

A Clinical Study on Pseudocyst of Pancreas

Budamala Sarada¹, Theja P², Jenne Paranjyothi³, Bathena Sobha Rani⁴,
Motakatla Anuragh Babu⁵, G Dinesh Kumar Yadav⁶

¹(Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati)

²(Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati)

³(Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati)

⁴(Retired Professor and HOD, Department of General Surgery, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati)

⁵(Resident, Department of Plastic Surgery, Siddartha Medical College, Vijayawada)

⁶(Post Graduate, Department of General Surgery, S.V. Medical College, Tirupati)

Abstract:

Background: Pancreatic pseudocyst is seen in acute and chronic pancreatitis as a complication. It has a well-defined wall which is not made of epithelium. After an acute attack of pancreatitis, pancreatic pseudocyst develops after 4-8 weeks. There is an increased incidence of pancreatitis and complications of pancreatitis, despite of treatment and recent advances. So, I would like to study the clinical features, etiology, various treatment modalities and assess the outcome of the patients.

Methods: The study is conducted in 50 patients in S.V.R.R.G.G.H hospital, Tirupati in department of general surgery. Thorough history and clinical examination have been recorded. Investigations were done which are basic and definitive. The study patients were managed with different modalities like conservative, external catheter drainage, cysto-gastrostomy, cysto-jejunostomy, percutaneous drainage. Data regarding the age distribution, gender distribution, symptoms, signs, complications, treatment, postop complications, duration in the hospital, follow up were collected. The final outcome is observed in terms of most common age group, most common gender affected, most common etiological factor, symptoms, signs, associated complications and treatment, post complications in S.V.R.R.G.G.H Tirupati, in Department of general surgery.

Results: The study conducted in 50 patients shows that, the pancreatic pseudocyst is seen in the age group of 31-50 years (74%). The male patients were affected more when compared to females with 94% male and 3% female. Alcohol consumption is the common etiology. The most common presentation was pain abdomen in all study patients followed by nausea and vomiting in 84% of patients and abdominal distension in 58% of patients. Abdominal tenderness is seen in all study patients followed by mass abdomen in 60% of patients. Associated complications include infection which is seen in 12% of patients followed by ascites and ileus/obstruction in 2% of patients. USG and Computed Tomography done in all patients. Conservative treatment was effective in uncomplicated pancreatic pseudocyst. Internal drainage procedure shows good results with 42% of patients with minimal complications. The most common post operative complication includes abdominal pain in 16% followed by infection of wound in 6% of patients.

Conclusion: The most common complication of acute pancreatitis is pancreatic pseudocyst. Early diagnosis with USG, CT and intervention with conservative treatment for uncomplicated cyst, internal drainage for mature cyst, external drainage for complicated cyst shows good prognosis

Date of Submission: 06-03-2023

Date of Acceptance: 18-03-2023

I. Introduction

A pseudocyst of pancreas is a circumscribed collection which contains fluid only, it has a well-defined wall. It has been present for 4 or more weeks after disease onset. In Atlanta classification, a pseudocyst was defined as a collection of pancreatic juice enclosed by a wall of fibrous tissue. Pancreatic pseudocyst has a well-defined wall (capsule) without an epithelial lining. It can be differentiated from the cystic neoplasms of pancreas, which are characterized by an epithelial lining. It is not an absolute distinction between the cystic neoplasms and pancreatic pseudocyst. There may be epithelial discontinuation within the cystic neoplasms, probably due to the pressure atrophy and within chronic pseudocyst partial epithelialization is seen. In <20% of cases, more than one pseudocyst is present. Acute pseudocysts are located close proximity to the pancreas especially in lesser sac.¹

Pathogenesis And Classification:

The pancreatic pseudocyst development requires pancreatic duct disruption.

