

Telehealth As A Tool For Managing Opioid Use Disorder In Rural America

Audu Rebecca Simbiat

(Healthcare Management, American National University, United State Of America)

Abstract:

Background: This research explored the effectiveness of telehealth as a treatment solution for opioid use disorder (OUD) in rural America. Rural areas are severely affected by opioid misuse due to limited access to healthcare services. Major barriers to treatment in these regions include geographic isolation, stigma, and a shortage of addiction treatment facilities. Telehealth, which includes telemedicine, virtual counseling, and medication-assisted treatment (MAT), has provided remote care solutions for patients in these underserved areas.

Materials and Methods: Three research studies were systematically reviewed to assess the impact of telehealth on OUD treatment in rural communities. These studies explored various aspects of telehealth interventions, including treatment retention, opioid use reduction, and patient satisfaction, while also identifying barriers to telehealth adoption in rural settings, such as lack of internet access, low digital literacy, and regulatory restrictions.

Results: The reviewed studies consistently revealed the benefits of telehealth, such as better treatment retention, reduced opioid use, and increased patient satisfaction. However, they also highlighted significant barriers to implementation, including poor internet access, limited digital literacy, and regulatory challenges. Despite these obstacles, programs like West Virginia's Telemedicine Enhanced Treatment (TET) and Project ECHO demonstrated the effectiveness of telehealth in improving outcomes for rural patients with OUD.

Conclusion: The findings indicate that telehealth interventions effectively address system-level barriers, improve treatment accessibility, and reduce opioid-related deaths in rural communities. Future research should focus on the sustained impact of telehealth interventions. Policymakers should prioritize expanding broadband access, improving digital literacy, and supporting telehealth service reimbursements to ensure equitable healthcare access for all, whether in rural or urban areas.

Key Word: Telehealth, Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), Rural Healthcare, Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT), Digital Literacy

Date of Submission: 05-03-2025

Date of Acceptance: 15-03-2025

I. Introduction

The United States faces a serious public health crisis caused by Opioid use disorder (OUD) particularly because the condition affects rural regions more¹. Rural areas in the United States accommodate approximately 20% of the population who face healthcare challenges such as limited access to healthcare, inadequate treatment addiction services, and geographical isolation². Cheetam et al. (2022) also highlighted the combination of these factors with stigma as it often prevents individuals from seeking or maintaining treatment, further worsening the opioid crisis³.

To solve these issues, telehealth has emerged as an effective answer through technologies like video-conferencing, mobile apps, and remote monitoring⁴. Telehealth also addresses distance barriers, lack of specialists and stigma, connecting health providers to patients without the need for travel. This is done by providing virtual counseling, medication-assisted treatment (MAT), and even support group options, which can significantly improve access and continuity of care for those with OUD⁵.

This article argues that telehealth serves as a transformative solution to dealing OUD in rural America. Telehealth helps overcome barriers to treatment access thus creating opportunities to improve treatment outcomes, while reducing the number of opioid-related deaths and also helping patients in underprivileged healthcare areas. This research explores how telehealth provides an effective alternative over traditional in-person addiction treatment services as a solution for opioid epidemics in rural communities.

II. Material And Methods

Study Selection

This systematic review evaluates the effectiveness of telehealth interventions for opioid use disorder (OUD) in rural America, based on three primary research studies. The studies were selected according to the following criteria:

Inclusion Criteria:

- Primary research published in peer-reviewed journals with original data.
- Studies focusing on telehealth interventions (e.g., telemedicine, mobile health units) for OUD treatment in rural populations.
- Studies published within the last 10 years to ensure relevance to contemporary healthcare practices.
- Studies measuring key outcomes, including treatment retention, opioid use reduction, and patient satisfaction.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Studies not focused on rural populations or telehealth interventions.
- Articles lacking original data (e.g., reviews, meta-analyses).
- Studies not directly addressing telehealth as part of the OUD intervention.

Using these criteria, the selected studies are:

1. Weintraub et al. (2021) – Mobile telemedicine for buprenorphine treatment in rural populations with OUD.
2. Marshall et al. (2024) – Primary care providers' experiences treating OUD using telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic.
3. Ober et al. (2024) – Stakeholder perspectives on a telemedicine referral and coordination model to expand medication treatment for OUD in rural primary care clinics.

