Impact of Instructional Intervention Program upon Women's Psychological Health status who Candidates Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer

Nuha Adel Ibrahim, MSc¹, Dr. Rabea Mohsen Ali, PhD²

¹Maternal and Neonatal Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq ²Professor, Maternal and Neonatal Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract: Background: Radiation therapy is treatment with high-energy rays that destroy cancer cells. It is also affects healthy tissue in the area being treated. There are two main types of radiation therapy that can be used to treat breast cancer external beam radiation this type of radiation comes from a machine outside the body and internal radiation, for this treatment, a radioactive source is put inside the body for a short time. Normal tissues neighboring to the tumor are going to receive variable quantities of radiation, which may result in damaging of these tissues and consequently emergence of adverse effects. **Objectives:** To assess psychological health status before and after instructional intervention and information about psychological treatment. **Methods:** The sample consisted of (100) women, (50) considered as study group, and another (50) the control group. A pretest was done for both groups (study and control), and then the study samples were exposed to an instructional intervention and three-dimensional post tests and the length of time between each test 21 days in Al- Amal National Hospital for Cancer Management and Oncology Teaching Hospital. Results shows that psychological sub domains regarding "Anxiety " items at the three-post period (1,2,3) for study group after the implementation of the educational program with comparisons had highly significant differences are at P<0.01. Analysis of data was performed through the application of descriptive and inferential statistical data analysis approach. Recommendations: the study recommended that the radiation and nuclear medicine hospital must include an instructional intervention program concerning the psychological health care in women Candidates Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer. *Keywords:* Breast Cancer, Anxiety, Radiotherapy

Date of Submission: 11-09-2017

Date of acceptance: 26 -09-2017

I. Introduction

Cancer is an important factor in the global burden of disease. The estimated number of new cases per year is expected to rise from 10.2 million 2002 to 15 million by 2025, 60 % of those cases exist in the developing countries [1]. Globally, Cancer is among the most common causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with an estimated 14 million new cases and 8 million deaths in 2012, projected to rise by at least 70% by 2030 breast cancer is the second most common cancer overall, and by far the most common cancer in women. In 2012, worldwide, there are estimated to have 1.67 million new cases (25% of all incident cancer cases) [2]. Breast cancer is one of the most common diseases in which abnormal or malignant cancer cells form in the tissues of the breast [3]. It is not a single disease, but rather a group of diseases that can develop in the ducts, and lobules or other parts of the breast. Breast cancer is the second most common prevalent and diagnosed cancer that affects women and the leading cause of cancer death and disability in world-wide [4] [5]. In Iraq, Breast cancer is the commonest malignancy among women in countries within the Eastern Mediterranean Regions (EMR). In Iraq, it comprises approximately one third of the registered female cancers. Other features that justify increasing efforts for breast cancer control in the EMR include the obvious rise in the incidence rates, the higher frequencies of younger ages and advanced stages at the time of presentation and the likely prevalence of more aggressive tumors resulting in high mortality/incidence ratios [6]. Radiation treatment is based on different kinds of radiation and depends on the different kinds of interaction between the radiation and psychological health status [7].

Radiotherapy can be used before or after surgery; before surgery to reduce tumor size and after it to destroy the remaining cells in the breast, chest wall, and axilla (underarm) regions. Normal tissues neighboring to the tumor are going to receive variable quantities of radiation, which may result in damaging of these tissues and consequently emergence of adverse effects [8]. Radiation is a local, targeted therapy designed to kill cancer cells that may still exist after surgery and it is given to the area where the cancer started or to another part of the body to which the Communication with the patient remains the main diagnostic approach to assessing anxiety. A discussion on the reasons for the patient's anxiety can lead to an understanding of how the patient perceives the disease, how she is coping and to identify symptoms for diagnosis [9].