This pancreatic duct disruption is seen in acute pancreatitis in 10%-15% of cases. It is also seen

in pancreatic duct trauma mostly to the pancreatic neck, in chronic pancreatitis where there will be a multiple pseudocysts due to duct obstruction. There will be a leakage of enzyme rich secretion which incites a marked inflammatory reaction in the retroperitoneum, peritoneum and serosa of adjacent viscera. Due to this, the fluid is contained by a developing layer of granulation tissue and fibrosis that matures over time. If there is persistent communication between pancreatic duct and the pancreatic pseudocyst the pancreatic pseudocyst will continue to enlarge.²

The pancreatic pseudocyst usually consists of relatively clear, watery fluid. However with haemorrhage it may contain clot and become xanthochromic. If it contains pus then the pancreatic pseudocyst is infected. If a fluid collection develops in the context of pancreatic necrosis, it will contain solid tissue and should be termed as walled off necrosis. According to Jacobson (2005), pancreatic necrosis (>25%) is a risk factor for the development of infection in pseudocyst. According to Jacobson (2005), pancreatic necrosis (>25%) is a risk factor for development of pseudocyst in 5% to 15% of patients with acute pancreatitis and 40% of patients with chronic pancreatitis. Before demarcation in early phase of acute necrotizing pancreatitis, there will be acute necrotic collections. Walled off necrosis occurs 4 weeks after the onset of pancreatitis with identifiable capsule.³

Pancreatic pseudocyst were classified by DEgidio⁴

TYPE 1---Pancreatic pseudocyst occur after an episode of acute pancreatitis and associated with normal pancreatic duct anatomy and rarely communicate with the main pancreatic duct.

TYPE 2---Pancreatic pseudocyst occur after an episode of acute or chronic pancreatitis and have diseased but do not contain strictured pancreatic duct, there will be a communication between the pancreatic duct and the pseudocyst.

TYPE 3: Pancreatic pseudocyst occur in chronic pancreatitis and are always associated with a duct stricture and a communication between the duct and the pseudocyst.

II. Material And Methods

This study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery, SVRRGGH, Tirupati. The materials for the study were collected from patients presenting to surgery outpatient department and emergency with the features of pseudocyst of Pancreas during the period of March 2020 to April 2021 were included in the study.

Study Design: It is institution based Prospective Study

Study Location: This was a tertiary care teaching hospital based study done in Department of General Surgery, at SVRRGG Hospital, Tirupati, Andhrapradesh.

Study Duration: March 2020 to April 2021.

Sample size: 50 patients.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Patients diagnosed with pseudocyst of pancreas with ultrasound scan, Contrast enhanced computerized tomography scan.
2. Patients giving valid written and informed consent.

Exclusion criteria:

1. Patients less than 18 years of age, diagnosed with pseudocyst of pancreas
2. Patients diagnosed as cystic neoplasm of pancreas.

III. Result(11 Bold)

Table no 1 Shows In our study of 50 patients, 8(16%) patients belongs to the age group of 18-30 years, 20(40%) patients belongs to the age group of 31-40 years, 17(34%) belongs to the age group of 41-50 years, 5(10%) patients belongs to the age group of >51 years.

Table no 1

Age in Years	No of Patients	Percentage
18-30	8	16%
31-40	20	40%
41-50	17	34%
>51	5	10%

Table no2 shows Inthisstudywith50patients, 47(94%)weremale,3(6%) weremale

Table no 2

Sex	NoofPatients	Percentage
Male	47	94%
Female	3	6%

Table no3showsPancreatic pseudocyst presents with pain abdomen in 50 (100%) patients I.e in allpatients,followedbynausea/vomitingin42(84%)patients,thenabdominaldistensionin29(58%)patients.

Table no 3

Symptoms	NoofPatients	Percentage
Painabdomen	50	100%
Abdominaldistension	29	58%
Nausea/ Vomiting	42	84%
Anorexia	9	18%
Fever	5	10%
Weightloss	3	6%
Jaundice	1	2%

Table no4 Shows Abdominaltendernessisthemostcommonsignwith100%(i.e.allpatients),followedbymass abdomen seen in30 patients(60%).