These studies offer valuable data on different telehealth models, including mobile telemedicine units and telehealth consultations in primary care settings.

Data Extraction

Data from the selected studies were extracted to address the central research question: How effective is telehealth in managing OUD in rural America? The following key data were extracted:

Study Design: Methodology used (e.g., quality improvement, qualitative, or mixed-methods).

Population and Setting: Demographics of rural populations (age, gender, socioeconomic background) and the challenges they face in accessing OUD treatment.

Telehealth Intervention: Details on the types of telehealth models used, such as mobile telemedicine units, telehealth consultations, and medication-assisted treatment (MAT).

Outcomes Measured:

- Treatment retention (e.g., percentage of patients remaining in treatment).
- Opioid use reduction (e.g., urine screenings or self-reports).
- Patient satisfaction (e.g., survey/interview feedback).
- Medication adherence (e.g., adherence to prescribed MAT or therapy sessions).

Barriers and Facilitators: Issues such as technology limitations, digital access, stigma, provider readiness, patient engagement, and clinical staff training.

The extracted data were categorized into themes, including telehealth effectiveness, barriers to implementation, and patient outcomes.

Data Analysis: Narrative Synthesis

A narrative synthesis approach was employed to analyse the findings. The process involved the following steps:

1. **Descriptive Summary:** Summarizing the study design, population, interventions, and outcomes to provide context for comparison.
2. **Identification of Themes:** Analysing recurring themes related to telehealth effectiveness and patient outcomes.
3. **Comparison of Findings:** Identifying areas of consensus and discrepancy across the studies.

4. Synthesis of Results: Drawing conclusions about telehealth’s role in managing OUD in rural America, identifying barriers and facilitators to successful implementation, and offering recommendations for future research and policy.

Quality Assessment

To maintain methodological rigor, each study was critically appraised based on the following factors:

- **Study Design:** Preference was given to robust methodologies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or cohort studies.
- **Sample Size and Representativeness:** Larger and more diverse samples were prioritized to ensure generalizability.
- **Outcome Measurement:** The validity and reliability of outcome measures (e.g., patient satisfaction, opioid use reduction) were assessed.
- **Bias and Confounding Factors:** Potential sources of bias (e.g., selection bias, technological biases) were identified and considered in the analysis.

Each study was rated for quality, and conclusions were drawn based on the best available evidence.

III. Result

Study Characteristics

This systematic review evaluated three studies on telehealth interventions for opioid use disorder (OUD) in rural populations. Below is a summary of the study characteristics:

Table no 1: Shows the characteristics of the studies reviewed:

Study	Study Design	Population	Telehealth Intervention	Key Outcomes Measured	Findings
Weintraub et al. (2021)	Quality improvement study	118 rural adults with OUD	Mobile Telemedicine Unit (TM-MTU)	3-month treatment retention, Opioid use reduction	58.51% treatment retention, 32.84% reduction in Opioid use
Marshall et al. (2024)	Qualitative study	22 primary care providers in rural Kentucky and Arkansas	Telehealth (Buprenorphine prescriptions, Behavioural therapy)	Provider experiences, challenges, and treatment effectiveness	Telehealth helpful for counselling; logistical barrier and stigma identified
Ober et al. (2024)	Mixed methods	7 rural primary care clinics and stakeholders.	Telemedicine referral and coordination in model (TM-MOUD)	Stakeholders perspective on Feasibility and acceptability	Positive feedback on access; barriers included internet issues and stigma

Findings from Studies

Effectiveness of Telehealth in OUD Treatment

The findings from all three studies demonstrate that telehealth is an effective solution for improving access to OUD treatment in rural communities. Weintraub et al. (2021) found that the mobile telemedicine unit (TM-MTU) resulted in a 58.51% retention rate after three months, with a 32.84% reduction in opioid use. This study highlights the effectiveness of bringing healthcare services directly to rural communities, especially where addiction treatment centres are scarce⁶. Ober et al. (2023) showed that the telemedicine referral model (TM-MOUD) improved access to medication-assisted treatment (MAT)⁷. Stakeholders reported that telehealth helped bridge gaps in rural areas, increasing treatment availability and adherence. The model effectively addressed access barriers, enabling patients to receive timely care. Marshall et al. (2024) provided valuable insights into telehealth’s ability to maintain patient-provider relationships during the COVID-19 pandemic⁷. Although the study didn’t directly measure opioid use reduction, it demonstrated that telehealth could ensure care continuity, despite challenges like patient reluctance and technological barriers.

