glabellar flap, miter flap and dorsal nasal flap takes advantages of relative excess of tissue present in glabella and nasal dorsum region and are useful for closure of defect in proximal and middle third of nose^{7,8,9}. These flaps are based on perforators from angular artery. These flaps are elevated as skin and subcutaneous tissue with or without muscle and rotated and/or advanced inferiorly toward the nasal tip. These flaps show similar skin characteristics to defect area in terms of color, texture and thickness. One common disadvantage of these flaps is the depressed transverse scar that is often apparent at flap junction.

The V-Y flap is a versatile local flap for small nasal defects that can be utilized throughout the nose.¹⁰ Flap should be designed immediately adjacent to defect with an orientation such that resultant Y scar is placed in a natural crease or concavity.

Bilobed flap was first described by Esser in 1918, who used it to cover nasal tip¹¹. Zitelli modified the design by reducing the rotation angle and it becomes the workhouse flap for 1-1.5 cm defect of thick, stiff skin of distal third and lateral part of nose, particularly for nasal tip, supratip, ala near tip.^{12,13} Limitations include distortion of nasal tip, alar notching.

The dorsal nasal flap has proven useful for closure of central and lateral nasal tip and supratip defects up to 2 cm in diameter.¹⁴ It is considered in defects of distal zone mainly horizontally oriented. It has advantages of less local distortion.

Nasolabial flap can be utilized for resurfacing defects of nasal side wall and ala. Nasolabial transposition flap is useful for reconstruction of alar lobule defects with 1.5 to 2 cm diameter. Flap makes use of abundant cheek skin. It is 1 step/2 step transposition/advancement flap¹⁵ and its donor site is skillfully hidden in the melolabial crease and it should be undermined properly to prevent anatomic distortion. Disadvantages of this flap may be blunted alar groove and development of trapdoor deformity.

Excess tissue near the melolabial flap matches with color and texture of nose and its underlying fat has a strong tendency to contract. As the melolabial fold has enough skin which can be used to resurface the ala¹⁶ and its nature of contractility is useful to maintain the round expected bulging of normal ala and natural expression lines of the face may hide the donor site scar.

Forehead flap used for defects larger than 2 cm, involving multiple subunit and composite defects. It is a type of fasciocutaneous flap based on supratrochlear (dominant pedicle) and supraorbital (minor pedicle) vessels. Karanjian advocated primary closure of the forehead donor site¹⁷. Millard applied a characteristic gull wing design with lateral extension to reconstruct the alar margin and to get greater flap length he extended the pedicle incision below the brow.^{18,19} Burget and Menick emphasized aggressive thinning of the skin paddle and they also advised narrowing the pedicle base for easier rotation and length^{20,21}.

The median forehead flap requires two stages for the division of the bridging segment. While reconstructing the nasal defects an ipsilateral flap has the advantage to cover the distal border for its effective greater flap length but there may be a chance of pedicle base compromise due to its greater arc of rotation. However, a contralateral flap may not reach to distal end but it has less rotation at pedicle base.

Forehead flap may be contraindicated if deep and horizontal scars are placed across the base of the forehead.

V. Conclusions

In this study, the most common cause of nasal defect is malignancy followed by trauma. Multiple surgical options are available for repairing cutaneous defects involving the nose. Locoregional flaps are a useful tool for nasal reconstruction. They also often provide unique characteristics peculiar to the nose. Perfectly designed and well-executed surgery gives satisfactory aesthetic and functional results. Appropriate postoperative care and follow-up is required to optimize final outcome.

In our study, the distal third zone is the most affected location in nose deformity. We used bilobed flap for small defects in nasal tip, nasolabial flap for alar defects and forehead flap for larger defects and combined defects. Our local flaps survived and patients had satisfactory results.

Reconstructive surgeons should approach each patient as a distinct individual with a unique defect and perform the best reconstruction possible, tailored based on patient's needs and expectations. Educating the procedure to patients plays an important role in preoperative decision making, increasing patient compliance and also postoperative long-term self-care.