Table no 4

Signs	NoofPatients	Percentage
Massabdomen	30	60%
Abdominaltenderness	50	100%
Ascitis	1	2%
Ileus/Intestinalobstruction	1	2%

Table no 5 Shows Thecommonetiologicalfactorisalcoholconsumptionwhichwaspresentin47(94%)patients,followed byidiopathicin 2(4%)patientsandBlunt trauma in1(2%) patient.

Table no 5

Etiology	NoofPatients	Percentage
Alcohol	47	94%
Idiopathic	2	4%
Blunttrauma	1	2%

Table no 6showsPancreaticpseudocystinfectionisseenin6(12%)patients,minimalascitesin1(2%)patients,ileus/obstructionin 1(2%)patients,but nonepresented withrupture andhaemorrhage.

Table no 6

Complications	Noofpatients	Percentage
Infection	6	12%
Ascitis	1	2%
Ileus/Obstruction	1	2%
Rupture	-	-
Haemorrhage	-	-

Table no 7 shows In pancreatic pseudocyst, increased serum amylase is seen in 46 (92%) patients, cystfluidamylasein 6(12%) patients, ultrasound andCT was donein all patients i.e50(100%).

Table no 7

Investigations	NoofPatients	Percentage
IncreasedSerumAm ylase	46	92%
CystFluidAmylase	6	12%
Ultrasound	50	100%
Ctscan	50	100%

Table no 8 showsConservatively 23(46%) patients were treated, percutaneous drainage 3(6%) patientswere treated, open cystogastrostomy 16(32%) patients were treated, with open cystojejunostomy 5(10%)patientsweretreated andwith externalcatheter drainage3(6%)patientsweredrained

Table no 8

Treatment	NoofPatients	Percentage
Conservative	23	46%
PercutaneousDrainage	3	6%
OpenCysto Gastrostomy	16	32%
OpenCystiJejunostomy	5	10%
ExternalCatheterDrainag e	3	6%

Table no 9 shows Postoperativelywoundinfectionisseenin3(6%)patients,painabdomenisseenin8(16%)patients.

Table no 9

Complications	NoofPatients	Percentage
WoundInfection	3	6%
PainAbdomen	8	16%

IV. Discussion

AgeDistribution:

The study contains 50 patients diagnosed as pseudocyst of pancreas. The most common age group is 31-50 years (74%). The study is compared with study group of Tuulakivi et al (1989), V. Ustoff, et al (2000). The mean age group in our study is 40.5, while in Tuulakivi et al (1989) is 44 and in V. Ustoff et al is 39.31-50 years is the most common age group where alcohol consumption is seen so the results were due to alcohol consumption. In other study conducted by c. palanivelu et al the mean age group is 44. The study conducted by Sridhar reddy et al the mean age is 40, which is 0.5 less than the current study.⁵

SexIncidence:

The study contains 50 patients, out of which 47(94%) were male, 3(6%) were female. In Tuulakivi et al study group male were 79.41%, female were 20.58%. In V. Ustoff et al study group male were 75% , female

were 25%. The study conducted by c.palanivelu et al shows male predominance with 70.37% and female showing 29.63%. The study conducted by Sridhar reddy et al in 32 patients out of which 26 were male and 6 belongs to female category. The percentage of male in Sridhar reddy et al study is 81.25% and female includes 18.75%. Overall the probable reason to explain male predominance is alcohol consumption is common in male when compared to female.^{5,7}

Clinical Features:

The commonest symptom was pain abdomen which is seen in all patients (100%). In tuulakiviluoto, et al (1989) study group pain abdomen was seen in 67.67% patients and in V.Ustoff et al it is 100%. In study conducted by c.palanivelu et al, the percentage of patients presented with pain abdomen are 54.63%. In study conducted by Sridhar reddy et al, the percentage of patients presented with pain abdomen are 100%.⁵