Barriers to Implementation

All three studies identified significant barriers to the widespread implementation of telehealth for OUD treatment:

- **Technology Access:** Weintraub et al. (2021) and Ober et al. (2023) reported that poor internet access and digital literacy were major challenges, particularly in remote rural areas. Marshall et al. (2024) noted that, although healthcare providers had the necessary telehealth tools, patients often faced connectivity issues, which led to missed appointments and low-quality sessions^{6,7}.

- **Stigma:** Marshall et al. (2024) found that, although telehealth offers privacy advantages, many patients remained reluctant to engage in addiction treatment due to the stigma associated with OUD. This reluctance led to the underutilization of telehealth services⁸.
- **Coordination Challenges:** Ober et al. (2023) highlighted coordination issues between telemedicine providers and rural clinics. Effective communication and integration between local healthcare providers and telehealth staff were crucial for successful implementation⁷.
- **Provider and Patient Experiences:** Providers, as per Marshall et al. (2024), appreciated the flexibility that telehealth offered during the pandemic, allowing them to continue care when in-person meetings were not possible. However, they emphasized the need for adequate training to overcome barriers like lack of face-to-face interaction, which can limit the development of personal relationships with patients⁸.

From the patient perspective, Weintraub et al. (2021) found that patients were highly satisfied with the mobile telemedicine unit, appreciating its convenience and privacy. However, the study also noted that patients unfamiliar with telemedicine or those without access to digital tools faced challenges in engaging with telehealth services⁶.

Telehealth Models

The studies evaluated different telehealth models:

- Weintraub et al. (2021) demonstrated that the mobile telemedicine unit (TM-MTU) effectively reduced transportation barriers and provided care directly to rural communities. This model showed high effectiveness in ensuring access to healthcare without long-distance travel⁶.
- Ober et al. (2023) investigated the telemedicine referral model (TM-MOUD), which integrated telehealth with existing rural healthcare services. This model proved effective, despite internet issues, and helped expand access to MAT in underserved areas⁷.

Quality of Evidence

The studies in this review were of high methodological quality, with appropriate designs for their research objectives:

- Weintraub et al. (2021) employed a quality improvement design, yielding valuable evidence on the feasibility and effectiveness of telehealth in real-world settings⁶.
- Ober et al. (2023) used a mixed-methods design, combining both qualitative and quantitative data to assess the feasibility and acceptability of the telemedicine referral model⁷.
- Marshall et al. (2024) provided qualitative insights into provider experiences but lacked quantitative data on treatment outcomes, making it valuable for understanding the benefits and barriers of telehealth from the provider perspective⁸.

IV. Discussion

The systematically reviewed studies on telehealth interventions for managing OUD in rural America have shown good results in improving treatment access, retention, and opioid use reduction. According to Weintraub et al. (2021), the intervention resulted in a successful 58.51% treatment retention rate for three months and a 32.84% reduction in opioid use post-intervention. Ober et al. (2023) and Marshall et al. (2024) determined that telehealth approaches, specifically telemedicine referral models, allowed rural patients easier access to medication-assisted treatment and better interactions with their providers despite distance. This is in line with previous research demonstrating the effectiveness of telehealth solutions in surmounting healthcare inequalities in rural settings^{9,10}.