II. Methodology

A quasi-experimental design was carried out throughout the present study with the application of a preposttests approach for the study group and control group after implementation of instructional intervention program. The study was conducted Al- Amal National Hospital for Cancer Management, and Oncology Teaching Hospital is located at the center of Baghdad city, in Al-Rasafa sector. A convenient "Non-probability" sampling technique was used consisting of (100) women Candidates Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer. Fifty (50) Women considered as (study group) and another (50) women were considered as (control group). The study group was exposed to instructional intervention program; the criterion of this sample was seeking treatment for their skin problem. Data for such assessment was collected from (50) women who were present at Al- Amal National Hospital for Cancer Management, and Oncology Teaching Hospital who women Candidates Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer An open- ended questionnaires was used, structured interviews by investigator, and group discussion were employed for the benefits of assessing the needs of women's for such knowledge to reduce their anxiety problems during one month period before starting construction of program from 8st of Juan 2016to 6st April 2017).

III. Results





	Groups	Co	ntrol	Stu	ıdy	C.S.		
Information about breast cancer	Classes	No.	%	No.	%	P-value		
Dania dankana 4kan ka dikara	1 m.	4	8	6	12			
diagnosed with the	2 m.	9	18	29	58	C.C.=0.438		
discose (Months);	3 m.	21	42	13	26	P=0.000 (HS)		
uisease(montuis):	4 m.	16	32	2	4			
	Ductal carcinoma in situ	10	20	33	66			
	lobular carcinoma in situ	12	24	17	34	C C -0 540		
Type of breast cancer	Infiltrating ductal carcinoma	9	18	0	0	D_0.000 (HS)		
	Infiltrating lobular carcinoma	11	22	0	0	r=0.000 (HS)		
	Ductal carcinoma in situ	8	16	0	0			
Mathed of an disting many summer	Internal radiation		100	50	100			
Method of radiation management	External radiation		0	0	0	-		
The duration to give radiation	<u>≤1 h.</u>		100	50	100	_		
treatment (Hr.)	> 1 h.	0	0	0	0	-		
Number of continue and to take	7	7	14	0	0	C C 0 400		
Number of sessions set to take	8	17	34	0	0	D_0.000 (HS)		
radiation merapy	9	26	52	50	100	1 -0.000 (113)		
Do you have information about radiation therapy and it's side	Yes	10	20	37	74	C.C.=0.476		
effects?	No		80	13	26	P=0.00 (HS)		
	Family and friend	7	14	0	0			
If you From whom you get	Internet	3	6	0	0	C C -0 707		
information?	Lecture	0	0	28	56	D-0.000 (HS)		
mior mation:	Media		0	5	10	1 -0.000 (113)		
	Doctor	0	0	4	8			
In which stage of cancer the breasts	Early stage	26	52	6	12	C.C.=0.394		
removed?	Late stage	24	48	44	88	P=0.000 (HS)		
Is a family member suffering from	Yes	38	76	21	42	C.C.=0.327		
breast cancer?	breast cancer? No				58	P=0.001 (HS)		

Table (1): Distribution of the Studied Samples According to (Information about Breast Cancer) Variables
with Comparisons Significant

(*) HS: Highly Sig. at P<0.01; NS: Non Sig. at P>0.05; Testing based on a contingency coefficient

Table (1) presented that the highest percentage (42%) in control samples were diagnosed in period of (3) months of disease occurrence, while (58%) for study samples were diagnosed in period of (2) months of disease occurrence, (24%) of control samples were diagnosed with Ductal carcinoma in situ, while (66%) for study samples were diagnosed with Lobular carcinoma in situ, (100%) for both groups managed by internal radiation, (100%) for both samples the duration of radiotherapy session was more the one hour, (52%) (100%) respectively their sessions number were (9), (80%) of control sample have no information about radiation and its side effects, while (74%) of study sample have information about radiation and its effects, (52%) of control samples were in early stage of breast cancer, while (88%) of study samples were in late stage of breast cancer, and (76%) (42%) respectively in both groups have family history of breast cancer.