Bibliography

- [1]. Bhrany AD. Complex nasal reconstruction: a case study: reconstruction of full thickness nasal defect. *Facial plast surg clin north am* 2011; 19:183-95
- [2]. Zaoli G. La chirurgia ricostruttiva nei carcinoma del distretto cervico-facciale.
- [3]. Godoy A, Ishil M, Byme PJet al. How facial lesions impact attractiveness and perception: differential effects of size and location. *Laryngoscope* 2011;121(12) :2542-7
- [4]. Conley J. Cancer of the skin of the nose. *Annals Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol* 1974;83:2
- [5]. Burget GC. Aesthetic restoration of the nose. *Clin Plast Surg.* 1985; 12: 463-80
- [6]. L. Guo, J.R. Pribaz and J.J.Pribaz, Nasal reconstruction with local flaps *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*, vol 122 no.5. pp 130e-139e,2008
- [7]. Marchac D, Toth B. the axial frontonasal flap revisited. *Plast reconstr surg* 1985;76:686-694
- [8]. Reiger RA. A local flap for repair of the nasal tip. *Plast reconstr surg* 1967;40:147-149
- [9]. Rohrich RJ, Muzaffar AR, Adams WP Jr, Hollier LH. The aesthetic unit dorsal nasal flap. *Plast reconstr surg* 1999;104:1289-1294
- [10]. Zook EG, Van Beek AL, Russell RC, Moore JB. V-Y advancement flap for facial defects. *Plast reconstr surg* 1980;65:786-797.
- [11]. Zimany A. The bilobed flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1953; 11: 424-34
- [12]. Cook JL. Reconstructive utility of the bilobed flap: Lessons from flap successes and failures. *Dermatol Surg.* 2005; 31: 1024-33.
- [13]. Cook JL. A review of the bilobed flap's design with particular emphasis on the minimization of alar displacement. *Dermatol Surg.* 2000; 26: 354-62.
- [14]. D.K. Hoasjoe, F.J.Stucker and R.E. Aarstad. Aesthetic and anatomic considerations for nasal reconstruction. *Facial plastic surgery* vol 10 , no 4, pp 317-321,1994
- [15]. Zitelli JA. The nasolabial flap as a single-stage procedure. *Arch Dermatol.* 1990; 126: 1445-48.
- [16]. Rieger RA. A local flap for repair of nasal tip. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1967; 40: 147-49.
- [17]. Kazanjian VH. The repair of nasal defects with a median forehead flap: Primary closure of forehead wound. *Surg Gynecol Obstet.* 1946; 83: 37.
- [18]. Millard DR Jr. Reconstructive rhinoplasty for the lower half of a nose. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1974; 53: 133-39.
- [19]. Millard DR Jr. Reconstructive rhinoplasty for the lower two-thirds of the nose. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1976; 57: 722-28.
- [20]. Millard DR Jr. Reconstructive rhinoplasty for the lower two-thirds of the nose. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1977; 59: 876-88.
- [21]. Burget GC, Menick FJ. Nasal reconstruction: Seeking a fourth dimension. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1986; 78: 145-57



Fig 1: Nasal Cleft Deformity Corrected by Transposition Flap



Fig 2: BCC over middle third of nose excised and reconstructed with glabellar flap



Fig 3: Post burn nasal alar defect reconstruct with nasolabial flap



Fig 4: BCC of dorsum nose, reconstructed with forehead flap



Fig 5: BCC over ala, reconstructed with bilobed flap



Fig 6: BCC over sidewall of nose, reconstructed with VY advancement



Fig 7: Post trauma nasal tip defect, reconstructed with forehead flap



Fig 8: Skin malignancy over distal third of nose, reconstruct with dorsal nasal flap



Fig 9: BCC over dome of nose, reconstruction with bilobed flap



Fig 10:BCC over middle third of nose, reconstruction with dorsal nasal flap



Fig 11: Skin malignancy of nasal alar base, reconstruction with nasolabial flap

Ankit Disawal, et. al. "Aesthetic Reconstruction Of Nasal Defects With Local Flaps." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, 20(02), 2021, pp. 14-22.