Worth noting, the studies themselves also bear their respective limitations. Because the sample size for both are relatively small, the findings of Weintraub et al. (2021) and Marshall et al. (2024) are very hard to generalize. With just a few hundred participants, it would not be appropriate to generalize findings to larger rural populations that may have diversified characteristics¹¹. Also, the short follow-up period (three months) makes it difficult to assess the long-term effectiveness of telehealth for OUD. Various studies have supported the use of longitudinal research designs in determining if the improvements in treatment retention and reduction in opioid use are actually sustained over time^{12,13}. Even the use of self-reported data with regard to opioid use and patient satisfaction introduces a number of biases. This is because patients may feel compelled to report positive outcomes alone because of their concerns for privacy or stigma^{14,15}. But even though these limitations are worthy of note, they do not negate the value of the findings. They should therefore, be carefully considered when interpreting the results.

Even with their limitations, these studies provide useful insights about the effectiveness of telehealth interventions in the rural treatment of OUD. The real-world applicability of the studies lends credibility to the notion that telehealth can be implemented successfully in rural settings, where traditional addiction treatment services are often limited¹⁶. Furthermore, Ober et al. (2023) benefited from the combination of quantitative and

qualitative research designs, providing additional details to telehealth outcomes through provider and patient perspectives.

Critically, the findings of these studies are consistent with the broader body of literature on telehealth for OUD treatment and they also introduced additional information. Numerous studies^{17,18,19} have shown that telehealth is effective in increasing access to care for rural populations, but these studies are among the few that explicitly examine how telehealth affects treatment retention and opioid use outcomes in this context. Weintraub et al. (2021) expands existing research by exploring the use of mobile telemedicine units for OUD treatment in rural settings since these units have not received sufficient academic scrutiny. Using this approach solves the main barrier rural patients face when accessing care through transportation²⁰. However, unlike some earlier studies that have suggested telehealth's effectiveness across diverse rural areas²¹, this review still lacks comprehensive data on long-term outcomes and its application in broader populations. Therefore, ongoing research should extend follow-up periods in order to understand how telehealth interventions sustain treatment success over time, especially in populations with complex care needs.

In the areas of future research, policy and practice, there is a need for future research to conduct long-term studies in order to determine whether improvements in treatment retention and reduction in opioid use are sustained over time. Parkin et al., (2023) and Naher et al., (2024) stressed the importance of using longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effects of telehealth interventions^{12,13}. Moreover, increasing the sample size and including more diverse rural populations will help to better understand how regional differences, such as access to broadband or local healthcare infrastructure impact the effectiveness of telehealth²². In addition to that, it is important to also study the cost-effectiveness of telehealth interventions for OUD treatment in rural areas if broader implementation is to be considered, since economic sustainability will be a key factor in scaling these interventions²³.

From a policy and practice perspective, the focus should be on digital literacy and access to technology in rural communities. The issues of digital divide persist, where it is estimated that 80% of rural Americans do not have access to broadband internet, thus hindering the effectiveness of telehealth services²⁴. Other key steps involve expanding telehealth reimbursement policies and ensuring provider training to support the scalability of such interventions. Besides, policies should aim at building better internet infrastructure in rural areas so that telehealth services can be made accessible to all groups in rural settings, regardless of distance or terrain.

V. Conclusion

This review examined how well telehealth helps people in rural America manage opioid use disorder (OUD). Three studies explored different telehealth models, such as mobile telemedicine units (TM-MTU) and telehealth consultations. The findings show that telehealth is an effective way to overcome challenges in accessing OUD treatment in rural areas. It helps patients stay in treatment longer, reduces opioid use, and improves access to care. For example, Weintraub et al. (2021) found that 58.51% of patients using telehealth remained in treatment, and opioid use dropped by 32.84%. Ober et al. (2023) and Marshall et al. (2024) showed that telehealth strengthens the patient-provider relationship and increases access to medication-assisted treatment. These findings mean that telehealth can improve care for rural patients with OUD.