Table (2A): Psychological Domain's Items in Different Periods (Pre, and Post) of Applying Educational
Program

11061000															
			Period		Pr	e - Per	iod		Post - Period						р
		Items	Respon se	No ·	%	M S	S D	R S %	No ·	%	M S	S D	RS %	- tes t	r- val ue
Psychological Domain															
			Never	18	36				20	40					0.2
	1	I feel with comfort after taking radiotherapy dose	Some times	31	62	1.6 6	0.5 2	55. 3	30	60	1.6 0	0.4 9	53. 3	1.1 3	0.2 57
			Always	1	2				0	0					IND
ty		I have a feeling that something will happen as a result of radiotherapy treatment	Never	14	28	1.8 4	0.6 2		13	26		0.4 4	58. 0	_	0.2
	2		Some times	30	60			61. 3	37	74	1.7 4			1.0	0.2 94 NS
IXIE			Always	6	12				0	0				3	140
Ar		Radiotherapy did not affect my role in the family	Never	21	42				26	52					0.0
	3		Some times	24	48	1.6 8	0.6 5	56. 0	24	48	1.4 8	0.5 0	49. 3	3.1	0.0 02
			Always	5	10				0	0				0	115
		I suffer from boredom	Never	0	0	23	04	79	0	0	23	04	76	-	0.0
	4	due to radiotherapy	Some times	31	62	2.3 8	9	3	35	35 70	0	6	70.	2.0 0	46 S

		Always	19	38				15	30					
		Never	0	0				0	0					0.0
5	I feel with tense	Some times	26	52	2.4 8	0.5 0	82. 7	42	84	2.1 6	0.3 7	72. 0	4.0	0.0 00
		Always	24	48				8	16				U	пъ
		Never	5	10				12	24					0.0
6	I feel that I am not being able to sit safely	Some times	45	90	1.9 0	0.3 0	63. 3	38	76	1.7 6	0.4 3	58. 7	2.6	0.0 08
	0	Always	0	0				0	0				3	пъ
		Never	10	20	1.9 0			7	14		0.5 1	66. 0		0.1
7	I feel that am unable to relax	Some times	35	70		0.5 4	63. 3	37	74	1.9 8			- 1.6	0.1 02
		Always	5	10				6	12				3	IND
		Never	28	56				29	58				- 1.0	0.2
8	I feel with loss of hope	Some times	16	32	1.5 6	0.7 0	52. 0	15	30	1.5 4	0.7 1	51. 3		0.5 17 NG
		Always	6	12				6	12				U	IND
		Never	0	0				0	0					0.0
9	I feel that my life has become abnormal	Some times	27	54	1.6 2	0.4 9	54. 0	30	60	1.6 8	0.4 7	56. 0	- 1.7	0.0 83
		Always	23	46				20	40				3	IND

Table (2A) Results shows that all studied items are successful at the post period of time concerning study group, since many items had a significant differences at P<0.01, and as follows:

Regarding subjects sub domain "Anxiety", four items has reported significant differences in at least at P<0.05 as a resulted by the effectiveness of applying the suggested of instructional program, and they are (radiotherapy did not affect my role in the family, I suffer from boredom due to radiotherapy, I feel with tense, and I feel that I am not being able to sit safely), while the leftover items had no significant differences at (P>0.05) in the items (1,2,7,8,9).

(2B): Summary Statistics of General Psychological Domain's Items Different Periods (Pre, Post1, Post2,
and Post3) of Applying Educational Program with Comparisons Significant Concerning (Anxiety) Sub
Domain