Etiology:

The common etiology in our study is alcohol in 47(94%). In study group tuulakiviluoto, et al (1989) alcohol consumption is around 85% and in V.Ustoff et al it is 71.42%. The commonest etiology is alcohol consumption. The study conducted by c.palanivelu et al, patients with alcohol consumption are 18.52%. In study conducted by Sridhar reddy et al, patients presents with alcohol consumption are 65.62%.^{5,8}

Complications:

The commonest complication in our study is infection followed by minimal ascites. This is compared with v.ustoff.et.al(2000). The study conducted by Sridharreddy et al, The commonest complication include in his study was infection (18.75%) followed by ascites (3.12%).

Treatment:

The commonest treatment employed for uncomplicated cyst was conservative treatment (46%). When compared with external and internal drainage, internal drainage was done in 42% patients while external drainage was done in 12%. This is compared with the tuulakiviluoto et al with internal drainage 18% and external drainage 38%, v.ustoff et al with internal drainage 3% and external drainage 40%.

In a study conducted by Sridharreddy et al, the patients with pancreatic pseudocyst who underwent internal drainage were 56.25% and patients who underwent external drainage were 18.75%. In c.palanivelu et al study, the patients with pancreatic pseudocyst who underwent internal drainage were 92.6% and patients who underwent external drainage were 7.4%.

Pancreatic pseudocysts are managed conservatively mostly, when symptoms are controlled well. 40% of the pancreatic pseudocysts are reabsorbed after pancreatitis resolves. It has been estimated in many studies that the spontaneous resolution of the acute cystic collection can vary from 40% to 85%.

According to Bradley (1979), The success rate of conservative therapy is low (i.e between 3% and 39%) when pancreatic pseudocysts are large enough to cause symptoms (6 cm or greater).

Regardless of size and duration, half of the acute pancreatic pseudocysts remain asymptomatic seen in a study demonstrated by vitas and sarr.

Pancreatic pseudocysts which are greater than 6 cm in diameter with abdominal pain, nausea and early satiety should be considered for therapeutic intervention.

Percutaneous drainage is used for the treatment of immature and infected pseudocysts and it can be complicated by the formation of external pancreatic fistula.

Intra-cystic haemorrhage and pancreatic ascites are contraindications to the percutaneous drainage.

In a study conducted by Heider (1999) in 66 patients shows successful percutaneous drainage in only 43% of patients with 16% mortality rate and 64% incidence of complications.

Now a days endoscopic drainage has been preferred. The comparison of outcomes between the endoscopic and percutaneous drainage shows that the patients managed with endoscopic drainage had fewer interventions which are less compared to percutaneous drainage, lower rates of residual collections and lower needs for surgical intervention.

The most effective treatment of pseudocyst of pancreas involves internal drainage into the enteric lumen whether performed by laparotomy, endoscopic transmural, endoscopic trans-papillary, laparoscopic pseudocyst enterostomy.

The gold standard approach to the pancreatic pseudocyst is changing from the open technique considering less by the most of the experts and showing more interest towards endoscopic method.

The additional advantage of the open technique are more definitive pathologic diagnosis via intra-operative wedge resection of the cyst wall to rule out neoplasm, It provides access for retroperitoneal and cystic contents debridement in the setting of pancreatic necrosis with or without concomitant infection.

Open technique of pancreatic pseudocyst has been successful with a low morbidity and mortality rate. Usatoff reported in 112 patients who are treated for pancreatic pseudocyst resulting from the pancreatitis, the overall morbidity was 28% with an operative mortality rate of 1% and cystic recurrence rate of 3%.

Similar mortality rate approaching 1% seen in Vitas and Sarr (1992) and Yeo et al. (1990).