References

- [1]. Jalali, M. S., Botticelli, M., Hwang, R. C., Koh, H. K., & Mchugh, R. K. (2020). The Opioid Crisis: A Contextual, Social-Ecological Framework. *Health Research Policy And Systems*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12961-020-00596-8>
- [2]. Coombs, N. C., Campbell, D. G., & Caringi, J. (2022). A Qualitative Study Of Rural Healthcare Providers' Views Of Social, Cultural, And Programmatic Barriers To Healthcare Access. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12913-022-07829-2>
- [3]. Cheetham, A., Picco, L., Barnett, A., Lubman, D. I., & Nielsen, S. (2022). The Impact Of Stigma On People With Opioid Use Disorder, Opioid Treatment, And Policy. *Substance Abuse And Rehabilitation*, 13(13), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2147/SAR.S304566>
- [4]. Uscher-Pines, L., Raja, P., Mehrotra, A., & Huskamp, H. A. (2020). Health Center Implementation Of Telemedicine For Opioid Use Disorders: A Qualitative Assessment Of Adopters And Nonadopters. *Journal Of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 115, 108037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Jsat.2020.108037>
- [5]. Mahmoud, H., Naal, H., Whaibeh, E., & Smith, A. (2022). Telehealth-Based Delivery Of Medication-Assisted Treatment For Opioid Use Disorder: A Critical Review Of Recent Developments. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 24(9), 375–386. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11920-022-01346-Z>
- [6]. Weintraub, E., Seneviratne, C., Anane, J., Coble, K., Magidson, J., Kattakuzhy, S., Greenblatt, A., Welsh, C., Pappas, A., Ross, T. L., & Belcher, A. M. (2021). Mobile Telemedicine For Buprenorphine Treatment In Rural Populations With Opioid Use Disorder. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(8), E2118487. <https://doi.org/10.1001/Jamanetworkopen.2021.18487>
- [7]. Ober, A. J., Dopp, A. R., Clingan, S. E., Curtis, M. E., Lin, C., Calhoun, S., Larkins, S., Black, M., Hanano, M., Osterhage, K. P., Baldwin, L.-M., Saxon, A. J., Hichborn, E. G., Marsch, L. A., Mooney, L. J., & Yih-Ing Hser. (2024). Stakeholder Perspectives On A Telemedicine Referral And Coordination Model To Expand Medication Treatment For Opioid Use Disorder In Rural Primary Care Clinics. *Journal Of Substance Use And Addiction Treatment*, 156, 209194–209194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Josat.2023.209194>
- [8]. Marshall, S. A., Siebenmorgen, L. E., Youngen, K., Borders, T., & Zaller, N. (2024). Primary Care Providers' Experiences Treating Opioid Use Disorder Using Telehealth In The Height Of The COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal Of Primary Care & Community Health*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21501319241246359>