Psychological Domain			No.	Period	MS	SD	RS%	MR	P- value
				Pre	1.66	0.52	55.3	1.85	0.000
	1	I feel with comfort after taking	50	Post-1	1.60	0.49	53.3	1.77	
	1	radiotherapy dose	50	Post-2	2.16	0.37	72.0	2.71	HS
				Post-3	2.80	0.40	93.3	3.67	
				Pre	1.84	0.62	61.3	3.13	
	2	I have a feeling that something will however as a regult of redicthorous	50	Post-1	1.74	0.44	58.0	3.09	0.000
	2	treatment	50	Post-2	1.16	0.37	38.7	1.95	HS
		ti eatinent	-	Post-3	1.10	0.30	36.7	1.83	
				Pre	1.68	0.65	56.0	2.98	
	2	Radiotherapy did not affect my	50	Post-1	1.48	0.50	49.3	2.67	0.000
	3	role in the family	50 -	Post-2	1.28	0.45	42.7	2.31	HS
				Post-3	1.14	0.35	38.0	2.04	
	4	I suffer from boredom due to radiotherapy	50	Pre	2.38	0.49	79.3	3.49	0.000 HS
				Post-1	2.30	0.46	76.7	3.41	
				Post-2	1.36	0.48	45.3	1.69	
iety				Post-3	1.14	0.35	38.0	1.41	
XU	5	I feel with tense	50 - -	Pre	2.48	0.50	82.7	3.66	0.000 HS
A				Post-1	2.16	0.37	72.0	3.26	
				Post-2	1.26	0.44	42.0	1.62	
				Post-3	1.12	0.33	37.3	1.46	
		I feel that I am not being able to sit safely	50 - -	Pre	1.90	0.30	63.3	3.36	
				Post-1	1.76	0.43	58.7	3.08	0.000
	0			Post-2	1.14	0.35	38.0	1.84	HS
				Post-3	1.08	0.27	36.0	1.72	
				Pre	1.90	0.54	63.3	3.04	
	-			Post-1	1.98	0.51	66.0	3.17	0.000
	7	I feel that am unable to relax	50 -	Post-2	1.36	0.48	45.3	2.00	HS
				Post-3	1.20	0.40	40.0	1.79	
				Pre	1.56	0.70	52.0	2.89	
				Post-1	1.54	0.71	51.3	2.85	0.000 HS
	ð	I feel with loss of hope	50 -	Post-2	1.14	0.35	38.0	2.15	
			-	Post-3	1.10	0.30	36.7	2.11	

				Pre	1.62	0.49	0.49 54.0 0.47 56.0	2.86	
	•	I feel that my life has become abnormal	50	Post-1	1.68	0.47	56.0	2.98	0.000
	9			Post-2	1.28	0.45	42.7	2.18	HS
				Post-3	1.18	0.39	39.3	1.98	

(*) HS: Highly Sig. at P<0.01; S: Sig. at P<0.05; NS: Non Sig. at P>0.05; MS: Mean Score; MR: Mean Ranking ;RS: Relative Sufficiency; SD: Standard Deviation; Testing based on a Friedman's test. Red color items are reversed to the scoring scales assessment

Table (2B) Results shows that psychological subdomains regarding "Anxiety " items at the three post periods (1,2,3) for study group after the implementation of the educational program with comparisons had highly significant differences are at P<0.001.

IV. Discussion

The study presented that regarding "Anxiety", sub domain four items has reported significant differences in at least at P<0.05 as a resulted by the effectiveness of applying the suggested of instructional program, and they are (Radiotherapy did not affect my role in the family, I suffer from boredom due to radiotherapy, I feel with tense, and I feel that I am not being able to sit safely), while the leftover items had no significant differences at (P>0.05) in the items (1,2,7,8,9) table (4-3-1A), regarding "Anxiety " items at the three post period (1, 2, 3) for study group after the implementation of the educational program with comparisons had highly significant differences are at P<0.001.[10] stated that anxiety is the most commonly seen in cancer patients. It can occur in four forms i.e. situational anxiety, disease related anxiety, treatment related anxiety and as an exacerbation of pre-treatment anxiety disorder.[16] stated that women (<50 years) represent a minority of breast cancer cases, yet they tend to be overrepresented with respect to demonstrating the poorest psychosocial adjustment during and following treatment. Concerns most frequently reported in this age group pertained to body image, sexual functioning, fertility, relationships, fear of cancer recurrence, and caring for children; failure of healthcare providers to initiate conversations to educate women about treatment side effects early on and/or safely discuss sensitive issues; lack of widespread availability of professional psychosocial programs that are tailored to the unique needs of this age group.