From the perspective of timing and operative intervention analysed by Shatney and Lillehe (1981) in 114 patients demonstrated that patients who are undergoing surgical therapy during the first 6 weeks following pancreatic pseudocyst formation had higher morbidity, mortality and recurrence rates than those treated later in the course of disease.

Vitas and Sarr (1992) shows nearly 50% of acute cystic collections remained asymptomatic regardless of size and duration. Debate still exists regarding the management of asymptomatic cysts greater than 6 cm size.

The decision for intervention made by the clinicians in these patients needs to be made on a case-by-case basis with special attention given to the concomitant biliary and duodenal disease as well as complexity of medical comorbidities.

Postoperative Complications:

Postoperatively the common complication was pain abdomen (16%) followed by wound infection (6%). Study is compared with Tuulakivi et al study with wound infection 2%, pain abdomen 29% and Vustoff et al study with wound infection 4%, pain abdomen 10%. In study conducted by Sridhar Reddy et al, the most common postoperative complication was pain abdomen (15.62%) followed by wound infection (12.5%).

Postoperatively patients who are operated for pancreatic pseudocyst were followed for minimum 3-6 months with 11 patients showing postoperative complications of which 8 (16%) had pain abdomen and 3 (6%) had wound infection. These 11 patients were treated conservatively. 2 patients were lost in follow-up. Recurrence was found in 3 patients and treated conservatively and were now on follow-up.

V. Conclusion

- Pancreatic pseudocyst is common in the age group of 31-50 years with the mean age about 40.5.
- The disease is common in males.
- Alcohol consumption is the most common etiologic factor for acute pancreatitis and the most common complication was pseudocyst of pancreas.
- The common symptom presented was pain abdomen, abdominal tenderness, nausea/vomiting followed by mass abdomen.
- Ultrasonography and CT scan were done in all 150 patients.
- 46% of the patients with pancreatic pseudocyst were treated conservatively, 42% of the patients with pancreatic pseudocyst were treated with internal drainage.
- Infected cysts were treated with external and percutaneous drainage.
- Internal drainage of the pancreatic pseudocyst was done either by cysto-gastrostomy or cysto-jejunostomy with good result.
- The most common postoperative complication was abdominal pain and infection of wound.
- Hospital stay duration ranged from 10-15 days.
- 3-6 months follow-up was done. 3 of the patients who are treated conservatively have shown recurrence and those patients are in follow-up.

.References

- [1]. Williamson RCN and Grace PA. Modern management of pancreatic pseudocysts. *Br J Surg* 1993; 80: 573-81.
- [2]. Jonathan A. Van Heerden, William H. Remine. Pseudocysts of the pancreas. *Arch Surg* 1975; 110: 500-505
- [3]. Andrew L. Warshaw, David W. Rattner. Timing of surgical drainage for pancreatic pseudocysts. *Ann Surg* 1985; 202(6): 720-724
- [4]. Vincent P. O'Malley, Jay P. Cannon, Russell G. Postier. Pancreatic pseudocyst: causes, therapy and results. *Am J Surg* 1985; 150: 681-683.
- [5]. William E. Fisher, Danak Anderson, Richard H. Bell, Jr., Ashoka A. and F. Charles Brunicaudi, Danak Anderson, Timothy R. Biller, et al., ed., 8th Ed. USA: McGraw Hill; 2005; p. 1221-1297.
- [6]. Alexander J. Walt, David L. Bouman, Donald W. Weaver, Robert J. Sachs. The impact of technology on the management of pancreatic pseudocyst. *Arch Surg* 1990; 125: 759-763
- [7]. D'Edigo A and Schein M. Pancreatic pseudocysts: a proposal classification and its management implications. *Br J Surg* 1991; 78: 981-984.
- [8]. Nealon W, Walser E. Duct drainage alone is sufficient in operative management of pancreatic pseudocyst with chronic pancreatitis. *Ann Surg* 2003; 237: 614.