- [9]. Bashshur, R. L., Shannon, G. W., Bashshur, N., & Yellowlees, P. M. (2021). The Empirical Evidence For Telemedicine Interventions In Mental Disorders. *Telemedicine Journal And E-Health*, 22(2), 87–113. <https://doi.org/10.1089/Tmj.2015.0206>
- [10]. Hser, Y.-I., Ober, A. J., Dopp, A. R., Lin, C., Osterhage, K. P., Clingan, S. E., Mooney, L. J., Curtis, M. E., Marsch, L. A., Mcleman, B., Hichborn, E., Lester, L. S., Baldwin, L.-M., Liu, Y., Jacobs, P., & Saxon, A. J. (2021). Is Telemedicine The Answer To Rural Expansion Of Medication Treatment For Opioid Use Disorder? Early Experiences In The Feasibility Study Phase Of A National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Network Trial. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice*, 16, 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13722-021-00233-X>
- [11]. Kim, N. H., Wilson, N., Mashburn, T., Reist, L., Westrick, S. C., Look, K., Kennelty, K., & Carpenter, D. (2021). Lessons Learned Recruiting A Diverse Sample Of Rural Study Participants During The COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal Of Drug Policy*, 97, 103344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Drugpo.2021.103344>
- [12]. Parkin, S., Neale, J., & Strang, J. (2023). Conceptualising Retention In Treatment With Long-Acting Injectable Buprenorphine (For Opioid Use Disorder) As A Journey: Findings From A Longitudinal Qualitative Study. *The International Journal Of Drug Policy/International Journal On Drug Policy*, 122, 104221–104221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Drugpo.2023.104221>
- [13]. Naher, S., Pervez, S., Ahmed, F., Elijah, O., Mahmud, T., & Saif-Ur-Rahman, K. (2024). Effectiveness Of Take-Home Methadone Treatment Among Patients With Opioid Use Disorders During COVID-19: A Systematic Review. *Health Sciences Review*, 12, 100195–100195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Hsr.2024.100195>
- [14]. Stone, K. W., Chesak, G. M., Bowman, A. S., Ayalon, M., & Chafin, C. (2024). A Cross-Sectional Study Of Stigma Towards Opioid Users Among Rural Law Enforcement And Community Members In Tennessee. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12954-024-01114-7>
- [15]. Latkin, C. A., Edwards, C., Davey-Rothwell, M. A., & Tobin, K. E. (2017). The Relationship Between Social Desirability Bias And Self-Reports Of Health, Substance Use, And Social Network Factors Among Urban Substance Users In Baltimore, Maryland. *Addictive Behaviors*, 73(1), 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Addbeh.2017.05.005>
- [16]. Aronowitz, S. V., Engel-Rebitzer, E., Dolan, A., Oyekanmi, K., Mandell, D., Meisel, Z., South, E., & Lowenstein, M. (2021). Telehealth For Opioid Use Disorder Treatment In Low-Barrier Clinic Settings: An Exploration Of Clinician And Staff Perspectives. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12954-021-00572-7>
- [17]. Butzner, M., & Cuffee, Y. (2021). Telehealth Interventions And Outcomes Across Rural Communities In The United States: Narrative Review. *Journal Of Medical Internet Research*, 23(8), E29575. <https://doi.org/10.2196/29575>
- [18]. Tsou, C., Robinson, S., Boyd, J., Jamieson, A., Blakeman, R., Yeung, J., McDonnell, J., Waters, S., Bosich, K., & Hendrie, D. (2021). Effectiveness Of Telehealth In Rural And Remote Emergency Departments: Systematic Review. *Journal Of Medical Internet Research*, 23(11). <https://doi.org/10.2196/30632>
- [19]. Ezeamii, V. C., Okobi, O. E., Wambai-Sani, H., Perera, G. S., Zaynieva, S., Okonkwo, C. C., Ohaiba, M. M., William-Enemali, P. C., Obodo, O. R., Obiefuna, N. G., Ezeamii, V. C., Okobi, O. E., Wambai-Sani, H., Perera, G. S., Zaynieva, S., Okonkwo, C. C., Ohaiba, M. M., William-Enemali, P. C., Obodo, O. R., & Obiefuna, N. G. (2024). Revolutionizing Healthcare: How Telemedicine Is Improving Patient Outcomes And Expanding Access To Care. *Cureus*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.7759/Cureus.63881>
- [20]. Cyr, M. E., Etchin, A. G., Guthrie, B. J., & Benneyan, J. C. (2019). Access To Specialty Healthcare In Urban Versus Rural US Populations: A Systematic Literature Review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12913-019-4815-5>
- [21]. Talbot, J. A., Jonk, Y. C., Burgess, A. R., Thayer, D., Ziller, E., Paluso, N., & Coburn, A. F. (2020). Telebehavioral Health (TBH) Use Among Rural Medicaid Beneficiaries: Relationships With Telehealth Policies. *Journal Of Rural Mental Health*, 44(4). <https://doi.org/10.1037/Rmh0000160>
- [22]. Pandit, A. A., Mahashabde, R. V., Brown, C. C., Acharya, M., Shoultz, C. C., Eswaran, H., & Hayes, C. J. (2023). Association Between Broadband Capacity And Telehealth Utilization Among Medicare Fee-For-Service Beneficiaries During The COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal Of Telemedicine And Telecare*, 1357633X2311660. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x231166026>
- [23]. Tsou, C., Robinson, S., Boyd, J., Jamieson, A., Blakeman, R., Bosich, K., Yeung, J., Waters, S., & Hendrie, D. (2020). Effectiveness And Cost-Effectiveness Of Telehealth In Rural And Remote Emergency Departments: A Systematic Review Protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13643-020-01349-Y>
- [24]. American Hospital Association. (2023). Chair File: Recognizing The “Power Of Rural” In Advancing Health | AHA News. American Hospital Association | AHA News. <https://www.aha.org/news/chairpersons-file/2023-11-13-chair-file-recognizing-power-rural-advancing-health>