V. Conclusion

The majority for control samples was diagnosed in period (3) months of disease and study sample were (2) months of disease, no significant differences at P>0.05 are accounted between studied groups (study and control) at preperiod of time before applying instruction intervention program upon women's Bio-Psychosocial health status for all sub and main domains, except sub psychological domain of "Anxiety", which showed significant difference at P<0.05 between control and study groups.

VI. Recommendation

Before starting radiotherapy treatment an instructional intervention program about physical and psychological problem should be implemented to reduce the patient fear of the side effects after the treatment and increase their awareness about these effects and Booklet of instructions should be published and distributed to all women who have breast cancer candidate radiotherapy.

References

- [1]. Oussama M. Guidelines for the early detection and screening of breast cancer. EMRO technical publications series 30. World health organization. regional office for the Eastern Mediterranean. Cairo; 2005.
- [2]. Globocan (2012), International Agency for Research on Cancer: Estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012. Lyon, France: IARC; 2013. Available from: http://www.globocan.iarc.fr/.
- [3]. Kelly M. Mastering advanced assessment, advanced skills. 12th ed., Springhouse Corporation, New York;1993: 241- 244.
- [4]. Ogletree RJ, Hammig B, Drolet JC, Birch DA. Knowledge and intentions of ninth-grade girls after a breast self- examination program. Dept. of health education and recreation, southern Illinois University. J ch Health.2004Nov; 74(9):365-9.
- [5]. Genevieve C. and Sherif O. Breast Cancer. Fourth edition. National cancer institute Cairo University; 2001.
- [6]. Alwan N. Iraqi Initiative of a Regional Comparative Breast Cancer Research Project in the Middle East. *Journal of Cancer Biology* and Research. 2014; 2(1): 1016.
- [7]. Sridhar T. and Symonds R. Principles of chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Obstetric Gynaecol Repro Medicine, 2009; 19:61-7.
- [8]. Margalit D, Kasperzyk JL, Martin NE, et al. Beta-carotene antioxidant use during radiation therapy and prostate cancer outcome in the Physicians' Health Study. International Journal Radiation *Oncol Biol Phys*,2012; 83:28-32.
- [9]. Oers V. Anxiety and the patient with breast cancer: A review of current research and practice. South African Family Practice journal. 2013; 55(6):525-529.
- [10]. Sarita p. Distress, anxiety, and depression in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. World Journal of Surgical Oncology. 2006; 4(68): 4-9.
- [11]. Agrawal S. Late effects of cancer treatment in breast cancer survivors. South Asian Journal of Cancer. 2014; 3(2): 112-115.
- [12]. Julie B. David D. Kangas M. Green S. Dana H and Guy H. A randomized trial of a cognitive-behavioral therapy and hypnosis intervention on positive and negative affect during breast cancer radiotherapy. *journal of clinical psychology*. 2009; 65(4): 443-455.

- [13]. Di Franco R. Sammarco E. Calvanese M. Natale F. Falivene S. DiLecce A. Maria F. Murino O. Manzo R. Cappabianca S.Muto P and Ravo V. Preventing the acute skin side effects in patients treated with radiotherapy for breast cancer: the use of corneometry in order to evaluate the protective effect of moisturizing creams. *Bio Medical Central*. 2013; 8(57): 5-8.
- [14]. Farhood B, Rabie S. Hasan M. Mohammadi K. Mahmoodi M. Nekoui N. and Knaup C. Skin Reaction in Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer. *Iranian Journal of Medical Physics*. 2014; 11(4): 316-321.
- [15]. Stephen Chu-Sung S. Feng M. Hau K. Shing G. Chiang W and Jen C. Changes in biophysical properties of the skin following radiotherapy for breast cancer. *The Journal of Dermatology*.2014; 41(12): 1087-1094.
- [16]. Ahmad S. Psychosocial issues experienced by young women with breast cancer: the minority group with the majority of need. Suportive And Palative Care. 2015; 9(3): 271-278.

Nuha Adel Ibrahim. "Impact of Instructional Intervention Program upon Women's Psychological Health status who Candidates Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer." IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS), vol. 6, no. 5, 2017, pp. 15–20.